SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IRJPAC_31440**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Activated Periwinkle Shells for the Binding and Recognition of Heavy Metal Ions from Aqueous Media**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The SEM result seem not to be captured at the same magnification or distance since A and B are showing different values. (A at 5 or 6 micrometer and B at 50 or 60 micrometer). please check.** **THE PAPER IS GOOD AND SHOULD BE ACCEPTED AFTER THE MINOR CORRECTIONS**	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical errors. rephrase the grammatical errors that has been pointed out in the paper. say something about the isotherm constants of the fruendlich and langmuir and their relationship possibly. Provide the reference for the last sentence of the effects of the initial pH.	
Optional/General comments	The caption does not reflect the objective of the study. recognition does not appropriately describe the objective as stated in the introduction were you mentioned removal of Cr and Zn. you may choose to revisit it.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Abugu, Hillary Onyeka***
Department, University & Country	***Chemistry Department, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria***