



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Biotechnology Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BBJ_22543
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLICATION OF TESTOSTERONE IN HUMAN PUBERTY ATTAINEMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- p value must be given at 2.6. 2- Helsinki Declaration must be given at 2.1. 3-Results and Discussion section must be seperated. 4- Conclusion section must be written as a paragraph not like the number format. 5-Discussion and references section must be enriched by uptodate references. 6- "Elevated androgen levels are also associated with various pathologies such as pilonidal disease, gastric cancer in clinical population(1-2)" 1-The use of fibrin glue without surgery in the treatment of pilonidal sinus disease International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine 06/2014; 7(4):1047-51 2-Minerva chirurgica 06/2014; 69(3):147-53 A new prognostic strategy for gastric carcinoma: Albumin level and metastatic lymph node ratio 7- Numbers of references must be increased.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Erzincan University, Turkey