



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_27448
Title of the Manuscript:	Potentiality of Alcoholic Purification of Abu-Zaabal Impure Phosphoric Acid for Selective Ion Exchange Recovery of Uranium
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>*Equality of “$6\text{HF} + \text{SiO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SiF}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$” not equalized</p> <p>*There are many incorrect spelling and punctuations such as Written “capacity of exchange 2.4mmol/I, exchange” should be rewritten “capacity of exchange 2.4 mmol/L, exchange”</p> <p>Written “2.2.1.3. Determination of sulfate In the present work determination” should be rewritten “2.2.1.3. Determination of sulfate In the present work, determination”</p> <p>Written “volume with bi-distilled water to 500ml in a plastic flask” should be rewritten “volume with bi-distilled water to 500 ml in a plastic flask”</p> <p>Written “2.2.2.1.2. Sulphate precipitation using 42.6gm calcium carbonate” should be rewritten “2.2.2.1.2. Sulphate precipitation Using 42.6 gm calcium carbonate”</p>	<p>Finish</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yes yes yes</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		