Depression, anxiety and quality of life of women with breast cancer.

4 running title: breast cancer patients' depression and anxiety 5 6 ABSTRACT 7 8 9 **Purpose:** The primary aim of this research was to detect and to assess anxiety, depression in 10 women with breast cancer undergoing radiotherapy. The study was conducted in the 11 Department of Radiotherapy at the University Hospital of Heraklion, Crete during 12 October 2015 and April 2016. 13 Methods: A total number of 120 women diagnosed with non-metastatic breast cancer were 14 recruited for this cross-sectional survey. Following informed consent, patients were 15 asked to complete a demographics and clinical data questionnaire comprising with, the 16 Dass-21 scale, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale- HADS. Data was analyzed 17 using IBM SPSS software system.

Results: The incidence of depression and anxiety for breast cancer patients is high. Results
highlight similar prevalence of depression with HADS (37.5% mild and moderate
depression and 62.5% serious depression) DASS-21 (39.2% mild and moderate 60.8%
serious depression) but not similar for anxiety.

- Conclusion: the psychological complications in breast cancer patients is remarkable. Efforts
 to detect and treat depression and anxiety should be a priority, since they contribute to
 better tolerance and effectiveness in anti-neoplasmatic therapies.
- 25 **KEY WORDS**: Breast cancer, Depression, Anxiety, HADS, DASS-21
- 26

27 **1. Introduction**

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women and the second cause of death after lung cancer. One in eight women will become ill during their lifetime. Deaths from 30 breast cancer made up around 7.2 % of all deaths from cancer while among women, breast 31 cancer accounted for 16.2 % of all deaths from cancer. ^[1] In Greece it has been estimated that 32 approximately 4.500 new cases occur per year and 1.500 deaths per annum are reported. ^[2] 33 Statistical data show that Greece has a lower incidence of breast cancer compared to other 34 member countries of the European Union.

Studies have shown very different breast cancer outcomes based on patient age with younger
women typically to have more aggressive tumors and older women more commonly to have
less aggressive disease ^[3].

38

39 The incidence of breast cancer is strongly correlated with age, with the highest rates 40 occurring at an older age (> 55 years). The incidence of the disease is lower for women around 40, and higher for ages between 55 - 69.^[4] Breast cancer treatment may involve 41 surgery and radiotherapy, as well as systemic therapy including chemotherapy, hormone 42 therapy and immunotherapy.^[5] The choice of the most appropriate treatment method depends 43 44 on the stage of the disease and on a number of prognostic factors such as the histological 45 characteristics of the primary tumor (degree of differentiation, histological type of neoplasm), 46 the infiltration of axillary lymph nodes, the expression of hormone receptors, over-expression 47 of HER2 inhibitors, the patient's age as well as the general condition of the patient^[6]. 48 Radiotherapy is a complementary treatment that is applied locally to the breast and axillary 49 lymph nodes, always administered postoperatively in cases of breast retention. Radiotherapy 50 after mastectomy is applied in the case of lymph node filtration, in tumors larger than 5 cm, 51 T3 or T4 disease, or in proximal or infected surgical incisions. The side effects of 52 radiotherapy are either immediate or distant. The time interval that separates the immediate 53 from the later complications is, on average 90 days from the start of the radiotherapy. Direct 54 complications may occur during or after the completion of radiotherapy, and last up to a few 55 weeks. The acute side effects of radiation therapy include skin eruption and irritation 56 throughout the radiated area (in 100 % of the cases), fatigue (in 50 % of the cases), radial 57 pneumonitis in 1 % of the patients, especially those that undergo lymph node radiation, and bladder lymphedema in less than 7 % of all breast cancer cases [7], [8]. 58

Women with breast cancer regardless of age, ethnicity or disease stage, have the same problems in adaptation to the diagnosis of cancer. Their treatment options are influenced by personality and the particular concerns and life-stage of the patient. Psychological processes such as focusing on the problem and solving it, searching for information, designing a new course of life, interpreting and confronting the disease, seeking social support, expressing 64 emotions, linking to religion, searching for meaning and hope and, ultimately, accepting the disease, take place in a difficult period for patients as they try to reconcile both the 65 occurrence of the disease as well as the painful therapeutic processes.^[9] Problems arising 66 during this period can be mitigated or exacerbated by particular psychosocial interventions. 67 68 Approximately one in two cancer patients have psychiatric morbidity due to their disease, 69 with reactive depression and reactive anxiety occurring in 70 % of cases. On the other hand, in 10 - 15 % of cases, major depression and organic psycho-syndromes are experienced. 70 Depression cannot be declared a predisposing factor in breast cancer. ^{[10], [11]} However, other 71 studies have shown a negative impact of depression to the course of the disease and to its 72 progression ^[12]. This can be attributed to the negative effect of depression on the patient's 73 behavior resulting in her noncompliance with treatment and preventative control ^[13]. Women 74 with breast cancer have to adapt and withstand physical malformations, side effects of 75 chemotherapy, emotional insecurity, and changes in family, work and social roles ^[14]. The 76 77 incidence of psychological morbidity in cancer patients is high. Inability to recognize the co-78 morbidity of psychiatric conditions can have an aggravating effect on patient compliance in 79 therapeutic interventions, resulting in often long treatment delays and an impact on overall survival^[15]. 80

81 Every person understands and appreciates differently the level of quality of their life 82 based on their personal expectations and values. The assessment therefore of their quality of 83 life, gives us important information about patient's perception about personal health and wellness ^[16]. Studies in breast cancer patients have shown that assessing the quality of life 84 85 and its dimensions, such as psychosocial wellbeing, organic wellness, and emotional functioning, are predictive indicators of patient survival ^[17]. The study of the quality of life in 86 clinical studies assessing the effectiveness of anti-neoplastic therapies, allows the systematic 87 88 collection of data on adverse reactions and symptoms as well as the significance of therapy to 89 patients ^[18]. This information combined with data on total survival, free disease time interval. and drug toxicity contribute to the formation of more effective and better tolerated therapeutic 90 91 regimens. In addition, the assessment of the quality of life allows for a better assessment of the outcome of treatment in relation to patients' needs ^[19]. 92

93 **2.** Aim

94 This cross-sectional study aimed to explore the relationship between breast cancer and

95 depression subjected to external radiotherapy.

96 **3. Material and methods**

97 The study was conducted from October 2015 to March 2016 at the Department of 98 Radiotherapy of the University General Hospital of Heraklion. It involved patients suffering 99 from non-metastatic breast cancer visiting the Radiotherapy department daily. The 100 department features a 6 MV and an 18 MV linear accelerators. Every day, 120 patients were 101 subjected to external palliative auxiliary or radical radiotherapy.

Patient inclusion criteria in the study: Patients should be in good mental state with an
 ability to read and complete the questionnaire. The age range of patients participating in
 this study was patients up to 65 years of age. The study includes patients with Stage I / II
 / III breast cancer. Staging was based on the TNM system ^[20].

Patient exclusion criteria from the study: In this study patients with metastatic breast
 cancer, second-line primary cancer as well as patients with a history of depressive illness
 or the use of antidepressants prior to the diagnosis of Ca, were excluded.

109 **Tools**

110 Tools that were used in the study were the HADS, DASS 21 and EORTCQLQ-C30.

111 Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale was developed by Zigmond and Snaith for diagnosing anxiety and depression among patients hospitalized in non-psychiatric clinics ^[21]. HADS has 112 113 been widely used in the general population and in cancer care units. It has been translated into the Greek language and culturally adapted to Greek environment ^[22]. It contains 14 114 115 questions, 7 of which refer to the assessment of anxiety disorders while the rest refer to the 116 assessment of depressive symptoms. Each question corresponds to a multiple choice answer 117 of 4 selections rated from 0 - 3, giving a total score in the range of 0 - 21 for each disorder. When the total score exceeds 11 then it is assumed as a pathological condition. 118

119 The DASS 21 scale is a questionnaire consisting of 21 questions and is designed to measure 120 the intensity range of anxiety and depression symptoms. Patients are asked to respond to the 121 presence of specific symptoms during the previous week from the day of completion. Each 122 question is rated from $0 - {}^{3}$ ^[23].

123 Cronbach-a of HADS questionnaire has, in both categories (depression - anxiety), high 124 internal reliability (Table 6). The DASS 21 questionnaire, on the other hand, while having 125 high internal credibility for "depression", however, it does not show such a consequence for 126 "anxiety". However, Cronbach-a is within the tolerable range (> 0.70). 127 EORTCQLQ-C30 was created by the European Agency for Research and Cancer Treatment 128 as a tool for studying the quality of life of patients who have been diagnosed and suffer from a neoplastic disease ^[24]. Unlike other questionnaires, the EORTCQLQ-30 questionnaire 129 130 adopts a parallel approach taking into account linguistic and cultural differences at all stages 131 of its creation. It can therefore be safely used in patients with different cultural backgrounds. 132 It consists of 30 questions related to physical and cognitive functioning as well as emotional 133 and social functioning. The questionnaire is translated into Greek and is fully weighted with regard to its psychometric features ^[22]. Since values for the Cronbach coefficient for each 134 135 variable in the quality of life questionnaire are more than 0.7 (Table), internal consistency 136 and reliability can be assumed.

137

138 **4. Results**

In tables 1 and 2 we can see that 55.8 % of the participants are aged 40 - 55, 49.2 % are married, 66.7 % are primary education graduates, 45.0 % are at disease stage II while 51.7 % had undergone partial mastectomy. At the same time, 55.8 % have done additional chemotherapy, and 40.8 % say they have not noticed side effects from the treatment. Regarding the frequency of drug use, 50 % declare they use painkillers very often, 39.2 % use no tranquillizers followed by 34.2 % who say they take tranquilizers very often while 41.7 % say they do not take any antidepressants.

146 Using both DASS 21 and HADS, we observe that approximately 40 % of the sample 147 appear to have mild depression, while the remaining 60% appear to have significant, intense 148 or very significant depressive symptoms (Table 3, 4). DASS 21 scale (depression) correlates 149 positively with the disease stage (r = .203, p = 0.026), with the type of chemotherapy (r = 150 .193, p = 0.035) with the side effects of the treatment (r = .225, p = .013) and the frequency of 151 use of painkillers (r = .292, p = 0.001). The correlation of DASS21 (depression) is 152 moderately positive with the type of surgery (r = .385, p = .000) and the number of 153 medications received by the patient (r = .315, p = .000). The correlation of the DASS21 scale 154 (depression) is strong with the frequency of use of antidepressants (r = .706, p = .000). (Table 155 5)

The DASS21 (anxiety) scale correlates positively with the disease stage (r = .181, p = .048), as with the frequency of use of tranquilizers (r = .339, p = .000). The correlation of this variable with the medications received by the patient (r = .353, p = .000) is moderately

159 positive.

160 On the other hand, the HADS (depression) scale correlates positively with the disease stage (r 161 = .169, p = 0.064), with the frequency of use of tranquillizers (r = .229, p = .012), with the 162 side - effects of treatment (r = .183, p = .046) and the frequency of use of painkillers (r = 163 .281, p = .002). It is moderately correlated with the type of treatment (r = .393, p = .000) and 164 a number of drugs taken by the patient (r = .374, p = .000). It, also, exhibits a strong 165 correlation with the frequency of use of antidepressants (r = .628, p = .000).

The HADS (anxiety) scale correlates positively with the educational level (r =.-203, p 167 = .026) and the frequency of use of painkillers (r = .209, p = .022), moderately positively 168 with the disease stage r = .332, p = .000), strongly with the number of drugs received by the 169 patient (r = .614, p = .000) and particularly strongly with the frequency of use of tranquilizers 170 (r = .935, p = .000).

171 In reference to the EORTC QLQ-C30 v3.0 questionnaire we can see that patients with 172 a higher degree of depression (HADS (especially strong negative correlation: R = -810, p =173 .000), DASS 21 (strong negative correlation: r = -682, p = .000)) but not anxiety (HADS (r =174 -.076, p = .411), DASS 21 (r = -158, p = .084)), report a lower quality of life. Physical and 175 emotional functionalities are negatively correlated with the presence of depression (HADS 176 (PF: particularly strong negative correlation: r = -755, p = .000, EF: strong negative 177 correlation: r = -.690, p = .000), DASS21 (PF: strong negative correlation: r = -.552, p = .000, 178 EF: strong negative correlation: r = -533, p = .000) as well as the presence of anxiety (DASS 179 21 (PF: weakly negative correlation: r = -234, p = .010, EF: moderate negative correlation: r 180 = -263, p = .004)). Role functioning is negatively correlated with the presence of depression 181 (HADS (moderate negative correlation: r = -.371, p = .000), DASS21 (moderate negative 182 correlation: r = -.391, p = .000) as well as the presence of anxiety (HADS (moderate 183 negative correlation: r = -.321, p = .000)). Social and cognitive functions are negatively 184 correlated to depression (HADS (SF: strongly negative correlation: r = -.470, p = .000, CF: 185 strongly negative correlation: r = -528, p = .000), DASS21 (SF: strongly negative correlation: r = -.428, p = .000, CF: strongly negative correlation: r = -.441, p = .000) and anxiety 186 187 (HADS: .384, p = .000, CF: moderate negative correlation: r = -.354, p = .000), DASS21 (SF: 188 weakly negative correlation: r = -209, p = .022, CF: moderately negative correlation r = -336, 189 p = .000)).

Diarrhea, constipation and anorexia are not associated with depression or anxiety (HADS, DASS21). Insomnia correlates positively with anxiety (HADS (strong positive correlation: r = .435, p = .000)), as are dyspnea, pain and nausea - vomiting (HADS: DY: weak positive

193 correlation: .227, p = .013, PA: weak positive correlation: r = .206, p = .024, NV: moderate 194 positive correlation: r = .262, p = .004), DASS21 (DY: strong positive correlation: r = .560, p 195 = .000, PA: moderate positive correlation: r = .273, p = .003, NV: moderate positive 196 correlation: r = .304, p = .001). The latter also correlate positively with depression (HADS 197 (DY: weak positive correlation: r = .207, p = .023, PA: moderate positive correlation: r =198 .340, p = .000, NV: weak positive correlation: r = .210, p = .021) as is fatigue with 199 depression (HADS (strong positive correlation: r = .503, p = .000), DASS21 (strong positive 200 correlation: r = .445, p = .000) and anxiety (HADS (moderate positive correlation: r = .265, 201 p = .004)).

202 According to the data on **Error! Reference source not found.**, we find that all functional 203 scales are positively correlated with the quality of life (PF: particularly strong positive 204 correlation: r = .778, p = .000, EF: particularly strong positive correlation: r = .817, P = .000, 205 CF: strong positive correlation: r = .540, p = .000, SF: strong positive correlation: r = .471, p 206 = .000, RF: moderate positive correlation: = .000). This means that the higher the score on a 207 functional scale (e.g. physical functionality), the higher the score in the quality of life. Of the 208 symptom scales, only fatigue (strongly negative correlation: r = -.414, p = .000), nausea -209 vomiting (weakly negative correlation: r = -.204, p = .000) and pain (moderate negative 210 correlation: r = -329, p = .000) correlate with quality of life. This means that the higher the 211 score in pain, fatigue or nausea - vomiting, the lower the score on the quality of life. 212 Symptoms' scales nausea and vomiting (FA = strong positive correlation: r = .430, p = .000, 213 PA: particularly strong positive correlation: r = .769, p = .000), fatigue (PA: moderate positive 214 correlation: = .331, p = .000) and pain correlate positively with each other. This means that 215 the higher the pain score, the higher the score in nausea - vomiting or fatigue and vice versa. 216 Economic difficulties positively correlate with insomnia (moderate positive correlation: r =217 .338, p = .000) and fatigue (weakly positive correlation: r = .223, p = .014). Symptoms scales 218 and functional scales are negatively correlated with each other, meaning that the higher the 219 score in the functional scales (e.g. emotional functionality), the less the score in the symptom 220 scales (e.g. pain). More specifically, physical, emotional and cognitive functioning correlates 221 negatively with dyspnea (PF: moderate negative correlation: r = -267, p = .003, EF: weakly 222 negative correlation: r = -212, p = .020, CF: weak negative correlation: r = -194, p = .034), 223 pain (PF: moderate negative correlation: r = -.376, p = .000, EF: moderate negative correlation: r = -.372, p = .000, CF: moderate negative correlation: r = -.347, p = .000), 224 225 nausea - vomiting (PF: weak negative correlation: r = -157, p = .087, EF: R = -203, p = .026, 226 CF: moderate negative correlation: r = -360, p = .000) and fatigue (PF: Correlation: r = -462,

227 p = .000, EF: strongly negative correlation: r = -.428, p = .000, CF: strong negative 228 correlation: r = -705, p = .000). Social functionality is negatively correlated with fatigue 229 (intense negative correlation: r = -.745, p = .000), with nausea - vomiting (moderate negative 230 correlation: r = -.351, p = .000), pain (moderate negative correlation: r = -.326, p = .000) and 231 insomnia (weakly negative correlation: r = -188, p = .040). Role functionality is negatively 232 correlated with fatigue (particularly strong negative correlation: r = -806, p = .000), nausea -233 vomiting (moderate negative correlation: r = -323, p = .000) and pain (weakly negative 234 correlation: r = -187, p = .041). Functional scales are positively correlated with each other. 235 Physical functionality is positively correlated with emotional (particularly strong positive 236 correlation: r = .915, p = .000), cognitive (strong positive correlation: r = .509, p = .000), social (strong positive correlation: = .467, p = .000) and role functionalities (moderate 237 238 positive correlation: r = .281, p = .002). Emotional functionality is positively correlated with 239 cognitive (strong positive correlation: r = 521, p = .000), social (strong positive correlation: r 240 = .464, p = .000) and role functionalities (moderate positive correlation: r = .292, p = .001). 241 Cognitive functionality is also correlated with social (particularly strong positive correlation: 242 r = .887, p = .000) and role functionalities (strong positive correlation: r = .744, p = .000). 243 Social functionality is also correlated with role functionality (particularly strong positive 244 correlation: r = .842, p = .000).

245 The type of surgery correlates negatively with the physical (moderate negative 246 correlation: r = -296, p = .001) and emotional functionalities (weak negative correlation: r = -247 291, p = .001) and the quality of life (moderate negative correlation: r = .282, p = .002). The 248 frequency of use of antidepressants has a negative correlation with all functional ranges (PF: 249 strong negative correlation: r = -.472, p = .000, EF: strong negative correlation: r = -.462, p =250 .000, CF: moderate negative correlation: r = -.346, p = .000, SF: moderate negative 251 correlation: r = -.349, p = .000, RF: moderate negative correlation: r = -.365, p = .000) as well 252 as quality of life (strong negative correlation: r = -.553, p = .000). On the other hand, it is 253 positively correlated to fatigue (moderate positive correlation: r = .302, p = .001). The side 254 effects of treatment are positively correlated to insomnia (weak positive correlation: r = .209, 255 p = .022) and negatively with cognitive (moderate negative correlation: r = -289, p = .001) 256 and social functionalities (moderate negative correlation: r = -268, p = .003) as well as role 257 functionality (moderate negative correlation: r = -267, p = .003). Finally, the number of drugs 258 received by the patient correlates negatively with all the functional scales (PF: moderate 259 negative correlation: r = -.330, p = .000, EF: moderate negative correlation: r = -.370, p =260 .000, CF: moderate negative correlation: r = -.372, p = .000, SF: moderate negative 261 correlation: r = -.388, p = .000, RF: moderate negative correlation: r = -.362, p = .000) and 262 quality of life (moderate negative correlation: r = -.304, p = .001) while on the other hand it is 263 positively correlated to all symptom scales (FA: moderate positive correlation: r = .373, p =264 .000, NV: weak positive correlation: r = .248, p = .006, PA: moderate positive correlation: r =265 .250, p = .006, DY: weak positive correlation: r = .219, p = .016, SL: moderate positive 266 correlation: r = .284, p = .002) except for constipation, diarrhea and anorexia.

267

268 **5. Discussion**

269 According to the results obtained the occurrence of mental disorders is common in patients 270 suffering from non-metastatic breast cancer corresponding to findings of similar studies conducted in the past in patients with neoplastic disease ^[25]. Especially for breast cancer 271 272 among Western patients, studies have reported rates of depression ranging from very low to 273 very high and a medium level of anxiety. Previous studies have shown that low levels of anxiety and depression correlated with a better quality of life for ^{[26], [27]}. Results from 274 275 depression assessment scales show an increased risk of developing psychiatric symptoms in 276 the first year of diagnosis and gradual decrease over time. The personality of the patient and his adaptive capacity determine the respond to the diagnosis of a life-threatening illness 28 . 277 However, the incidence of anxiety and depression shows significant differences between 278 279 studies something which is often due to the differences in its assessment methods²⁷. Recent 280 studies have shown that there is a tendency to overestimate the symptoms of depression by between 10 % and 25 % ^[29]. While others argue that patients undergoing screening after 281 completing adjuvant therapy, have a tendency to neglect the anxiety and symptoms of 282 283 depression they experience ^[30].

284 The present study showed a higher rate of anxiety in Stage II and III patients compared to 285 those with lower stages, while patients with in-situ breast cancer show high levels of anxiety 286 when compared to Stage I patients (HADS). Also the stage of the disease is positively 287 correlated with the treatment of economic problems and negatively with the emotional 288 functioning of patients. Increased anxiety and depression is also seen in patients undergoing 289 preoperative or adjuvant chemotherapy as well as in heavier surgical procedures such as partial or total mastectomy^[31]. The type of surgery performed by the patients appeared to be 290 291 negatively related to their physical and emotional functionality as well as their quality of life. 292 However, a recent study reported that chemotherapy patients reported more stress than non-293 chemotherapy but not statistically significant ^[32].

Regarding the educational level of the participants in the study it was found that primary education patients are less stressed than those with higher education. This finding is not consistent with research findings, which found that 31 % of patients with depressive symptoms have completed only primary education ^[33]. However, in a previous study, 15 % of depressive symptoms were reported among primary education patients compared to uppersecondary education patients ^[34].

300 6. Conclusion

301 A large part of the literature regarding the investigation of breast cancer is related to 302 the researchers' involvement in the quality of life of these patients. The psychological 303 complications in breast cancer patients are remarkable. The psychological burden of patients 304 with breast cancer, mostly associated with depression, anxiety and low emotional functioning 305 in nearly all studies, has been associated with poor quality of life. Breast cancer affects the dimensions of quality of life^[35]. The diagnosis of the illness and the accompanying fears 306 307 such as fear of death, fear of relapse, impairment of body image, alteration of femininity, 308 sexuality and attractiveness are factors that can cause unexpected psychological discomfort even for years after diagnosis of the disease ^[36]. Research done at this level has provided a 309 significant benefit to patient care, but it is difficult to determine accurately. Patient quality of 310 311 life studies should take into account the clinical morbidity that originates from the disease 312 being studied and how the symptoms of side effects from treatment affect daily activity and 313 impact patient satisfaction. However, the data provide important evidence for therapeutic 314 decisions when considering the psychological state of patients and the quality of life they enjoy ^[37]. The psychological complications in breast cancer patients are remarkable. Efforts 315 to detect and treat depression and anxiety should be a priority, since they contribute to better 316 317 tolerance and effectiveness in anti-neoplasmatic therapies.

318

319 **References**

- 3201. Eurostat,2017.Availablehttp://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-321321explained/index.php/Cancer_statistics specific_cancers#Breast_cancerAccessed on32218th August 2017
- Sasieni PD. Shelton J, Ormiston-Smith N. What is the lifetime risk of developing
 cancer? The effect of adjusting for multiple primaries. Br J Cancer 2011; 105(3):460 465.

326 3. Beadle BM, Woodward WA, Buchholz TA. The Impact of Age on Outcome in Early-327 Stage Breast Cancer. Seminars in radiation oncology. 2011;21(1):26-34. 328 doi:10.1016/j.semradonc.2010.09.001. 329 4. American Cancer Society. Breast Cancer Facts & Figures 2015-2016. Atlanta: 330 American Cancer Society, Inc. 2015 331 5. Palumbo MO, Kavan P, Miller WH, et al. Systemic cancer therapy: achievements and 332 challenges that lie ahead. Frontiers in Pharmacology. 2013;4:57. 333 doi:10.3389/fphar.2013.00057. 334 6. Jones HA, Antonini N, Hart G. Significance of margins of excision on breast cancer 335 recurrence. Eur J Cancer, 2004; 2: 316. 336 7. American Cancer Society. Available https://www.cancer.org/treatment/treatments-andside-effects/treatment-types/radiation/coping.html Accessed on 18th August 2017 337 338 8. Leibel ST, Phillips TH. Textbook of Radiation Oncology. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders, 2010. 339 340 9. Solving Problems Cancer Survival Toolbox Available 341 https://www.canceradvocacy.org/resources/cancer-survival-toolbox/basicskills/solving-problems/ Accessed on 18th August 2017 342 343 10. Yeh M-L, Lee T-Y. A Prospective Study of the Relationship between Psychological 344 Factors and Breast Cancer. Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing 2016;3(2):170-345 175. doi:10.4103/2347-5625.170223. 11. Sun HL, Dong XX, Cong YJ, Gan Y, Deng J, Cao SY, Lu ZX. Depression and the 346 347 risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015; 348 16(8):3233-9. 349 12. Giese-Davis J, Spiegel D. Depression and cancer mechanisms and disease 350 progression. Biol Psychiatry 2003; 54(3): 269-82. 351 13. Chen S-J, Chang C-H, Chen K-C, Liu C-Y. Association between depressive disorders and risk of breast cancer recurrence after curative surgery. Gulinello. M, ed. 352 353 Medicine. 2016;95(33):e4547. doi:10.1097/MD.000000000004547. 354 14. Hoffman R, Smith S. Women with breast cancer have to adapt and withstand physical 355 malformations, side effects of chemotherapy, emotional insecurity, and changes in 356 family, work and social roles. American Childhood Cancer Organization® (ACCO), 357 http://www.icpcn.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/06/Enhancing-Quality-of-2014. 358 Life.pdf

- 15. Potosek J, Curry M, Buss M, Chittenden E. Integration of Palliative Care in EndStage Liver Disease and Liver Transplantation. Journal of Palliative Medicine
 2014;17(11):1271-1277. doi:10.1089/jpm.2013.0167.
- 362 16. Dancey J, Zee B, Osoba D, Whitehead M, Lu F, Kaizer, L. Quality of life scores: an
 363 independent prognostic variable in a general population of cancer patients receiving
 364 chemotherapy. Quality of Life Research 1997; 6:151-8.
- 17. Filiberti A, Flechtner H, Fleishman S, De Haes, J, Kaasa S, Klee M, Osoba Fraser
 SCA. Ramirez AJ, Ebbs SR, Fallowfield LJ, Dobbs HJ, Richards MA. A daily diary
 for quality of life measurement in advanced breast cancer trials. British Journal of
 Cancer 1993; 67: 341-346.
- 369 18. Cheung WY, Neville BA, Cameron, DB. Comparisons of patient and physician
 370 expectations for cancer survivorship care. J ClinOncol 2009;27:2489.
- 19. Patient Experience in Adult NHS Services: Improving the Experience of Care for
 People Using Adult NHS Services: Patient Experience in Generic Terms. NICE
 Clinical Guidelines, No. 138.National Clinical Guideline Centre (UK). London: Royal
 College of Physicians 2012.
- 375 20. Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz MK Wittekind Ch., eds. TNM classification of malignant
 376 tumours (7th ed.). Chichester, West Sussex, UK: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010. ISBN 978-1377 4443-3241-4.
- 378 21. Zigmond AS, Snaith, RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta
 379 Psychiatric Scand 1983; 67:361-70.
- Taylor R. Lonibond PF, Nicholas MK, Cayley C, Wilson PH. The utility of somatic
 items in the assessment of depression in chronic pain patients: A comparison of the
 Zung Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS) and the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale
 (DASS) in chronic pain and clinical and community samples. Clinical Journal of Pain
 2005; 21:91-100.
- 23. Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF. Manual for the Depression Anxiety & Stress Scales. (2nd
 Ed.) Sydney: Psychology Foundation, 1995.
- 24. Aaronson N, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, Bullinger M, Cull A, Duez, N. The European
 Organization for Research and treatment of cancer QLQ-C30: A Quality-of-Life
 Instrument for Use in International Clinical Trials in Oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst,
 1993;85(5): 365-376.
- 25. Linden D. The challenges and promise of neuroimaging in Psychiatry. Neuron
 2012;73:8-22

393	26. Ng CG, Mohamed S,' Mee Hoong S,' Faizah H, Maznah D,' Ahmad Hatim S,'
394	Nor Zuraida Z,' Nur Aishah T. Anxiety, depression, perceived social support and
395	quality of life in Malaysian breast cancer patients: a 1-year prospective study. Health
396	and Quality of Life Outcomes 2015;13:205 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-015-0401-
397	7
398	27. Maass SW, Roorda C, Berendsen AJ, Verhaak, PF, deBock GH. The prevalence of
399	long term symptoms of depression and anxiety after breast cancer treatment: A
400	systemic review. Maturitas 2015; 82(1):100-108.
401	28. Alfonsson S, Olsson E, Hursti T, Lundh, MH, Johansson B. Socio-demographic and
402	clinical variables associated with psychological distress 1 and 3 years after breast
403	cancer diagnosis.Support CareCancer, 2016
404	29. Cheung YT, Ong YY, Ng T, Tan YP, Fan G, Chan CW, Molassiotis A, Chan A.
405	Assessment of mental health literacy in patients with breast cancer. J Oncol Pharm
406	Pract 2015;22(3):437-47
407	30. Qiu J, Yang M, Chen W. Prevalence and correlates of major depressive disorder in
408	breast cancer survivors in Shanghai. China Psychooncology 2012; 21:1331-1337.
409	31. Park K, Hwang S. Unmet needs of breast cancer patients relative to survival duration.
410	Yonsei Med J 2012; 53:118-25.
411	32. Vahdaninia M, Omidvari S, Montazeri A. What do predict anxiety and depression in
412	breast cancer patients' A follow-up study 2010;45(3):355-61.
413	33. Fayers PM, Aaronson NK, Bjordal K, Groenvold M, Curran D, Bottomley A, on
414	behalf of the EORTC Quality of Life Group. The EORTC QLQ-C30 Scoring Manual
415	(3rd Edition). Published by: European Organization for Research and Treatment of
416	Cancer, Brussels 2001.
417	34. Alcalar N, Ozkan S, Kucucuk S, Aslay I, Ozkan M. Association of coping style,
418	cognitive errors and cancer-related variables with depression in women treated for

420 35. Gavric Z, Vukovic-Kostic Z. Assessment of Quality of Life of Women with Breast
421 Cancer. Glob J Health Sc, 2012; 8(9):52792.

breast cancer. Jpn J ClinOncol 2012; 42(10):940-947.

419

422 36. Morrill EF, Brewer NT, O'Neill SC. The interaction of post-traumatic growth and
423 post-traumatic stress symptoms in predicting depressive symptoms and quality of life.
424 Psychooncology 2008; 17:948-53.

- 37. Montazeri A. Health-related quality of life in breast cancer patients: A bibliographic
 review of the literature from 1974 to 2007. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer
 Research 2008;27:32.
- 428

ic data (age; marital status;	education)						
Age							
	Ν	%					
<40	14	11,7					
<mark>40-54</mark>	67	55,8					
55-65	39	32,5					
Total	120	100					
Marital Status							
	N	%					
Single	38	31,7					
Married	59	49,2					
Divorced	13	10,8					
Widowed	10	8,3					
Total	120	100					
Educati	on						
	Ν	%					
University	40	33,3					
High School	80	66,7					
Total	120	100					
Disease S	tage						
	Ν	%					
in situ	12	10					
Ι	41	34,2					
II	54	45					
III	13	10,8					
Total	120	100					

Table 1 - demographic data (age; marital status; education)

	N	%
Lymphectomy	47	39,2
Partial Mastectomy	62	51,7
Total Mastectomy	11	9,2
Total	120	100

Type of surgical procedure

429

430 Table 2 - Disease Stage; Type of surgical procedure; Chemotherapy; Side – Effects;

⁴³¹ Frequency of painkiller use; Frequency of tranquilizer use; Frequency of antidepressant use

Chemotherapy						
	Ν	%				
No Chemotherapy	24	20				
Pre-surgical Chemotherapy	29	24,2				
Complimentary Chemotherapy	67	55,8				
Total	120	100				
Side - Effect	S					
	Ν	%				
No Side - Effects	49	40,8				
Skin problems	36	30				
Hypoesthesia of same – side arm	24	20				

Lymphedema	11	9,2
Total	120	100
Frequency of pain	killer use	
	Ν	%
No paikillers used	29	24,2
Rarely	14	11,7
Often	10	8,3
Very often	60	50
Daily	7	5,8
Total	120	100
Frequency of tranq	uilizer use	
Frequency of tranq	uilizer use N	%
Frequency of tranq		% 39,2
	N	
No tranquilizers used	N 47	39,2
No tranquilizers used Rarely	N 47 25	39,2 20,8
No tranquilizers used Rarely Often	N 47 25 7	39,2 20,8 5,8
No tranquilizers used Rarely Often Very often	N 47 25 7 41	39,2 20,8 5,8 34,2
No tranquilizers used Rarely Often Very often Daily	N 47 25 7 41 0 120	39,2 20,8 5,8 34,2 0 100

	Ν	%
No anti-depressants used	50	41,7
Rarely	24	20
Often	12	10
Very often	21	17,5
Daily	13	10,8
Total	120	100

	DASS 21	(anxiety)	DASS 21 (depr	ression)
	Ν	%	Ν	%
Normal	19	15,8	23	19,2
Mild	13	10,8	24	20,0
Moderate	66	55,0	35	29,2
Severe	5	4,2	23	19,2
Extremely Severe	17	14,2	15	12,5
Total	120	100	120	100

433 Table 3 - DASS 21 level distribution

434

435 Table 4 - HADS level distribution

	HADS (an	xiety)	HADS (depre	ssion)
	N	%	Ν	%
Normal	72	60,0	27	21,7
Borderline	Λ	3,3	18	15,8
abnormal	4		18	
Abnormal	44	36,7	75	62,5
Total	120	100	120	100

	Dise	Age	Marital	Education	Type of surgical	Chemoth	Frequency	Frequency of	Side-	Frequency	Number
	ase	groups	Status		procedure	erapy	of	anti-depressant	effects	of	of drugs
	Stag						tranquilize	use		painkiller	used
	e						r use			use	
DASS21	,20	,079	,075	,140	,385**	,193 [*]	,047	,706**	,225*	,292**	,315**
(depression)	3*	,079	,075	,140	,565	,195	,047	,700	,223	,292	,515
DASS21	,18	,036	-,106	-,044	,052	,132	,339**	,101	,009	,057	,353**
(anxiety)	1^*	,030	-,100	-,044	,032	,152	,339	,101	,009	,037	,555
HADS	,16	040	000	020	,393**	140	22 0*	,628**	,183*	,281*	,374**
(depression)	9	,049	,088	,026	,393	,140	,229*	,628	,185	,281	,374
HADS (anxiety)	,33 2 ^{**}	,075	,068	-,203*	,142	,071	,935**	,124	,175	,209*	,614**

Table 5 - DASS21 and HADS scales with Demographics

Note: * *p*< .05, ** *p*< .01

	Mean	Std. Deviation	95% Conf. Interval	α
HADS (depression)	11,29	4,69	10,44 - 12,14	0,796
HADS (anxiety)	7,88	6,01	6,63 – 9,13	0,950
DASS21 (depression)	16,02	9,05	14,38 - 17,65	0,921
DASS21 (anxiety)	12,48	6,52	11,31 – 13,66	0,703

Table 6 - a-Cronbach for DASS21 and HADS

Table 7 - DASS21 and HADS with Quality of Life

	FI	DI	СО	AP	SL	DY	PA	NV	FA	RF	SF	CF	QoL	EF	PF
DASS21 (depression)	,315**	,089	,01 4	,030	,055	,054	,156	,120	,445**	-,391**	-,428**	-,441**	-,682**	-,533**	- ,552 ^{**}
DASS21 (anxiety)	,353**	,059	,05 3	,125	,015	,560**	,273**	,304**	,161	-,062	-,209*	-,336**	-,158	-,263*	-,234*
HADS (depression)	,374**	-,038	,01 7	- ,042	,099	,207*	,340**	,210*	,503**	-,371**	-,470**	-,528**	-,810**	-,690**	- ,755 ^{**}
HADS (anxiety)	,614**		14		,435**							-,354**		-,159	-,121
Note:	*			<i>p</i> <		.0.	5,		**		p	<u>ا</u> <		.01	

	Mean	Std. Deviation	95% Conf. Interval	α
Financial difficulties (FI)	34,44	29,60	29,09 - 39,79	1,000
Diarrhoea (DI)	40,27	30,22	34,82 - 45,74	1,000
Constipation (CO)	35,83	29,04	30,58 - 41,08	1,000
Appetite loss (AP)	42,78	29,68	37,41 - 48,14	1,000
Insomnia (SL)	31,11	29,86	25,71 - 36,51	1,000
Dyspnoea (DY)	30,00	25,71	25,35 - 34,65	1,000
Pain (PA)	42,50	31,30	36,84 - 48,16	0,744
Nausea and vomiting (NV)	21,53	21,76	17,60 - 25,46	0,753
Fatigue (FA)	46.20	28.04	41,13 - 51,27	0,831
Role functioning(RF)	53,19	29,41	47,88 - 58,51	0,714
Social functioning (SF)	55,69	30,06	50,26 - 61,13	0,827
Cognitive functioning (CF)	53,47	27,49	48,50 - 58,44	0,792
Global health status/QoL (QoL)	41,81	19,83	38,22 - 45,39	0,882
Emotional functioning (EF)	40,14	20,27	36,48 - 43,80	0,734
Physical functioning (PF)	49,61	18,43	46,28 - 52,94	0,702

Table 8 - α -Cronbach and quality of life

440

	FI	DI	CO	AP	SL	DY	PA	NV	FA	RF	SF	CF	QoL	EF	PF
FI	1,000	,019	,170	,106	,338**	,116	,142	,163	,223*	-,263*	-,273*	- ,241 [*]	-,157	-,216*	-,158
DI	,019	1,000	,073	,043	,022	,027	,173	,106	-,081	,002	-,083	-,076	-,007	-,015	-,037
CO	,170	,073	1,000	,125	,122	-,028	,103	,147	-,028	-,072	-,078	-,031	-,080	-,125	-,083
AP	,106	,043	,125	1,000	-,029	-,076	-,036	-,010	,039	-,040	-,112	-,042	,085	,009	,040
SL	,338**	,022	,122	-,029	1,000	-,062	,012	,071	,079	-,162	-,188*	-,160	-,014	-,077	-,057
DY	,116	,027	-,028	-,076	-,062	1,000	,103	-,026	,103	-,033	-,122	-,194*	-,169	-,212*	-,267*
PA	,142	,173	,103	-,036	,012	,103	1,000	,769 ^{**}	,331**	-,187**	-,326**	-,347**	-,329**	-,372**	-,376**
NV	,163	,106	,147	-,010	,071	-,026	,769**	1,000	,430**	-,323**	-,351**	-,360**	-,204*	-,203*	-,157
FA	,223*	-,081	-,028	,039	,079	,103	,331**	,430**	1,000	-,806**	- ,745**	-,705**	-,414**	-,428**	- ,462**
RF	- ,263*	,002	-,072	-,040	-,162	-,033	-,187**	- ,323**	-,806**	1,000	,842**	,744**	,319**	,292*	,281*
SF	- ,273*	-,083	-,078	-,112	- ,188*	-,122	-,326**	- ,351**	-,745**	,842**	1,000	,887**	,471**	,464**	,467**
CF	- ,241*	-,076	-,031	-,042	-,160	- ,194*	-,347**	- ,360**	-,705**	,744**	,887**	1,000	,540**	,521**	,509**
QoL	-,157	-,007	-,080	,085	-,014	-,169	-,329**	-,204*	-,414**	,319**	,471**	,540**	1,000	,817**	,778**
EF	- ,216*	-,015	-,125	,009	-,077	- ,212*	-,372**	- ,203**	-,428**	,292**	,464**	,521**	,817**	1,000	,915**
PF	-,158	-,037	-,083	,040	-,057	- ,267*	-,376**	-,157*	-,462**	,281**	,467**	,509**	,778**	,915**	1,000

Note: * *p*< .05, ** *p*< .01

 Table 9 - Intra-correlation among quality of life scales

	Disease Stage	Age Groups	Marital Status	Type of surgical procedure	Frequency of anti- depressant use	Side-effects	Number of drugs used	
FI	,298*011		-,016	,306**	,254*	,115	,858**	
DI	,092	.117	,008	-,148	-,080	,036	-,068	
CO	-,006	.028	,125	,099	-,004	-,015	,135	
AP	,109	.076	,060	-,018	,061	-,050	,080	
SL	,143	.102	,074	,086	,018	$,209^{*}$,284*	
DY	,081	012	-,088	-,005	,086	,039	,219*	
PA	,100	.046	,082	-,018	,124	-,006	,250**	
NV	,160	023	,129	-,003	,065	-,047	,248**	
FA	,099	.039	,072	,163	,302*	,135	,373**	
RF	-,119	158	-,059	-,171	-,365**	-,267**	-,362**	
SF	-,179*	178	-,054	-,092	-,349**	-,268**	-,388**	
CF	-,173	146	-,052	-,100	-,346**	-,289**	-,372**	
QoL	-,051	101	-,103	-,282*	-,553**	-,136	-,304**	
EF	-,017	036	-,051	-,291**	-,462**	-,015	-,370***	
PF	-,033	032	-,009	-,296**	-,472**	-,033	-,330**	

Note: * *p*< .05, ** *p*< .01

443 Table 10 - quality of life scales and demographics