



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_35160
Title of the Manuscript:	Photocatalytic and physicochemical properties of pure and variously CeO ₂ -doped CuO-Fe ₂ O ₃ /cordierite system
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author/s did not even correct the mistakes I have mentioned although they acknowledged it. Cd ions still there? No extra explanation was added. No "discussion" but only "very little description". Again I do not see this as a research article but a technical note. The authors should carefully respond to reconsider it.	The mistakes were showed by the reviewers have been tentatively corrected as shown in yellow colour in revised version. I think the discussion is sufficient. N.B. One of the author has been published an article with similar discussion (<i>c.f. Open Journal of Applied Sciences</i>, 2013, 3, 92-99) The efforts done by the reviewers are really appreciated and certainly add to the quality of the work. All suggested remarks proposed by the referees have been considered in the revised version.