

Responses of morphological and yield components of pepper in treatments of *Glomus deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius* compost and Poultry manure.

Abstract

The response of five varieties of pepper was investigated at the research farm of the Department of Botany, University of Ibadan. Five treatments of *Glomus deserticola* (AMF), poultry manure (PM), *Pleurotus pulmonarius* (SMC) were inoculated into 5 kg of sterile soil in poly pots, while uninoculated served as control. The treatment and varieties produced highly significant ($p < 0.01$) effects on the total number of fruit, while total fresh weight was highly significant for treatment. Jos pepper and treatment combinations of AMF + PM produced the highest mean for the total number of 24.07 and 25.87 fruits, while Bell pepper had highest total fresh weight and dry weight of 12.15g and 12.05g respectively. The leaf length, leaf width, the number of leaves, number of branches and stem girth of Long pepper were significantly higher with 9.20cm, 4.63cm, 110.01cm, 5.89cm and 0.82cm respectively, while plant height (48.82cm) and stem height (30.27cm) of cherry pepper had the highest. The plant height was positive and strongly correlated ($p < 0.01$) with stem height, leaf width, leaf length, number of leaves and stem girth at $r = 0.84, 0.80, 0.83, 0.79$ and 0.60 respectively. Also, there was a positive association between the total number of fruits and total fresh weight ($r = 0.56$). However, the selection of Jos, Bell, Long and Cherry pepper based on morphological and yielding traits as a result of individual and combined treatments of *Glomus deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius* compost, and poultry manure could play major roles in food security.

Keywords: Phenotypic traits, food security, bioinoculants, pepper, variability

1.0. Introduction

Pepper (*Capsicum annum*) is an important vegetable grown in Nigeria and other parts of the humid and semi arid tropics (Aliyu, 2000). The different varieties of *C. annum* grown which include Bird pepper, Cayenne pepper or Red pepper, Bell pepper, Long pepper also called Indian long pepper, Cherry pepper and Thai pepper. Their fruits vary in sizes, shapes, colour, and pungency and culinary uses (Basu and De, 2003). It is commonly used as condiments, while the non pungent species of *C. annum* are eaten raw as salad, while the stronger flavoured types (Chilies) are popularly used in all kinds of cookery as pungent spices, and also used in seasoning sauces in soup and other dishes (Alabi, 2006). The leaves of sweet pepper are sometimes eaten as vegetable in Gabon and are reported to have carcascidal and molluscicidal potential due to the presence of active essential oil (Kloos and McCullough, 1987).

The excessive use of inorganic fertilizers has resulted in pollution of water basins, destruction of microbes, insects and physicochemical property of the soil. Therefore, there is need for adoption of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi biotechnology and other bioinoculants which could serve as alternative to chemical fertilizers. Bio-inoculants are natural and organic fertilizers that conserve nitrogen and enrich the soil nutrients, for the benefit of plants (Olawuyi *et al.*, 2012). Arbuscular mycorrhizae Fungi (AMF) associate symbiotically with the roots of plant improve the uptake of phosphorus due to the short transmission distance of phosphate ions in

48 the soil for plants' survival and growth (Osonubi *et al.*, 1991; Odebode *et al.*, 2001;
49 Schwarzott and Shubbler, 2001; Gemma *et al.*, 2005; Olawuyi *et al.*, 2011a; Olawuyi *et al.*,
50 2012). AMF fungi also play key role in nutrients cycling and protection of plants against
51 environmental and biotic stresses (Harley and Smith, 1989; Odebode, 2005; Olawuyi *et al.*,
52 2013, 2014). Several studies had reported the role of AMF on the growth of pepper (Turkmen
53 *et al.*, 2008; Zayed *et al.*, 2013). However, the interactions of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
54 and other bioinoculants in genetic improvement of crops had enhanced yield, and reduced the
55 challenges of pollution and toxicity of the soil (Jonathan *et al.*, 2013; Olawuyi *et al.*, 2014).
56 Poultry Manure (PM) is an organic waste from poultry birds consisting of bird's faeces, waste
57 food, feathers and increases soil carbon, organic nitrogen and exchangeable calcium resulting
58 to pH increase. It causes slow release of macro nutrients most especially phosphorous which
59 may lead to slow growth and poor yield of plant (Sunassee, 2001; Nwangburuka *et al.*, 2012).
60 It has also been used to improve the soil structure apart from enhancing the growth and yield
61 of vegetable plants (Nwangburuka *et al.*, 2012b).
62 Spent Mushroom Compost (SMC) also known as spent mushroom substrate (SMS) or
63 mushroom soil has become a popular organic soil amendment for the establishment and
64 maintenance of agricultural and horticultural crops. It is also a viable and useful by-product
65 in mushroom farming (Fidanza and Davis, 2009; Jonathan *et al.*, 2013). This growth medium
66 which constitute the mixture of agricultural materials such as; straw from horse stables, hay,
67 poultry litter, ground corn cobs, cottonseed hulls, cocoa shells, peat moss, and other natural
68 organic substances improve plant growth in poor or marginal soils (Fidanza and Davis,
69 2009).
70 The study investigated the morphological and yield variability response of pepper to *Glomus*
71 *deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius* and Poultry manure.

72 73 **2.0. Materials and Methods**

74 75 **2.1. Study location and Soil sample**

76 The study was conducted in the nursery Farm of the Department of Botany, University of
77 Ibadan, Nigeria from February, 2013 to May, 2013. This area lies between latitude 3° 53' E
78 and longitude 17° 26' N and altitude of 185 m above sea level (Akin-Oriola, 2003), with a
79 mean daily temperature of 24.6°C and mean rainfall range above 1300 mm.

80 The soil sample was collected from Sultan Bello Hall garden, University of Ibadan, and
81 bagged in black polythene bags punched with 6 tiny holes to prevent water logging.

82 83 **2.2. Research design and Treatments**

84 The experiment was factorially laid out in a 5x5 arrangement of a complete randomized
85 design with three replicates. Five accessions of peppers cultivated in the study were labeled
86 and they are; Accession G – Bell Pepper (Tatase), Accession H – Long Pepper , Accession I
87 – Jos Pepper, Accession J – cherry Pepper (Bawa), Accession K – Thai Pepper (Ata Ibile).

88 A total of seventy five (75) plants which comprised of five treatments were evaluated in this
89 study; T1– 2.5g inoculum of Arbuscular mycorrhizal Fungus (AMF) plus 2.5g of Poultry
90 Manure (PM), T2 – 5g of AMF only, T3 - 5g of PM only, T4 - 5g of Spent Mushroom
91 Compost (SMC) only , T5 – Control.

92
93
94
95

96 **2.3. Sources of Bio-inoculants and Plant material**

97 Arbuscular mycorrhizal Fungus genus *Glomus deserticola* was obtained from the Department
98 of Botany, University of Ibadan, Ibadan. PM was collected from the Poultry farm of
99 University of Ibadan, Ibadan, while the SMC was obtained from a mushroom.
100 The Bell, Long and Jos pepper were purchased respectively in Lagos, while Cherry and Thai
101 peppers were bought. (Table 1).
102

103 **2.4. Method of planting and Agronomic practices**

104 Twenty seeds each of pepper accession were raised by planting in sterile polythene bag filled
105 with 7kg soil at the nursery of Department of Botany. After 2 weeks each accession was
106 transplanted into 4kg of soil in the nursery. The *G. deserticola*, poultry manure and spent
107 mushroom compost were applied to the depth of 10 and 20cm away from stand (Orluchukwu
108 and Adedokun, 2014). Watering of plant and removal of weeds were carried out weekly
109

110 **2.5. Determination of morphological and yield traits**

111 **2.5.1. Growth assessment**

112 The following number of leaves, plant height (cm), stem height (cm), stem girth (cm) and leaf
113 area. This was replicated three times and data were collected at 7 days intervals on each
114 replicate.
115

116 **2.5.2. Harvesting and evaluation of pepper for yield traits**

117 The fruits were harvested at week from the 13th to 19th week after planting at unripen stage.
118 After harvesting, the fruits were weighed and kept in envelopes (labelled according to the
119 plants, treatment and replicate) and air dried. The fresh and dry weight of the fruits were
120 determined.
121

122 **2.6. Statistical Analysis**

123 The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS version 16.0, while
124 Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was further used to separate treatment means $p < 0.0$
125
126

127 **3.0. Results**

128 The result in table 1 showed the mean square effects of accessions and treatments of
129 bioinoculants on yield. The accession produced highly significant ($p < 0.01$) effect on total
130 number of fruit, while the effect of treatments of bioinoculants was significantly expressed on
131 total number of fruit and total fresh weight.
132

133 There were significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in the response of pepper accessions to
134 morphological parameters (Table 2). The plant (48.82cm) and stem height (30.27cm) of
135 cherry pepper were significantly different from other accessions, while the leaf length (9.20
136 cm), leaf width (4.63 cm), number of leaves (110.01), number of branches (5.89) and stem
137 girth (0.82cm) were significantly higher in long pepper. The number of flowers was
138 significantly expressed in Jos pepper compared to other accessions.
139

140 The result of the effect of treatment combinations of bioinoculants on growth characters of
141 pepper is shown in table 3. The combinations of AMF + PM is significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher
142 for all the characters but not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different for plant height(37.89 cm) and
143 stem girth (0.77 cm) in all the treatments, and stem height (24.53 cm) in pepper treated with
144

145 poultry manure. The application of ; AMF only and SMC only, AMF only and PM only, PM
146 only and SMC only were not significantly different for stem height (21.39 and 23.28cm,
147 21.39 and 24.53cm, 24.53 and 23.28 cm) number of leaves (83.60 and 78.04, 83.60 and
148 80.24, 80.24 and 78.04) ; number of branches (5.24 and 4.00, 5.24 and 4.53, 4.53 and 4.00) as
149 well as leaf width (2.92 and 3.20cm, 2.92 and 3.38cm, 3.38 and 3.20 cm) , leaf length (6.44
150 and 6.82 cm, 6.44 and 7.23 cm, 7.23 and 6.82 cm) and number of leaves (83.60 and 78.04
151 cm, 83.60 and 80.24 cm, 80.24 and 78.04) respectively (Table 3).

152

153 The result in table 4 shows that the treatment combinations of *Glomus deserticola* and poultry
154 manure is significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher for total number of fruit (25.87) than control (7.47),
155 while *G. deserticola* only and poultry manure only did not express significant effect on total
156 number of fruit (18.80 and 21.60). The addition of poultry manure only and combined
157 treatments of *G. deserticola* and poultry manure were significantly higher for total fresh
158 weight (4.53 g and 10.38 g), while the effects of control (7.75 g) and treated pepper with
159 *Pleurotus pulmonarius* were non significant (Table 4). The untreated and sole treated with *G.*
160 *deserticola* and poultry manure were significantly higher for total dry weight (9.80 g) of
161 pepper, while combinations of *G. deserticola* and poultry manure as well as pepper solely
162 treated with *P. pulmonarius* were not significantly different at $p > 0.05$.

163

164 The result of the effect of accession on yield of pepper is shown in table 5. The Long and Jos
165 pepper were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher but not different for total number of fruit (21.87
166 and 24.07), while Bell pepper and Thai pepper were non-significant. The total fresh weight
167 (11.11 and 12.15g) of Jos and Bell pepper were significantly higher than other pepper, while
168 total dry weight (12.05g) of bell pepper was higher significantly compared to others. The Jos
169 and Cherry pepper as well as Long and Thai pepper were not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different
170 from each other (Table 5).

171

172 The plant height is positive and strongly correlated ($p < 0.01$) with stem height, leaf width, leaf
173 length, number of leaves and stem girth with $r = 0.84, 0.80, 0.83, 0.79$ and 0.60 respectively.
174 The stem height is positively associated with leaf width, leaf length, number of leaves and
175 number of branches per plant at $p < 0.01$; $r = 0.80, 0.81, 0.61,$ and 0.51 respectively. The leaf
176 width is positive and strongly correlated with leaf length ($r = 0.95$) and number of leaves
177 ($r = 0.66$), but related with number of branches ($r = 0.59$) and stem girth ($r = 0.51$), while the
178 association between the leaf width and number of flowers, week after planting, and
179 accessions were positive but not related. Also, there was positive association between leaf
180 length and number of leaves, number of branches and stem girth at $p < 0.01$; $r = 0.68, 0.57$ and
181 0.54 respectively. The number of leaves is positive correlated with number of flowers,
182 number of branches and stem girth at $r = 0.57, 0.65,$ and 0.59 respectively while there was
183 positive association between week after planting and stem girth ($r = 0.71, p < 0.05$) (Table 6).

184

185 There is no significance in the relationship between treatment and total number of fruits and
186 accessions, while there is a negative and non significant correlation between treatment, total
187 fresh weight and total dry weight. There is high significance and positive correlation between
188 total number of fruits and total fresh weight alone ($r = 0.56$), while there is negative and no
189 correlation between total dry weight and accessions (Table 7).

190

191

192

193

194 **Table 1: Mean Square effects of Accessions and Treatments of *Glomus deserticola*,**
 195 ***Pleurotus pulmonarius* Compost and Poultry Manure on yield of pepper**
 196

Sources of Variation	Df	Total Number of Fruit	Total Fresh Weight (g)	Total Dry Weight (g)
Treatment	4	507.55**	114.16**	10.20
Accession	4	858.58**	170.80	64.54
Replicate	2	113.65	24.99	15.88
Error	64	160.18	24.99	39.34
Total	75			
Corrected Total	74			

197
 198 ** P< 0.01 highly significant, * P< 0.05 significant, ns= non significant
 199

200 **Table 2: Effect of accessions on morphological characters of pepper**
 201

Accession	Plant Height (cm)	Stem Height (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)	Leaf Length (cm)	Number of Leaves	Number of Flowers	Number of Branches	Stem Girth (cm)
Bell Pepper (Tatase)	17.64 ^d	13.72 ^d	1.95 ^d	4.49 ^d	55.17 ^c	3.60 ^c	4.00 ^b	0.53 ^c
Long Pepper	43.54 ^{ab}	29.61 ^a	4.63 ^a	9.20 ^a	110.01 ^a	7.63 ^b	5.89 ^a	0.82 ^a
Jos Pepper	29.07 ^c	17.82 ^c	2.87 ^c	5.62 ^c	81.81 ^b	14.04 ^a	4.29 ^b	0.61 ^{bc}
Cherry Pepper (Bawa)	48.82 ^a	30.27 ^a	3.58 ^b	8.12 ^a	89.25 ^{ab}	8.81 ^{ab}	3.71 ^b	0.78 ^a
Thai Pepper (Ata Ibile)	38.47 ^b	21.84 ^b	3.05 ^{bc}	7.00 ^b	81.54 ^b	7.33 ^b	3.96 ^b	0.75 ^{ab}

202 Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$ by Duncan test
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209

210 **Table 3: Effect of treatment combinations of *Glomus deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius***
 211 **compost and Poultry manure on eight morphological characters of pepper**

Treatments	Plant Height (cm)	Stem Height (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)	Leaf Length (cm)	Number of Leaves	Number of Flowers	Number of Branches	Stem Girth (cm)
AMF +PM	37.89 ^a	25.13 ^a	3.73 ^a	7.86 ^a	95.47 ^a	12.38 ^a	5.24 ^a	0.77 ^a
AMF only	33.81 ^a	21.39 ^{ab}	2.92 ^b	6.44 ^b	83.60 ^{ab}	9.00 ^{ab}	4.29 ^{ab}	0.67 ^a
PM only	36.69 ^a	24.53 ^a	3.38 ^{ab}	7.23 ^{ab}	80.24 ^{ab}	6.09 ^b	4.53 ^{ab}	0.70 ^a
SMC only	37.44 ^a	23.28 ^{ab}	3.20 ^{ab}	6.82 ^{ab}	78.04 ^{ab}	7.02 ^{ab}	4.00 ^b	0.71 ^a
Control	32.66 ^a	19.85 ^b	2.95 ^b	6.28 ^b	73.78 ^b	7.27 ^{ab}	3.93 ^b	0.67 ^a

212 Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$ by Duncan test

213 AMF- Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomus deserticola*), PM- Poultry Manure, SMC- Spent Mushroom Compost
 214 (*Pleurotus pulmonarius*)

217 **Table 4: Effect of *Glomus deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius* compost and Poultry**
 218 **manure on the yield of pepper**

Treatment	Total Number of Fruit	Total fresh Weight (g)	Total Dry Weight (g)
<i>Glomus deserticola</i> + Poultry Manure	25.87 ^a	10.38 ^a	9.80 ^{ab}
<i>Glomus deserticola</i> only	18.80 ^{ab}	4.53 ^b	10.11 ^a
Poultry Manure only	21.60 ^{ab}	11.67 ^a	11.62 ^a
<i>Pleurotus pulmonarius</i> compost	10.53 ^{bc}	9.43 ^{ab}	9.49 ^{ab}
Control (Untreated)	7.47 ^c	7.75 ^{ab}	10.01 ^a

220 Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$ by Duncan test

221
222
223
224
225
226
227

228 **Table 5: Effect of Accessions on the yield of pepper**

Accession	Total Number of Fruit	Total Fresh Weight (cm)	Total Dry Weight (cm)
Bell Pepper (Tatase)	7.87 ^b	12.15 ^a	12.05 ^a
Long Pepper	21.87 ^a	10.13 ^{ab}	11.16 ^{ab}
Jos Pepper	24.07 ^a	11.11 ^a	8.01 ^b
Cherry Pepper (Bawa)	11.53 ^{ab}	5.84 ^{bc}	7.91 ^b
Thai Pepper (Ata Ibile)	8.93 ^b	4.51 ^c	11.89 ^{ab}

229 Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$ by Duncan test

230

231 **Table 6: Correlation among morphological characters and growth stages of pepper at 7**
 232 **days interval**

Plant Height (cm)	Stem Height (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)	Leaf Length (cm)	Number of Leaves	Number of Flowers	Number of Branches	Week after Planting
Stem Height	0.84**						
Leaf Width	0.80**	0.80**					
Leaf Length	0.83**	0.81**	0.95**				
Number of Leaves	0.79**	0.61**	0.66**	0.68**			
Number of Flowers	0.45	0.34	0.32	0.28	0.57*		
Number of Branches	0.48	0.51*	0.59*	0.57*	0.65**	0.25	
Stem Girth	0.60**	0.47	0.51*	0.54*	0.59**	0.34	0.47
							0.71**

233 *, ** significant at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$ at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$ respectively

234

235

236

237

238

239

240


241 Table 7: Correlation matrix of yield related traits of pepper at 7 days interval
242

Treatment	Total Number of Fruit	Total Fresh Weight	Total Dry Weight
Total number of Fruit	0.08*		
Total Fresh Weight	-0.01	0.56**	
Total Dry Weight	-0.00	-0.01	0.06

243
244 *, ** significant at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$ respectively ns= Non-significant at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$ respectively
245
246
247



248 (a)



(b)



(c)



249
250
251
252
253
254
255

(d) (e) (f)
Plate A: Fruit of bell pepper, Plate B: Fruits of Long Pepper, Plate C: Bell Pepper treated with AMF + PM Showing the leaves, fruits and flower, Plate D: Long pepper treated with PM, Plate E: Long Pepper treated with AMF + PM, Plate F: Jos pepper treated with AMF + PM



256
257
258





259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290

(i) Plate G: Jos pepper treated with AMF only, Plate H: Cherry pepper (Control), Plate I: Thai pepper treated with AMF, Plate J: Thai pepper treated with AMF+PM

4.0. Discussion

It is apparent from the results that growth of pepper plant can be improved when inoculated with appropriate AMF+PM and AMF only, under well watered condition. Significant increase in plant height (37.89 and 33.81 cm), number of leaves (95.47 and 83.60 cm), stem girth (0.77 and 0.67 cm) was recorded in AMF+PM and AMF only inoculated plants. This increase in growth characters can be attributed to the mycorrhizal activity in stimulating the absorption of the nutrition from the surrounding soil to the host plants (Smith and Smith, 2012). Plants inoculated with Mycorrhiza had improved growth performance which agreed with the reports of Slankis, (1973) that the symbiotic association of the fungi also provides the host with substance to enhance their growth such as auxin, gibberellins and cytokinnins. From the result, it was observed the pepper plants treated with SMC only are the tallest, this shows that SMC is responsible for increase in the height of pepper plant which agreed with the report of Idowu and Kadiri (2013). The positive and highly significance between the characters number of leaves, number of flowers, number of branches and stem girth shows that number of flowers, number of branches and stem girth are determinants of number of leaves indicate that these attributes are the most important component for yield selection and direct selection for these characters as similarly confirmed by Nwagburuka *et al.* (2012) and Olawuyi *et al.* (2014).

Ekanyake *et al.* (2004) reported that AMF can increase the plant biomass and rate of photosynthesis. Gamalero *et al.*, (2004) also confirmed that AMF can act as phytostimulators, and can alter the pattern of gene expression, cellular programming and organ development of host plant. Nowadays, biofertilizer is an alternative to chemical fertilizer to increase soil fertility and crop production in sustainable farming. Furthermore, the use of biofertilizer has gained momentum in recent years since chemical fertilizers are expensive and cause hazardous effect to plants (Aseri *et al.*, 2008).

291 **5.0. Conclusion**

292 Jos pepper and treatment combinations of AMF + PM produced the highest mean for
293 the total number of 24.07 and 25.87 fruits, while Bell pepper had highest total fresh weight
294 and dry weight of 12.15g and 12.05g respectively. The other advantages of using biofertilizer
295 are; low cost, lead to soil enrichment with nutrients, compatible with long term sustainability
296 and eco-friendly. However, the amount of nutrients provided by the bioinoculants is
297 determinants of the needs of crops for high yields. The integration of AMF+PM, AMF only,
298 PM only, SMC only in pepper production as bioinoculants should be applied by farmers to
299 enhance better yield of the crops.

300

301 **6.0. Reference**

- 302 Akin-Oriola, G.A., 2003. On the phytoplankton of Awba Reservoir, Ibadan, Nigeria. *Rev.*
303 *Biol. Trop.*, 51: 99-106.
- 304 Alabi, D. A. (2006). Effect of fertilizer phosphorus and poultry droppings treatments on
305 growth and nutrient components of pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *African Journal of*
306 *Biotechnology*. 5(8): 671-677.
- 307 Aliyu, L. (2000). The effect of organic and mineral fertilizer on growth yield and
308 composition of pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Biol Agric Hort*. 18: 29-36.
- 309 Aseri G.K, Jain N., Panwar J., Rao A.V., Meghwal P.R., (2008). Biofertilizers improve plant
310 growth, fruit yield, nutrition, metabolism and rhizosphere enzyme activities of
311 Pomegranate (*Punca granatum* L.) in India Thar Desert. *Scientia horticulturae*;
312 117(2):130-135.
- 313 Basu, S.K and De, A.K. (2003). Capsicum: historical and botanical perspectives. In: De AK.
314 (Ed) Capsicum. Taylor & Francis, London & New York, 1-15
- 315 Ekanayake, I. J., Oyetunji, O. J., Osonubi, O. and Lyasse, O. (2004). The effects of
316 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and water stress on leaf chlorophyll production of
317 cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Grantz). *Food, Agriculture and Environment* 2: 190 -196
- 318 Fidanza, M.A. and Davis, D.D. (2009). Recycled mushroom compost suppresses bird's nest
319 fungi in landscape mulch. *J. Environ. Hort*. 27:238–240.
- 320 Gamalero, E., Trotta, A., Massa, N., Copetta, A., Martinotti, M.G and Berta, G. (2004).
321 Impact of two fluorescent pseudomonads and an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus on
322 tomato plant growth, root architecture, and P acquisition. *Mycorrhiza*, 14: 185-192.
323 doi: 10.1007/s00572-003-0256-3 PMID:15197635
- 324 Gemma, A. Hohnjec, N. Vieweg, M.F, Puhler, A, Becker, A and Kuster, H. (2005). Overlaps
325 in the transcriptional profiles of *M. truncatula* roots program activated during
326 arbuscular mycorrhizae. *Plant Physiol*. 137:1283-1301.
- 327 Harley, J.L and Smith, S.E. (1989). Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press, London Uk pp
328 267-295
- 329 Idowu, O.O. and Kadiri, M. (2013). Growth and yield response of Okra (*Abelmoscus*
330 *esculentus* Moench) to spent mushroom compost from the cultivation of *Pleurotus*
331 *ostreatus* an edible mushroom. *Academia Journal of Agriculture Research*. 1(3): 039-
332 044.
- 333 Jonathan, S.G., Olawuyi, O.J. and Babalola, B.J. (2013) Evaluation of Okra Accessions in
334 Treatment Combinations of Mycorrhiza Fungus, Mushroom Compost and Poultry
335 Manure. Proceedings of Tropentag Conference on Agricultural Development within
336 the Rural-Urban Continuum, Stuttgart, Hohenheim.
337 www.tropentag.de/abstracts/full/790.pdf

338 Kloos, H. and McCullough, F.S. (1987) Plant Molluscicides. *Journal of Medicinal Plant*
339 *Research*, 46, 195-209. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-971215>

340 Nwangburuka C.C., Denton, O.A., Kehinde, O.B., Ojo, D.K., Popoola, A.R. (2012a). Genetic
341 variability and heritability in cultivated okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* [L.] moench).
342 *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*. 10(1). 123-129.

343 Nwangburuka C.C., Olawuyi O.J., Oyekale K., Ogunwenmo K.O., Denton O.A., Awotade
344 D.. (2012b). Effect of Arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM), Poultry manure(PM),
345 Combination of AM-PM and inorganic fertilizer (NPK) on the growth and yield of
346 okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). *International Journal of Organic Agriculture*
347 *Research and Development*. (7): 23-38.

348 Odebode, A. C and Sobowale, A. (2001). Antagonistic Activities of Fungal Flora Isolated
349 from Pepper Phylloplane on Postharvest Pathogen of Pepper (*Capsicum annum*). *Acta*
350 *Phytopathologica et entomologica Hungarica*, 36(3-4): 289-292.

351 Odebode AC. 2005. The use of Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (AM) as a source of yield increase in
352 sustainable alley cropping system. *Arch Agron Soil Sci*. 51:385–390

353 Olawuyi, O.J. Babatunde, F.E. Akinbode, O.A. Odebode, A.C and Olakojo, S.A. (2011a).
354 Influence of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal and N.P.K fertilizer on the Productivity of
355 Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*). *International Journal of Organic Agriculture Research*
356 *and Development* 3:22-31.

357 Olawuyi, O.J. Odebode, A.C. Olakojo, S.A. and Adesoye, A. (2011b). Host-parasite
358 relationship of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and *Striga lutea* (Lour) as influenced by
359 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal. *Journal Scientific Research* 10: 186-198.

360 Olawuyi, O.J. Odebode, A.C. Olakojo, S.A. and Adesoye, A. (2012). Variation in Maize
361 Tolerance in *Striga lutea* (Lour) and Influence of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi.
362 *International Journal of Basic, Applied and innovative Research*. 1:1-5.

363 Olawuyi, O.J. Odebode, A.C. Oyewole, I.O and Akanmu, A.O. (2013). Effect of arbuscular
364 mycorrhizal fungi on *Pythium aphanidermatum* causing foot rot disease on pawpaw
365 (*Carica papaya* L.) seedlings. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection* 47:
366 185-193.

367 Olawuyi O.J., Jonathan S.G., Babatunde F.E., Babalola B.J., Yaya O.S., Agbolade J.O., Aina
368 D.A., Egun C.J.,(2014) Accession × Treatment Interaction, Variability and
369 Correlation Studies of Pepper (*Capsicum* spp.) under the Influence of Arbuscular
370 Mycorrhiza Fungus (*Glomus clarum*) and Cow Dung. *American Journal of Plant*
371 *Sciences*. 5:683-690.

372 Orluchukwu J. A. and Adedokun, O. M. (2014). Comparative effects of poultry manure and
373 spent mushroom substrate on the growth and yield of pineapple (*Ananas comosus*) in
374 Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 9(26): 2041-2044

375 Osonubi, O., Mulongoy, K., Awotoye, O.O., Atayese, M.O. and Okali, D.U.U. (1991) Effects
376 of Ectomycorrhizal and Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Drought
377 Tolerance of Four Leguminous Woody Seedlings. *Plant and Soil*, 136, 131-143.
378 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1986.tb00656.x>

379 Schwarzott, D and Schubler, A. (2001). A simple and reliable method of SSU rRNA gene
380 DNA extraction, amplification and cloning from single AM fungi spores.
381 *Mycorrhizae*, 10: 203-207

382 Slankis V. (1973). Hormonal relationships in mycorrhizal development in Ectomycorrhizae
383 (Ed. By G.C. Marks & T.T. Kozlowski), pp 231-298. Academic Press, New York.

384 Smith, S.E. and Smith, F.A. (2012). Fresh perspectives on the roles of arbuscular mycorrhizal
385 fungi in plant nutrition and growth. *Mycologia* 2012, 104, 1–13

- 386 Sunassee, S. (2001). Food and Agricultural Research Council, Reduit, Mauritius. 259 – 263.
- 387 Turkmen O., Sensoy S., Demir S. and Erdinc C. (2008). Effects of two different AMF species
388 on growth and nutrient content of pepper seedlings grown under moderate salt stress.
389 *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 7(4): 392-396. DOI: 10.5897/AJB07.603
- 390 Zayed, Mona S. Hassanein, M.K.K. Esa, Nahed H. and Abdallah, M.M.F. (2013).
391 Productivity of pepper crop (*Capsicum annuum* L.) as affected by organic fertilizer,
392 soil solarization, and endomycorrhizae. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*. 58(2): 131–
393 137 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2013.07.011>