

# REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY & BIOMARKER RESPONSE TO A DAILY DOSE OF TOOTHPASTE (CU) IN MALE ALBINO RATS (*Rattus norvegicus*)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

## Abstract

This study was carried out to evaluate the biomarker response of male albino wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) to a daily dosage of toothpaste. Twenty four wistar rats were divided randomly into two groups and housed in wooden cages. The first group which is the test group was administered *with in* varying doses (250ul, 270ul, 300ul) according to their body weight (0.00167mg/g body weight) per week for three weeks while on the fourth week no treatment was administered. This was done to observe the rate of recuperation from *the* effects of treatment. The second group which was the control group were given distilled water of equal measurement with the treatment given to the test rats. Selected biochemical and hematologic parameters were used to evaluate the effect of toothpaste. Parameters used were; for enzyme and liver functions, alkaline aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and protein, for kidney sodium (Na<sup>+</sup>), potassium (K<sup>+</sup>), chloride (Cl) and bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub>) while for hematology white blood cells (WBC), red blood cells (RBC), platelets, lymphocytes, hemoglobin and packed cell volume (PCV) and sperm count was also used. The results showed significant difference (P < 0.05) in the parameters when compared with the control group. These findings demonstrate that toothpaste caused *a* detrimental effect on sperm parameters which could lead to infertility in males. There were also observed changes in liver, blood parameters and kidney which could lead to renal dysfunction when exposed to this substance for extended periods.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Toothpaste is a personal care product used by millions across the world. Dentists recommend that individuals brush their teeth twice daily with a fluoride containing toothpaste to preserve oral hygiene and prevent dental caries. Patients undergoing orthodontic treatment, however, are advised to brush their teeth much more frequently, usually after every meal. Children may sometimes ingest toothpaste due to the flavours which makes it “sweet”. This may put children undergoing orthodontic treatment at a higher risk of toothpaste ingestion due to their increased frequency of toothbrushing. There are numerous brands of toothpaste, but they all have some major active ingredients that are general to all of them and essential in making

toothpaste. These ingredients are fluoride (sodium fluoride), abrasives (hydrated silica) and detergents (sodium lauryl sulphate, SLS). Other inactive ingredients present are flavor, sorbitol, etc. (ADA, 2017). Sodium fluoride being a major component of toothpaste is an inorganic salt. It is a chemical compound and an odourless, colourless crystalline solid (Spellman, 2008) that came into use to prevent tooth decay in the 1940s (Murray *et al.*, 2003). It has a molecular formula of NaF. It is white to greenish in colour depending on its level of purity (Haynes, 2011; British Medical Association., 2015). It is non-combustible and corrosive to aluminium metal, it is known to be insoluble in alcohol, but highly soluble in water (O'Neil, 2001). Sodium Fluoride is used not just as fluorinate in toothpaste, but also in the preservation of wood, as a corrosion inhibitor, insecticide, cleaning agent, chemical reagent and in glass and metallurgy industries (Aiguesperse *et al.*, 2005). Fluoride has been studied extensively for use in the medical industry (Haguenauer *et al.*, 2000). Sodium fluoride is generally safe for dental health at low concentrations, but continuous ingestion of large amounts of sodium fluoride poses possible dangers to health, with short term exposures causing irritations to eyes, skin and nasal membranes (Green, 2005). Studies have shown that fluorides, especially when in solution forms (aqueous forms) are more extensively absorbed into the body and are classed as toxic by both inhalation and ingestion through oral routes (Kapp, 2005) The rate at which fluoride (as Sodium Fluoride) is absorbed is inversely related to the pH of the stomach contents (WHO, 2006). Acute exposure and toxicity can result in nausea, abdominal pain, and diarrhoea. Other possible effects are muscle paralysis, extremity spasms (Whitford, 2011). A study has shown that continuous ingestion of fluoride causes deleterious effects on skeletal (Cheng *et al.*, 2008), dental (Flaitz *et al.*, 2000), soft tissues (brain), thyroid (Bathnagar *et al.*, 2005) and testis (Wan *et al.*, 2006). In a study it was observed and documented by Shashi, (2003) that fluoride exposure can induce the loss of neuronal cell bodies and damage synaptic structures in different regions of the brain (Gopalakrishna *et al.*, 2002) as well as cause inhibition of enzyme activity and a decrease in expression of membrane proteins (Barbar *et al.*, 2006). In the blood and liver of animals it was observed that various changes like abnormal behavioural patterns and metabolism occur after chronic administration of fluoride lesions (Ramakrishna and Saralakumari, 1991; Denbesten *et al.*, 1995).

Beyond Sodium Fluoride, Sodium lauryl Sulfate (SLS) is also another major constituent of toothpaste; Sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS), also known as sodium dodecyl sulfate, is an anionic surfactant commonly used as an emulsifying cleaning agent in household cleaning products (laundry detergents, spray cleaners, and dishwasher detergents) (Cara *et al.*, 2015), it's low

cost and desirable action as a foaming agent has led to its use in the formulations of toothpaste (Lippert, 2013). Like all detergents, SLS has been shown to cause skin and eye irritation and cause more skin related damage, especially with prolonged exposure (Cara, *et al.*, 2015). A research carried out by [the](#) Cosmetic Ingredient Review (2015) on the health and safety of the SLS chemical using rats as test subjects showed that SLS is harmful by the oral route, while using rabbits and guinea pigs as test subjects it was found to be harmful in the dermal route. SLS was also reported to irritate the respiratory tract and cause irritation in both skin and eye of rabbits. No gross lesions or microscopic abnormalities were found in a chronic oral feeding study in rats given 0.25%, 0.5% and 1.0% of SLS in their diet for two years (Fitzhugh and Nelson, 1968) and the same result was observed in using a different test subject in a chronic oral one-year oral toxicity study using beagle pups with 0%, 0.67%, 1.0%, or 2.0% SLS. This study is aimed at evaluating the possible effects of toothpaste ingestion (accidentally or intentionally) on hepato-renal functions, hematological and sperm parameters in male albino rats.

## 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental setup

24 male albino wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) weighing between 180-200g were used. The animals were weighed and randomly allocated into two experimental groups. (CU) toothpaste, a popular brand of toothpaste used here in Nigeria was administered to the rats in mimicking concentrations commonly used daily. An average weight adult of 65kg uses about 1ml of toothpaste per toothwash, this body weight was used to estimate the concentration in grams administered to the rats based on their body weight. 1ml of the toothpaste was dissolved in 100ml distilled water to make a solution. The daily dose administered was based on the weekly body weights of the rats. A 1ml syringe was used for administration through the oral route. The experiment was carried out for four (4) weeks. The treatment was administered to the test group for three weeks while on the fourth week no treatment was given to the test group. This was done to observe how their body adapts and tries to recuperate and manage any effects from the treatment. Three (3) rats from the test group were sacrificed weekly and three (3) rats from the control group were sacrificed weekly. This was done to enable us [to](#) collect blood and sperm samples for analysis and to allow for careful observation of the specific organs of the rats. Before each sacrifice each rat was weighed and its final body weight was recorded after overnight starvation. The animals were sacrificed by

106 jugular puncture while under anaesthesia. Blood samples collected were taken with both  
107 EDTA and Heparin bottles for laboratory analysis while the testes were collected for sperm  
108 analysis which was done using an electron microscope.

109

## 110 2.2 Biochemical Analysis

111 | Standard procedures were [ensured followed](#) during the collection of the blood, sperm and  
112 liver samples prior to biochemical analysis. The plasma activity of Alkaline Phosphatase  
113 (ALP) was determined using Radox kit (colorimetric method) of Rec (1972). Biuret method  
114 was used to determine the level of total protein in the samples according to the method of  
115 Flack and Woollen (Flack and Woollen, 1984). The plasma activity of aspartate transaminase  
116 was determined using Reitman and Frankel method (Reitman and Frankel, 1957). The serum  
117 electrolytes were determined using ISO 4000 Automated electrolyte analyser. SFRI, France.  
118 The plasma activity of alanine transaminase was determined using Reitman and Frankel  
119 method (Reitman and Frankel, 1957). The epididymal sperm count was determined with the  
120 Neubauer haemocytometer (Deep 1/10 mm, LABART, Munich, Germany) and light  
121 microscope at 40× magnifications.

## 122 2.3 Data Analysis

123 | Data were analyzed using [the](#) Tukey test at a level of 5% probability, using Assitat Software  
124 Version 7.7 en (2017).

125

## 126 3.0 RESULTS

127 The effects of oral administration of (CU) toothpaste on the Hepato-renal parameters in male  
128 albino rats are presented in Table 3.1. The result showed significant difference in the levels of  
129 electrolytes and hepatocyte parameters between the test and control across each week and  
130 between the test and average control (four week) in each week. Results from the first week  
131 revealed a higher value of sodium (Na) on test compared to the control with a significant  
132 difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) but no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) among the test of potassium (K),  
133 chlorine (Cl), ALT, AST and their respective control. On the second week, there was no

134 significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) among the test and the respective controls of sodium (Na),  
135 potassium (K), bicarbonate, AST and ALT. While on the third week, the analyzed result  
136 showed non-significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) among sodium (Na), potassium (K), bicarbonate,  
137 AST and ALT and their respective control, except chlorine (Cl), which showed a significant  
138 difference ( $P < 0.05$ ). Finally, on the fourth week, the result showed that there was a  
139 significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) among sodium (Na), potassium (K), chlorine (Cl),  
140 bicarbonate, ALT, AST and their respective control. The results on Sodium showed no  
141 significant difference between week one, week two, week three against the average control,  
142 but showed significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) in week four. The results on Potassium (K)  
143 showed no significant difference between week one, week two against the average control at  
144 ( $P > 0.05$ ) but shows significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the tests of week 3 and week 4.  
145 Chlorine (Cl) level revealed there were no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) between week one,  
146 week two, week three against the average control, but there were significant difference ( $P <$   
147  $0.05$ ) in the fourth week. The result on bicarbonate revealed there were no significant  
148 difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) between week one, week two, week three, week four and the average  
149 control. The result on ALT, showed significant difference between week one, week two,  
150 week three, week four and the average control at ( $P < 0.05$ ). There were significant difference  
151 in AST between week one, week two, week three, week four and the average control at  
152 ( $P < 0.05$ ).

153

154

155

156


157

158

159

160

161



162

163 **TABLE 3.1: RESULT SHOWING THE EFFECT OF TOOTHPASTE ON SODIUM, POTASSIUM, CHLORIDE, BICARBONATE,**  
 164 **AST AND ALT**

165

		Na (mmol/L)	K (mmol/L)	Cl (mmol/L)	HCO <sub>3</sub> (mmol/L)	AST (UI/L)	ALT (UI/L)
<b>WEEK 1</b>	Control	133.67 ± 2.50 <sup>a</sup>	4.05 ± 0.25 <sup>a</sup>	100.67 ± 4.5 <sup>a</sup>	23.67 ± 0.5 <sup>a</sup>	17.67 ± 3.50 <sup>a</sup>	10.67 ± 1.50 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	143.00 ± 4.00 <sup>b,A</sup>	3.60 ± 0.20 <sup>a,AB</sup>	99 ± 1.00 <sup>a,A</sup>	23 ± 0.00 <sup>a,AB</sup>	27.33 ± 8.50 <sup>a,B</sup>	11.67 ± 0.50 <sup>a,B</sup>
<b>WEEK 2</b>	Control	157.67 ± 22.50 <sup>a</sup>	7.25 ± 2.55 <sup>a</sup>	109.67 ± 18.50 <sup>a</sup>	23.67 ± 1.50 <sup>a</sup>	34.67 ± 3.50 <sup>a</sup>	10.0 ± 2.00 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	138.67 ± 12.50 <sup>a,A</sup>	4.38 ± 0.05 <sup>a,AB</sup>	95 ± 7.00 <sup>a,A</sup>	25 ± 4.00 <sup>a,A</sup>	29.67 ± 1.50 <sup>a,AB</sup>	6.67 ± 0.50 <sup>a,C</sup>
<b>WEEK 3</b>	Control	136.67 ± 10.50 <sup>a</sup>	5.0 ± 0.60 <sup>a</sup>	86.67 ± 4.50 <sup>a</sup>	24.67 ± 3.50 <sup>a</sup>	23.67 ± 5.50 <sup>a</sup>	11.0 ± 4.0 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	129.0 ± 1.00 <sup>a,AB</sup>	3.9 ± 0.30 <sup>b,AB</sup>	85 ± 1.00 <sup>a,ab</sup>	19.67 ± 0.50 <sup>a,B</sup>	30.33 ± 3.51 <sup>a,AB</sup>	12.67 ± 0.5 <sup>a,B</sup>
<b>WEEK 4</b>	Control	149.67 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	5.10 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	106 ± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	23.0 ± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	23.0 ± 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	13.06 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>
	Test	111.67 ± 3.50 <sup>b,B</sup>	2.9 ± 0.20 <sup>b,B</sup>	76.66 ± 4.50 <sup>b,B</sup>	20.0 ± 1.00 <sup>b,AB</sup>	45.0 ± 4.00 <sup>a,A</sup>	24.67 ± 1.5 <sup>a,A</sup>
<b>AVERAGE CONTROL</b>	Control	142.50 ± 11.83 <sup>A</sup>	5.43 ± 1.13 <sup>A</sup>	98.83 ± 9.16 <sup>A</sup>	23.83 ± 1.83 <sup>AB</sup>	25.16 ± 4.16 <sup>B</sup>	10.50 ± 2.5 <sup>B</sup>

166 <sup>a-b</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05) within each week

167 <sup>A-B</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (P<0.05) across the weeks

168

169

170 For the haematological parameters, on the first week, there was a higher value on the test  
171 samples compared to the control in the Packed Cell Volume (PCV), haemoglobin (Hb) and  
172 red blood cell (RBC) with a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the test and control  
173 while for White blood cells (WBC), Platelets and Lymphocytes there were no significant  
174 differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the test and average. The second and third week results both  
175 indicate no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) in Packed Cell Volume (PCV), Haemoglobin  
176 (Hb), Red blood cell (RBC), White blood cells (WBC) and Platelets while Lymphocytes  
177 count indicates a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the test and control. In the fourth  
178 week there were significant difference in all haematological parameters except the Red blood  
179 cells (RBC).

180 There were no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) in Packed cell volume (PCV) in week 1, week  
181 2 and week 3 when compared with the average control, but there was a significant difference  
182 ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the week 4. No significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) was seen in the fourth week for  
183 Haemoglobin between the test and average control, but significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) was  
184 noted all through the first three weeks. Comparing the test with the average control  
185 significant difference was seen in both Red Blood Cells (RBC) and White blood cells (WBC)  
186 through the four weeks. Comparing the test with the average control there were significant  
187 difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in Platelets across the four weeks. Lymphocytes showed no significant  
188 difference all through the four weeks when the test was compared to the average control. The  
189 result for sperm count analysis, revealed that results from week 1 to week 4 all had a lower  
190 value of sperm count on the test when compared to the control, with a significant difference  
191 ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the control and the treatment although the result showed no significant  
192 difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the test and the average control across the four weeks.



193 **TABLE 3.2: RESULT OF THE EFFECT OF TOOTHPASTE ON PROTEIN, PACKED CELL VOLUME, HAEMOGLOBIN, RED**  
194 **BLOOD CELLS, WHITE BLOOD CELLS, PLATELETS, LYMPHOCYTES**

195

		<b>PROTEIN (g/L)</b>	<b>PCV (%)</b>	<b>Hb (g/dl)</b>	<b>RBC (X<sup>12</sup>)</b>	<b>WBC (X<sup>9</sup>)</b>	<b>PLATELETS (X<sup>9</sup>)</b>	<b>LYMPHOCY TES (X<sup>9</sup>)</b>
<b>WEEK 1</b>	Control	67.70 ± 12.19 <sup>a</sup>	26.50 ± 1.50 <sup>b</sup>	9.00 ± 0.30 <sup>b</sup>	4.35 ± 0.15 <sup>b</sup>	9.00 ± 2.50 <sup>a</sup>	270 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	70 ± 5.00 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	59.01 ± 1.57 <sup>a,A</sup>	39.50 ± 0.50 <sup>a,A</sup>	13.13 ± 0.15 <sup>a,A</sup>	6.23 ± 0.25 <sup>a,A</sup>	10.73 ± 1.25 <sup>a,A</sup>	310 ± 40.0 <sup>a,BC</sup>	70 ± 0.00 <sup>a,B</sup>
<b>WEEK 2</b>	Control	72.31 ± 3.36 <sup>a</sup>	32.55 ± 2.95 <sup>a</sup>	9.90 ± 0.90 <sup>a</sup>	5.68 ± 0.89 <sup>a</sup>	9.85 ± 5.65 <sup>a</sup>	335 ± 105.0 <sup>a</sup>	84 ± 1.40 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	66.01 ± 8.84 <sup>a,A</sup>	35.15 ± 2.05 <sup>a,AB</sup>	10.85 ± 0.75 <sup>a,AB</sup>	6.43 ± 0.67 <sup>a,AB</sup>	12.0 ± 3.20 <sup>a,A</sup>	333 ± 108.5 <sup>a,B</sup>	72 ± 1.55 <sup>b,B</sup>
<b>WEEK 3</b>	Control	69.23 ± 2.15 <sup>a</sup>	32.84 ± 3.95 <sup>a</sup>	10.36 ± 1.15 <sup>a</sup>	6.04 ± 0.64 <sup>a</sup>	7.4 ± 2.85 <sup>a</sup>	423 ± 108.0 <sup>a</sup>	78 ± 1.40 <sup>b</sup>
	Test	63.75 ± 2.55 <sup>b,A</sup>	26.23 ± 3.85 <sup>a,CD</sup>	8.15 ± 1.35 <sup>a,CD</sup>	4.38 ± 1.01 <sup>a,B</sup>	4.36 ± 2.50 <sup>a,B</sup>	127 ± 62.50 <sup>a,C</sup>	86 ± 0.65 <sup>a,A</sup>
<b>WEEK 4</b>	Control	73.27 ± 2.15 <sup>a</sup>	39.05 ± 2.35 <sup>a</sup>	13.83 ± 0.45 <sup>a</sup>	6.90 ± 1.60 <sup>a</sup>	6.25 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	416 ± 3.50 <sup>b</sup>	84 ± 0.70 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	62.90 ± 3.84 <sup>b,A</sup>	22.50 ± 1.30 <sup>b,D</sup>	6.50 ± 0.90 <sup>b,D</sup>	4.36 ± 0.15 <sup>a,B</sup>	4.33 ± 0.11 <sup>b,B</sup>	615 ± 61.0 <sup>a,A</sup>	51 ± 2.55 <sup>b,C</sup>
<b>AVERAGE CONTRO L</b>	Control	69.07 ± 5.9 <sup>A</sup>	30.63 ± 2.8 <sup>BC</sup>	9.76 ± 0.78 <sup>BC</sup>	5.31 ± 0.5 <sup>AB</sup>	8.76 ± 3.67 <sup>AB</sup>	342.83 ± 71 <sup>B</sup>	77.53 ± 2.6 <sup>AB</sup>

196 <sup>a-b</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05) within each week

197 <sup>A-B</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (P<0.05) across the weeks

198

**TABLE 3.3: RESULT OF THE EFFECT OF TOOTHPASTE ON SPERM COUNT**

		SPERM COUNT (X10 <sup>6</sup> )
WEEK 1	Control	475 ± 125 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	455 ± 5 <sup>b,A</sup>
WEEK 2	Control	575 ± 25 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	225 ± 225 <sup>b,A</sup>
WEEK 3	Control	450 ± 150 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	125 ± 125 <sup>a,A</sup>
WEEK 4	Control	650 ± 50 <sup>a</sup>
	Test	250 ± 250 <sup>b,A</sup>
AVERAGE CONTROL	Control	500 ± 100 <sup>A</sup>

<sup>a-b</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (P<0.05) within each week

<sup>A-B</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (P<0.05) across the weeks

#### 4.0 DISCUSSION

ALT and AST are general traditional biomarkers used widely for detecting drug induced liver injury (Yukuta *et al.*, 2004). In this study, increase or decrease in the levels of these biomarkers is defined by comparing the values obtained from the test animals with the control. The liver enzyme assay showed a gradual increase in the serum levels of AST and ALT with a significant difference in AST (P < 0.05) while there was also a significant difference in ALT (P < 0.05) the increase in the level of serum AST and ALT is an indicator of increased activity of the liver possibly due to the abnormal presence of sodium fluoride (NaF), Sodium lauryl sulphate (SLS) and other components of the toothpaste that are foreign to the body system. The results also showed that there was a significant difference (P< 0.05) in protein and there was a decrease in the protein levels of the test rats as compared to the

control, this might be due to [the](#) possible negative effect on NaF on the Liver. This decrease, although inconsistent with the work of (Green, 2005) is consistent with the work of Debensten *et al.*, (1995), Barbar *et al.* (2006) and Anamika *et al.*, (2012). A more recent study done by Imtithal and Baraa, (2017) indicated that sodium fluoride caused a significant decrease in serum protein and albumin concentrations. **There were** generally low values of sodium (Na), potassium (K), bicarbonate in the test compared to the control respectively. **This comparison revealed a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the last week when comparing the weeks treatment with the average control.** This might be because of increased secretion of the electrolytes from the body during urine formation. The toothpaste components may cause **an** abnormal inhibition of **the** release of hormones (Anti-Diuretic Hormone) that regulates electrolyte balance. This is because fluoride has been shown to negatively affect the thyroid gland that plays a major role in controlling our body metabolism and internal homeostasis (Bhathnagar *et al.*, 2005), and exposure to it according to Gopalakrishna *et al.*, (2002) can induce the loss of neuronal cell bodies and damage synaptic structures in different regions of the brain. The low level of leukocytes (WBC) recorded on the third and fourth week when compared to the control might be linked to the inflammatory effects of Sodium Fluoride on the lymphatic organ, this is in agreement with Maryam *et al.*, (2017). The gradual decrease in PCV, Hb and RBC from week two to week four indicates that NaF has a negative effect on blood when introduced into the system over a long period of time, although the difference wasn't significant, Maryam *et al.*, (2017) also reported a significant lower blood indices in their experiment. For the sperm count, Results from week 1 to week 4 all had a lower values of sperm count on the test when compared to the control, with a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the control and the treatment, this significant negative effect of NaF is in agreement with the work of Wang *et al.*, (2006) who reported a deleterious effect of fluoride on the testis which is the site for sperm production, and also agreed with work done by

Chinoy and Sequira, (1989) and Arora *et al.*, (2010) who observed in their experiment that there was a significant decrease in the epididymal sperm count when sodium fluoride which is a major component of toothpaste was administered to rats. Based on this study, efforts should be made to prevent the accidental or intentional ingestion of toothpaste especially by children and orthodontic patients.

248

## 5.0 CONCLUSION

The results from the study clearly points out that a prolonged ingestion of toothpaste generally adversely affects the functioning of the liver, kidney and also the sperm count negatively which may be associated with renal dysfunction and infertility.

253

### Competing Interests Disclaimer:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

Formatted: Justified

261

## 6.0 REFERENCES

Comment [a1]: arrange uniformly

Aigueperse, J., Chemla, M., Guer, J.P. et al. (2005). "Fluorine Compounds Inorganic in Ullman". Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Weinheim: Wiley- VCH.

265

Anamika, J., Komal, S. and Ramtej, J. V. (2012), Effects of sodium fluoride on DNA, RNA and Protein contents in Liver of Mice and its Amelioration by *Camellia sinensis*. Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica - Drug Research, 69(3) 551-555

American Dental Association (2017). Toothpaste. Retrieved on February 18, 2017 from [https://www.ada.org/en/member-center/oral-health-topics/toothpastes?\\_e\\_pi\\_=7%2CPAGE\\_ID10%2C2552561422](https://www.ada.org/en/member-center/oral-health-topics/toothpastes?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C2552561422)

Arora, B., Beena., Kumar, N., Singh, M., Sood, S. (2010). Effect of duration of fluoride exposure on the reproductive system in male rabbits. J Hum Reprod Sci 3(3): 148-152.

275

Barbar, S., Bhatnagar, M., Bhatnagar, R., Meena, P. et al. (2006). Biochemical changes in brain and other tissues of young adult female mice from fluoride in their drinking water. *Fluoride*. 39(4): 280-284.

Bhatnagar, M., Mondal, N. K., Susheela, A.K. (2005). Excess fluoride ingestion and thyroid hormone derangements in children living in Delhi, India. *Fluoride*. 28(2): 98-102.

Björndahl, L., Söderlund, I and Kvist U. (2003). Evaluation of the one-step eosin- nigrosin staining technique for human sperm vitality assessment. *Hum Reprod*. 18(4):813–6.

British National Formulary: BNF (2015). (69<sup>th</sup> ed.) British Medical Association.

Cara, A. M. B., Julia, L. M., Lauren, B. W., Heidi, S. R., Shannon, R. L., and Kay, E. G.(2015), Human and Environmental Toxicity of Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (SLS): Evidence for Safe Use in Household Cleaning Products. *Environ Health Insights*. 9: 27–32.

Cheng, Z., Nui, R., Sun, Z., Wang, J., Wang, J. (2008). Effects of fluoride and lead on locomotor behaviour and expression of Nissl body in brain of adult rats. *Research report fluoride* 41(4) : 276-282

Chinoy, N.J., Sequeira, E. (1989). Effects of fluoride on the histoarchitecture of reproductive organs of the male mouse. *ReprodToxicol*. 3:261-7.

Cosmetic Ingredient Review (1983). Final report on the safety assessment of sodium lauryl sulfate. *Int. J. Toxicol*. 2(7): 127-81.

Cosmetic Ingredient Review (2015). Final report on the safety Assessment of sodium lauryl sulfate and ammonium lauryl sulfate. *Int. J. Toxicol*. 24(1):1-102.

Debensten, P.K., Keman, W.J., Mullenix, P.J., Schunior, A. (1995). Neurotoxicity of sodium fluoride in rats. *NeurotoxicolTeratol* 17(2): 169-77.

Fitzhugh, O.G. and Nelson, A.A. (1968). Chronic oral toxicities of surface active agents. *J. Pharm. Sci*. 37: 29-32.

Flack, C. P. and Woollen, J. W. (1984). Prevention of interference by dextran with biuret-type assay of serum proteins. *Clinical Chemistry*, 30(4). 559-561.

Flaitz, C.M., Hicks, M.J. (2000). Enamel caries formation and lesion progression with a fluoride dentrifice and a calcium-phosphate containing fluoride dentrifice: a polarized light microscopy. *ASDC J Dent Child* 67(1): 21-8.

Gopalakrishna, B., Hanumanth, R.S. et al. (2002). Histological changes in the brain of young fluoride intoxicated rats. *Fluoride*. 35(1): 12- 21.

Green, S. (2005). “Fluoride”. *Encyclopedia of Toxicology* (2nd ed.). Elsevier. Pp.342-343.

Haguenauer, D., Shea, B.H., Tugwell,P., Welch, V., Wells, G. (2000). “Fluoride for treating post-menopausal osteoporosis”. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*.

321 Haynes, W.M. (2011). Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. (12<sup>th</sup> ed.) CRC Press p194.  
 322  
 323 Imtithal, A. M. and Baraa, N. A.(2017), Effect of sodium fluoride on liver functions of rats  
 324 and amelioration by CoQ10, Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 5(5). 883-  
 325 893.  
 326 Kapp, R. (2005). "Fluorine". Encyclopedia of Toxicology. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Elsevier. Pp.343-346.  
 327  
 328 Lippert, F. (2013). "An Introduction to Toothpaste – It's Purpose, History and Ingredients".  
 329 In Van Loveren, Cor. Toothpaste. Monographs in Oral science. Vol. 23 pp.1-14.  
 330  
 331 Maryam, A., Muhammad, H. S., Khushbukhat, K., Kanwal, Z. and Arif-un-Nisa, N. (2017),  
 332 Haematological evaluation of sodium fluoride toxicity in oryctolagus cuniculus.  
 333 Toxicology Reports. 4,450-454.  
 334 Murray, J.J., Nunn, J.H., Steele, J.G. (2003). The Prevention of Oral Disease. OUP Oxford.  
 335 p.53.  
 336 O'Neil, M.J. (2001). The Merck Index- An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs and  
 337 Biologicals. 13<sup>th</sup> ed. p. 1540.  
 338 Rec, G. S. C. C. (1972). Colorimetric Method for Serum Alkaline Phosphatase  
 339 Determination. *Journal of Clinical Chemistry and Clinical Biochemistry*, 10(2): 182  
 340 Ramakrishna Rao, P. and Saralakumari, D. (1991). Red blood cell glucose metabolism in  
 341 human chronic fluoride toxicity. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 47:834-839  
 342 Reitman, S and Frankel, S. (1957). A colorimetric method for determination of serum  
 343 glutamate oxaloacetate and glutamic pyruvate transaminase. *American Journal of*  
 344 *clinical pathology*. 28: 56-58.  
 345 Shashi, A. (2003). Histopathological Investigation of Fluoride-induced Neurotoxicity in  
 346 rabbits. Fluoride. 36(2) pp.95-105, A., Jolly, S.S. (1970). Chronic Toxic Effects on  
 347 the Skeletal System in Fluorides and Human Health. Geneva, WHO 238-49.  
 348  
 349 Spellman, F.R. (2008). Handbook of water and Waste water Treatment Plant Operations.  
 350 (2<sup>nd</sup>ed) CRC Press p.131  
 351  
 352 Wan, S., Wang, J., Zhang, J. (2006). Fluoride induced changes in the expression of epidermal  
 353 growth factor and its receptor in testicular tissues of young male rats. Fluoride. 39:  
 354 121-125.  
 355  
 356 Whitford, G.N. (2011). Acute Toxicity of ingested fluoride. Monogr. Oral. Sci. 22: 66-80.  
 357 World Health Organisation. Environmental Health Criteria 227 Fluorides (2002).  
 358 Retrieved November, 2006.  
 359  
 360 Yutaka, T., Yuki, K., Hiroyuki, H., Yuji, M., Kiego, M., Takeki, U., Motonobu, U. and  
 361 Mikinori, T. (2014). Diagnostic and predictive performance and standard threshold of  
 362 traditional biomarkers for drug-induced liver injury in rats. *Journal of Applied*  
 363 *Toxicology*, 35(2): 165 – 172.