



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_40421
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Ecotoxicological Assay of E- Waste (Phone Batteries) On Some Aquatic Micro flora
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is interesting but the data presented are somewhat confusing and are sometimes reported as LC50 and now as LD50. The tables show data that the reader can not see how they were obtained (% mortality; Mean% mortality; Conc. Different) or calculated. I suggest a general review clarifying all these points to facilitate understanding.	All errors are noted and corrected by the both Authors.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		