



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_41441
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION AND COMPARISON OF ASPARTAME LEVELS IN SOME SELECTED SOFT DRINKS CONSUMED IN MUBI, ADAMAWA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article of this paper is clear and the content is consistent, relatively. 2. The abstract summarized the content is not enough. 3. The introduction is not succinct. 4. The discussion focuses on the results instead the analysis. 	All corrections have been noted, consented to and corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	A little of the font in the abstract does not meet the formatting requirements and a small number of sentences in the article have mistakes in grammar.	The font formatting in the abstract and the grammatical errors in the article have been noted and corrected.
Optional/General comments	Although the lack representativeness, the coverage of the experimental subjects is good to explain the outcome.	