



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_29063
Title of the Manuscript:	THEORETICAL STUDY OF COORDINATION OF SUCCINIMIDE, OF ITS N-HYDROXYLSUCCINIMIDE AND OF THEIR DEPROTONATED FORMS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The ABSTRACT need to be modified. 2. I suggest the author to refer to some recent 3 years' research articles to make the paper more up to date.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The general conclusion is as follows: The performed research constitutes a suitable basis for a good paper	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Maringá, Brazil