



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_37695
Title of the Manuscript:	3D-QSAR Modeling and Molecular Docking Studies on a series of 1,2,4 triazole containing diarylpyrazolyl carboxamide as CB1 cannabinoid receptor ligand
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In the Introduction section, the authors must not showed previous conclusions on the present manuscript (page 2, line 44-46).</p> <p>In the Introduction section, the authors need to update the information, once must of the references are very old (page 14 - 1987, 1991, 1992, 1993...)</p> <p>Please, provide the empirical scoring function used in the docking calculations. In addition, do the authors used the re-docking calculations to validate the scoring function?</p> <p>I recommend that the authors check the English language, because there are some mistakes, as example page 3 and 4 lines 75 and 89, respectively.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>It depends on the studies concerning the type of activity and compounds</p> <p>Yes of course the authors used the re-docking to confirm the results</p> <p>Done</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		