



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                    |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JABB_31777                                                      |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Review on Production of Monoclonal Antibodies in Transgenic Plants |
| Type of the Article      | Mini review paper                                                  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <b>The manuscript doesn't need a compulsory revision because it well written</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                        | We accepted the comments                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | Minor revision is needed because the English Language used in written the manuscript is not well constructed with attendant lack of concord. Et al is usually written in italicized form, here some are italicized, and others are not. The references formats are highly inconsistent. | We considered comments provided by reviewer. We tried to revise the English language throughout the manuscript to come up with coherence of the manuscript. The correction on changing Et al to italics form is done. The references format is revised and corrected to be consistent based on the format of the journal. |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | I think the manuscript should to go through if the minor errors pointed out are corrected.                                                                                                                                                                                              | We tried to go through the whole manuscript and any correction needs are considered as well.                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.  
Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>