

Original Research Article

Phenotypic expressions of Pepper in treatments of *Glomus deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius* compost and Poultry manure

Abstract

The response of five varieties of pepper was investigated at the research farm of the Department of Botany, University of Ibadan. Five treatments of *Glomus deserticola* (AMF), poultry manure (PM), *Pleurotus pulmonarius* (SMC) were inoculated into 5 kg of sterile soil in poly pots, while uninoculated served as control. The treatment and varieties produced highly significant ($p < 0.01$) effects on the total number of fruit, while total fresh weight was highly significant for treatment. Jos pepper and treatment combinations of AMF + PM produced the highest mean for the total number of 24.07 and 25.87 fruits, while Bell pepper had highest total fresh weight and dry weight of 12.15g and 12.05g respectively. The leaf length, leaf width, the number of leaves, number of branches and stem girth of Long pepper were significantly higher with 9.20cm, 4.63cm, 110.01cm, 5.89cm and 0.82cm respectively, while plant height (48.82cm) and stem height (30.27cm) of cherry pepper had the highest. The plant height was positive and strongly correlated ($p < 0.01$) with stem height, leaf width, leaf length, number of leaves and stem girth at $r = 0.84, 0.80, 0.83, 0.79$ and 0.60 respectively. Also, there was a positive association between the total number of fruits and total fresh weight ($r = 0.56$). However, the selection of Jos, Bell, Long and Cherry pepper based on morphological and yielding traits as a result of individual and combined treatments of *Glomus deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius* compost, and poultry manure could play major roles in food security.

Keywords: Phenotypic traits, food security, bioinoculants, pepper, variability

0.0. Introduction

Pepper (*Capsicum annum*) is an important vegetable grown in Nigeria and other parts of the humid and semi arid tropics (Aliyu, 2000). The different varieties of *C. annum* grown which include Bird pepper, Cayenne pepper or Red pepper, Bell pepper, Long pepper also called Indian long pepper, Cherry pepper and Thai pepper. Their fruits vary in sizes, shapes, colour, and pungency and culinary uses (Eldon Everhart *et al.*, 2009).

It is commonly used as condiments, while the non pungent species of *C. annum* are eaten raw as salad, while the stronger flavoured types (Chilies) are popularly used in all kinds of cookery as pungent spices, and also used in seasoning sauces in soup and other dishes (Alabi, 2006). The leaves of sweet pepper are sometimes eaten as vegetable in Gabon and are reported to have carcascidal and molluscicidal potential due to the presence of active essential oil (Irvine, 1956; Walker and Sillans, 1961; Kloos and McCullough, 1982).

The adverse effects of excessive inorganic fertilizers on crops, pollution of water basins, destruction of microbes and insects. Therefore, the adoption of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi biotechnology and other bioinoculants which could serve as alternative to chemical fertilizers necessitated this study.

Bio-inoculants are natural and organic fertilizers that conserve nitrogen and enrich the soil nutrients, for the benefit of plants (Olawuyi *et al.*, 2012). Arbuscular mycorrhizae Fungi

48 (AMF) associate symbiotically with the roots of plant improve the uptake of phosphorus due
49 to the short transmission distance of phosphate ions in the soil for plants' survival and growth
50 (Osonubi *et al.*, 1991; Odebode *et al.*, 2001, Schwarzott *et al.*, 2001, Gemma *et al.*, 2005;
51 Olawuyi *et al.*, 2011; Olawuyi *et al.*, 2012). AMF fungi also play key role in nutrients cycling
52 and protection of plants against environmental and biotic stresses (Harley & Smith, 1989;
53 Odebode, 2005; Olawuyi *et al.*, 2013, 2014). The interactions of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
54 and other bioinoculants in genetic improvement of crops had enhanced yield, and reduced the
55 challenges of pollution and toxicity of the soil (Jonathan *et al.*, 2013; Olawuyi *et al.*, 2014).
56 Poultry Manure (PM) is an organic waste from poultry birds consisting of bird's faeces,
57 waste food, feathers and increases soil carbon, organic nitrogen and exchangeable calcium
58 resulting to pH increase. It causes slow release of macro nutrients most especially
59 phosphorous which may lead to slow growth and poor yield of plant (Sunassee, 2001;
60 Nwangburuka *et al.*, 2012). It has also been used to improve the soil structure apart from
61 enhancing the growth and yield of vegetable plants (Nwangburuka *et al.*, 2012b).
62 Spent Mushroom Compost (SMC) also known as spent mushroom substrate (SMS) or
63 mushroom soil has become a popular organic soil amendment for the establishment and
64 maintenance of agricultural and horticultural crops. It is also a viable and useful by-product
65 in mushroom farming (Fidanza, 2009; Jonathan *et al.*, 2013). This growth medium which
66 constitute the mixture of agricultural materials such as; straw from horse stables, hay, poultry
67 litter, ground corn cobs, cottonseed hulls, cocoa shells, peat moss, and other natural organic
68 substances improve plant growth in poor or marginal soils (Fidanza, 2009).
69 The study investigated the morphological and yield variability response of pepper to *Glomus*
70 *deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius* and Poultry manure.

71

72 **1.0. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

73

74 **1.1. Study location and Soil sample**

75 The experiment was conducted in the nursery Farm of the Department of Botany, University
76 of Ibadan, Nigeria from February, 2013 to May, 2013.

77 The soil sample was collected from Sultan Bello Hall garden, University of Ibadan, and
78 bagged in black polythene bags punched with 6 tiny holes to prevent water logging.

79

80 **1.2. Research design and Treatments**

81 The experiment was factorially laid out in a 5x5 arrangement of a complete randomized
82 design with three replicates. Five accessions of peppers cultivated in the study were labeled
83 G, H, I, J and K. They are; Accession G – Bell Pepper (Tatase), Accession H – Long Pepper ,
84 Accession I – Jos Pepper, Accession J – cherry Pepper (Bawa), Accession K – Thai Pepper
85 (Ata Ibile).

86 A total of seventy five (75) plants which comprised of five treatments were evaluated in this
87 study; T1– 2.5g of Arbuscular mycorrhizal Fungus (AMF) plus 2.5g of Poultry Manure (PM),
88 T2 – 5g of Arbuscular mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) only, T3 - 5g of Poultry Manure (PM) only,
89 T4 - 5g of Spent Mushroom Compost (SMC) only , T5 – Control

90

91 **1.3. Sources of Bio-inoculants and Plant material**

92 Arbuscular mycorrhizal Fungus (*Glomus deserticola*) was obtained from the Department of
93 Botany, University of Ibadan, Ibadan. The Poultry Manure (PM) was collected from the
94 Poultry farm of University of Ibadan, Ibadan, while the Spent Mushroom Compost (SMC)
95 was obtained from a mushroom industry in Ibadan.

96 The Bell, Long and Jos pepper were purchased from Agboju and OTO markets respectively
 97 in Lagos, while Cherry and Thai peppers were bought from Bodija market in Ibadan (Table
 98 1).
 99

100 **1.4. Method of planting and Agronomic practices**

101 Twenty seeds each of pepper accession were raised by planting in sterile polythene bag filled
 102 with 7kg soil at the nursery of Department of Botany. After 2 weeks each accession was
 103 transplanted into 4kg of soil in the nursery. The inoculation of *G. deserticola*, poultry manure
 104 and spent mushroom compost were done according to the standard procedure. Watering of
 105 plant and weeding of unwanted plant were carried out from time to time.
 106

107 **1.5. Determination of morphological and yield traits**

108 **1.5.1. Growth assessment**

109 The following number of leaves, plant height (cm), stem height (cm), stem girth (cm) and leaf
 110 area were growth parameters evaluated at 7 days intervals according to the standard methods.
 111
 112

113 **1.5.2. Harvesting and evaluation of pepper for yield traits**

114 The fruits were harvested at week from the 13th to 19th week after planting at unripen stage.
 115 After harvesting, the fruits were weighed and kept in envelopes (labelled according to the
 116 plants, treatment and replicate) and air dried. The fresh and dry weight of the fruits were
 117 determined.
 118

119 **1.5.3. Statistical Analysis**

120 The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS version 16.0, while
 121 Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was further used to separate treatment means $p < 0.05$.
 122
 123

124 **Table 1: Sources and collection of Pepper accessions**

Varieties	PEPPER TYPE	NAME OF MARKET/ TOWN	STATE
Bell Pepper (Tatase)	Sweet	Agboju Market / Lagos	Lagos
Long Pepper	Sweet	OTO / Lagos	Lagos
Jos Pepper	Hot	OTO / Lagos	Lagos
Cherry Pepper (Bawa)	Hot	Bodija / Ibadan	Oyo
Thai Pepper (Ata Ibile)	Hot	Bodija / Ibadan	Oyo

125
 126 **2.0. RESULTS**

127 The result in table 2 showed the mean square effects of accessions and treatments of
 128 bioinoculants on yield. The accession produced highly significant ($p < 0.01$) effect on total
 129 number of fruit, while the effect of treatments of bioinoculants was significantly expressed on
 130 total number of fruit and total fresh weight.

131 There were significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in the response of pepper accessions to
132 morphological parameters (Table 3). The plant and stem height of cherry pepper were
133 significantly different from other accessions, while the leaf length, leaf width, number of
134 leaves, number of branches and stem girth were significantly higher in long pepper. The
135 number of flowers was significantly expressed in Jos pepper compared to other accessions.
136 The result of the effect of treatment combinations of bioinoculants on growth characters of
137 pepper is shown in table 4. The combinations of AMF + PM is significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher
138 for all the characters but not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different for plant height and stem girth in
139 all the treatments, and stem height in pepper treated with poultry manure. The application of ;
140 AMF only and SMC only, AMF only and PM only, PM only and SMC only were not
141 significantly different for stem height and number of leaves; number of branches and leaves
142 as well as leaf width, leaf length and number of leaves respectively (Table 4).
143 The result in table 5 shows that the treatment combinations of *Glomus deserticola* and poultry
144 manure is significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher for total number of fruit than control, while *G.*
145 *deserticola* only and poultry manure only did not express significant effect on total number of
146 fruit. The addition of poultry manure only and combined treatments of *G. deserticola* were
147 significantly higher for total fresh weight, while the effects of control and treated pepper with
148 *Pleurotus pulmonarius* were non significant (Table 5). The untreated and sole treated with *G.*
149 *deserticola* and poultry manure were significantly higher for total dry weight of pepper, while
150 combinations of *G. deserticola* and poultry manure as well as pepper solely treated with *P.*
151 *pulmonarius* were not significantly different at $p > 0.05$.
152 The result of the effect of accession on yield of pepper is shown in table 6. The Long and Jos
153 pepper were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher but not different for total number of fruit, while
154 Bell pepper and Thai pepper were non-significant. The total fresh weight of Jos and Bell
155 pepper were significantly higher than other pepper, while total dry weight of bell pepper was
156 higher significantly compared to others. The Jos and Cherry pepper as well as Long and Thai
157 pepper were not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from each other (Table 6).
158 The plant height is positive and strongly correlated ($p < 0.01$) with stem height, leaf width, leaf
159 length, number of leaves and stem girth with $r = 0.84, 0.80, 0.83, 0.79$ and 0.60 respectively.
160 The stem height is positively associated with leaf width, leaf length, number of leaves and
161 number of branches per plant at $p < 0.01$; $r = 0.80, 0.81, 0.61,$ and 0.51 respectively. The leaf
162 width is positive and strongly correlated with leaf length ($r = 0.95$) and number of leaves
163 ($r = 0.66$), but related with number of branches ($r = 0.59$) and stem girth ($r = 0.51$), while the
164 association between the leaf width and number of flowers, week after planting, and
165 accessions were positive but not related. Also, there was positive association between leaf
166 length and number of leaves, number of branches and stem girth at $p < 0.01$; $r = 0.68, 0.57$ and
167 0.54 respectively. The number of leaves is positive correlated with number of flowers,
168 number of branches and stem girth at $r = 0.57, 0.65,$ and 0.59 respectively while there was
169 positive association between week after planting and stem girth ($r = 0.71, p < 0.05$) (Table 7).
170 There is no significance in the relationship between treatment and total number of fruits and
171 accessions, while there is a negative and non significant correlation between treatment, total
172 fresh weight and total dry weight. There is high significance and positive correlation between
173 total number of fruits and total fresh weight alone ($r = 0.56$), while there is negative and no
174 correlation between total dry weight and accessions (Table 8).

175
176
177
178

179 **Table 2: Mean Square effects of Accessions and Treatments of *Glomus deserticola*,**
 180 ***Pleurotus pulmonarius* Compost and Poultry Manure on yield of pepper**
 181
 182

Sources of Variation	Df	Total Number of Fruit	Total Fresh Weight	Total Dry Weight
Treatment	4	507.55**	114.16**	10.20ns
Accession	4	858.58**	170.80 ^{ns}	64.54ns
Replicate	2	113.65 ^{ns}	24.99 ^{ns}	15.88ns
Error	64	160.18	24.99 ^{ns}	39.34
Total	75			
Corrected Total	74			

183
 184 ** P< 0.01 highly significant, * P< 0.05 significant, ns= non significant
 185

186
 187 **Table 3: Effect of accessions on eight morphological characters of pepper**
 188

Accession	Plant Height (cm)	Stem Height (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)	Leaf Length (cm)	Number of Leaves	Number of Flowers	Number of Branches	Stem Girth (cm)
Bell Pepper (Tatase)	17.64 ^d	13.72 ^d	1.95 ^d	4.49 ^d	55.17 ^c	3.60 ^c	4.00 ^b	0.53 ^c
Long Pepper	43.54 ^{ab}	29.61 ^a	4.63 ^a	9.20 ^a	110.01 ^a	7.63 ^b	5.89 ^a	0.82 ^a
Jos Pepper	29.07 ^c	17.82 ^c	2.87 ^c	5.62 ^c	81.81 ^b	14.04 ^a	4.29 ^b	0.61 ^{bc}
Cherry Pepper (Bawa)	48.82 ^a	30.27 ^a	3.58 ^b	8.12 ^a	89.25 ^{ab}	8.81 ^{ab}	3.71 ^b	0.78 ^a
Thai Pepper (Ata Ibile)	38.47 ^b	21.84 ^b	3.05 ^{bc}	7.00 ^b	81.54 ^b	7.33 ^b	3.96 ^b	0.75 ^{ab}

189
 190 Means with the same letter in the same column are not significantly different at P> 0.05 using Duncan's Multiple Range Test
 191 (DMRT)
 192

193 **Table 4: Effect of treatment combinations of *Glomus deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius* compost and**
 194 **Poultry manure on eight morphological characters of pepper**

Treatments	Plant Height (cm)	Stem Height (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)	Leaf Length (cm)	Number of Leaves	Number of Flowers	Number of Branche	Stem Girth (cm)
------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------	------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------------

	s							
AMF +PM	37.89 ^a	25.13 ^a	3.73 ^a	7.86 ^a	95.47 ^a	12.38 ^a	5.24 ^a	0.77 ^a
AMF only	33.81 ^a	21.39 ^{ab}	2.92 ^b	6.44 ^b	83.60 ^{ab}	9.00 ^{ab}	4.29 ^{ab}	0.67 ^a
PM only	36.69 ^a	24.53 ^a	3.38 ^{ab}	7.23 ^{ab}	80.24 ^{ab}	6.09 ^b	4.53 ^{ab}	0.70 ^a
SMC only	37.44 ^a	23.28 ^{ab}	3.20 ^{ab}	6.82 ^{ab}	78.04 ^{ab}	7.02 ^{ab}	4.00 ^b	0.71 ^a
Control	32.66 ^a	19.85 ^b	2.95 ^b	6.28 ^b	73.78 ^b	7.27 ^{ab}	3.93 ^b	0.67 ^a

195 Means with the same letter in the same column are not significantly different at P> 0.05 using Duncan's Multiple Range Test
 196 (DMRT)

197 AMF- Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomus deserticola*), PM- Poultry Manure, SMC- Spent Mushroom Compost

198 (*Pleurotus pulmonarius*)

199

200 **Table 5: Effect of *Glomus deserticola*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius* compost and Poultry**
 201 **Manure on the yield of pepper**

202

Treatment	Total Number of Fruit	Total fresh Weight	Total Dry Weight
<i>Glomus deserticola</i> + Poultry Manure	25.87 ^a	10.38 ^a	9.80 ^{ab}
<i>Glomus deserticola</i> only	18.80 ^{ab}	4.53 ^b	10.11 ^a
Poultry Manure only	21.60 ^{ab}	11.67 ^a	11.62 ^a
<i>Pleurotus pulmonarius</i> compost	10.53 ^{bc}	9.43 ^{ab}	9.49 ^{ab}
Control (Untreated)	7.47 ^c	7.75 ^{ab}	10.01 ^a

203

204 Means with the same letter in the same column are not significantly different at P> 0.05 using Duncan's Multiple Range
 205 Test (DMRT)

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215 **Table 6: Effect of Accessions on the yield of pepper**

Accession	Total Number of Fruit	Total Fresh Weight	Total Dry Weight
Bell Pepper (Tatase)	7.87 ^b	12.15 ^a	12.05 ^a
Long Pepper	21.87 ^a	10.13 ^{ab}	11.16 ^{ab}
Jos Pepper	24.07 ^a	11.11 ^a	8.01 ^b
Cherry Pepper (Bawa)	11.53 ^{ab}	5.84 ^{bc}	7.91 ^b
Thai Pepper (Ata Ibile)	8.93 ^b	4.51 ^c	11.89 ^{ab}

216 Means with the same letter in the same column are not significantly different at P>0.05 using Duncan's Multiple Range Test
 217 (DMRT)

218

219 **Table 7: Correlation among morphological characters and growth stages of pepper**

Plant Height	Stem Height	Leaf Width	Leaf Length	Number of Leaves	Number of Flowers	Number of Branches	Week After Planting
Stem Height	0.84**						
Leaf Width	0.80**	0.80**					
Leaf Length	0.83**	0.81**	0.95**				
Number of Leaves	0.79**	0.61**	0.66**	0.68**			
Number of Flowers	0.45	0.34	0.32	0.28	0.57*		
Number of Branches	0.48	0.51*	0.59*	0.57*	0.65**	0.25	
Stem Girth	0.60**	0.47	0.51*	0.54*	0.59**	0.34	0.47
							0.71**

220 *, ** significant at P < 0.05 and P < 0.01 respectively ns= Non-significant at P < 0.05 and P < 0.01 respectively

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228 **Table 8: Correlation matrix of yield related traits of pepper**

	Treatment	Total Number of Fruit	Total Fresh Weight	Total Dry Weight
Total number of Fruit	0.08*			
Total Fresh Weight	-0.01	0.56**		
Total Dry Weight	-0.00	-0.01	0.06	

229
230
231

*, ** significant at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$ respectively ns= Non-significant at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$ respectively



232 (a)



(b)



(c)



(d)



(e)



(f)

233
234
235
236
237
238
239

Plate A: Fruit of bell pepper, Plate B: Fruits of Long Pepper, Plate C: Bell Pepper treated with AMF + PM Showing the leaves, fruits and flower, Plate D: Long pepper treated with PM only, Plate E: Long Pepper treated with AMF + PM, Plate F: Jos pepper treated with AMF + PM



(g)



(h)

240
241
242



(i)



(j)

243

244

245

246

247

Plate G: Jos pepper treated with AMF only, Plate H: Cherry pepper (Control), Plate I: Thai pepper treated with AMF only, Plate J: Thai pepper treated with AMF+PM

248

3.0. DISCUSSION

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

It is apparent from the results that growth of pepper plant can be improved when inoculated with appropriate AMF+PM and AMF only, under well watered condition. Significant increase in plant height, number of leaves, stem girth was recorded in AMF+PM and AMF only inoculated plants. This increase in growth characters can be attributed to the mycorrhizal activity in stimulating the absorption of the nutrition from the surrounding soil to the host plants (Smith and Smith, 1999; Nelson and Jenson, 1999). Plants inoculated with Mycorrhiza had improved growth performance which agreed with the reports of Slankis, (1973) that the symbiotic association of the fungi also provides the host with substance to enhance their growth such as auxin, gibberellins and cytokinins. From the result, it was observed the pepper plants treated with SMC only are the tallest, this shows that SMC is responsible for increase in the height of pepper plant which agreed with the report of Idowu and Kadiri (2013). The positive and highly significance between the characters number of leaves, number of flowers, number of branches and stem girth shows that number of flowers, number of branches and stem girth are determinants of number of leaves indicate that these attributes are the most important component for yield selection and direct selection for these characters as similarly confirmed by Nwagburuka *et al.* (2012) and Olawuyi *et al.* (2014).

Ekanayake *et al.* (2004) reported that AMF can increase the plant biomass and rate of photosynthesis. Poulton *et al.*, (1998) also confirmed that AMF can act as phytostimulators, and can alter the pattern of gene expression, cellular programming and organ development of host plant. Nowadays, biofertilizer is an alternative to chemical fertilizer to increase soil fertility and crop production in sustainable farming. Furthermore, the use of biofertilizer has gained momentum in recent years since chemical fertilizers are expensive and cause hazardous effect to plants (Aseri *et al.*, 2008).

4.0. CONCLUSION

273 The other advantages of using biofertilizer are; low cost, lead to soil enrichment with
 274 nutrients, compatible with long term sustainability and eco-friendly. However, the amount of
 275 nutrients provided by the bioinoculants is determinants of the needs of crops for high yields.
 276 The integration of AMF+PM, AMF only, PM only, SMC only in pepper production as
 277 bioinoculants should be applied by farmers to enhance better yield of the crops.
 278

279 5.0. REFERENCES

- 280 Alabi, D. A. (2006). Effect of fertilizer phosphorus and poultry droppings treatments on
 281 growth and nutrient components of pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *African Journal of*
 282 *Biotechnology*. 5(8): 671-677.
- 283 Aliyu, L. (2000). The effect of organic and mineral fertilizer on growth yield and
 284 composition of pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Biol Agric Hort*. 18: 29-36.
- 285 Aseri G.K, Jain N., Panwar J., Rao A.V., Meghwal P.R., (2008). Biofertilizers improve plant
 286 growth, fruit yield, nutrition, metabolism and rhizosphere enzyme activities of
 287 Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) in India Thar Desert. *Scientia horticulturae*;
 288 117(2):130-135.
- 289 Gemma, A. Hohnjec, N. Vieweg, M.F, Puhler, A, Becker, A and Kuster, H. (2005). Overlaps
 290 in the transcriptional profiles of *M. truncatula* roots program activated during
 291 arbuscular mycorrhizae. *Plant Physiol*. 137:1283-1301.
- 292 Harley, J.L and Smith, S.E. (1983). Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press, London.
- 293 Harley, J.L and Smith, S.E. (1989). Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press, London Uk pp
 294 267-295
- 295 Idowu, O.O. and Kadiri, M. (2013). Growth and yield response of Okra (*Abelmoschus*
 296 *esculentus* Moench) to spent mushroom compost from the cultivation of *Pleurotus*
 297 *ostreatus* an edible mushroom. *Academia Journal of Agriculture Research*. 1(3): 039-
 298 044.
- 299 Nwangburuka C.C., Denton, O.A., Kehinde, O.B., Ojo, D.K., Popoola, A.R. (2012a). Genetic
 300 variability and heritability in cultivated okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* [L.] moench).
 301 *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*. 10(1). 123-129.
- 302 Nwangburuka C.C., Olawuyi O.J., Oyekale K., Ogunwenmo K.O., Denton O.A., Awotade
 303 D.. (2012b). Effect of Arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM), Poultry manure(PM),
 304 Combination of AM-PM and inorganic fertilizer (NPK) on the growth and yield of
 305 okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). *International Journal of Organic Agriculture*
 306 *Research and Development*. (7): 23-38.
- 307 Odebode, A. C and Sobowale, A. (2001). Antagonistic Activities of Fungal Flora Isolated
 308 from Pepper Phylloplane on Postharvest Pathogen of Pepper (*Capsicum annum*). *Acta*
 309 *Phytopathologica et entomologica Hungarica*, 36(3-4): 289-292.
- 310 Olawuyi, O.J. Babatunde, F.E. Akinbode, O.A. Odebode, A.C and Olakojo, S.A. (2011).
 311 Influence of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal and N.P.K fertilizer on the Productivity of
 312 Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*). *International Journal of Organic Agriculture Research*
 313 *and Development* 3:22-31.
- 314 Olawuyi, O.J. Odebode, A.C. Olakojo, S.A. and Adesoye, A. (2011). Host-parasite
 315 relationship of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and *Striga lutea* (Lour) as influenced by
 316 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal. *Journal Scientific Research* 10: 186-198.
- 317 Olawuyi, O.J. Odebode, A.C. Olakojo, S.A. and Adesoye, A. (2012). Variation in Maize
 318 Tolerance in *Striga lutea* (Lour) and Influence of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi.
 319 *International Journal of Basic, Applied and innovative Research*. 1:1-5.

- 320 Olawuyi, O.J. Odebode, A.C. Oyewole, I.O and Akanmu, A.O. (2013). Effect of arbuscular
321 mycorrhizal fungi on *Pythium aphanidermatum* causing foot rot disease on pawpaw
322 (*Carica papaya* L.) seedlings. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection* 47:
323 185-193.
- 324 Olawuyi O.J., Jonathan S.G., Babatunde F.E., Babalola B.J., Yaya O.S., Agbolade J.O., Aina
325 D.A., Egun C.J.,(2014) Accession × Treatment Interaction, Variability and
326 Correlation Studies of Pepper (*Capsicum* spp.) under the Influence of Arbuscular
327 Mycorrhiza Fungus (*Glomus clarum*) and Cow Dung. *American Journal of Plant*
328 *Sciences*. 5:683-690.
- 329 Schwarzott, D and Schubler, A. (2001). A simple and reliable method of SSU rRNA gene
330 DNA extraction, amplification and cloning from single AM fungi spores.
331 *Mycorrhizae*, 10: 203-207
- 332 Slankis V. (1973). Hormonal relationships in mycorrhizal development in Ectomycorrhizae
333 (Ed. By G.C. Marks & T.T. Kozlowski), pp 231-298. Academic Press, New York.
- 334 Smith, S. Smith, A and Jakobsen (2003). Mycorrhizal fungi can dominate phosphorus supply
335 to plant irrespective of growth response. *Plant Physiology* 133: 16-20.
- 336 Sunassee, S. (2001). *Food and Agricultural Research Council, Reduit, Mauritius*. 259 – 263.