



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_12722
Title of the Manuscript:	FTIR STUDIES ON COMPOSITE BLENDS AND BIODEGRADABILITY PRODUCTS OF GUNA PROTEIN/CELLULOSE BASED BIOCOMPOSITES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Frankly I don't understand why the authors do not want to cut the two digit precision in the peaks wavelengths (e.g. 1715 and not 1714.89, or 1113 instead of 1113.12, and similarly for the other peaks), perhaps my English is not good, but I am asking only this. I agree with the authors that this value comes from the machine, but it depends also on the resolution of the equipment. If the wavelength resolution of the machine is for instance 1 cm^{-1} , it is useless to report values with two-digit precision, even though the software shows such values (as it typically happens), since the machine is physically unable to measure wavelength values with such level of precision; usually, these data are reported as I propose.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Italy