



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_43634
Title of the Manuscript:	Differential miRNA expression in oral cancer oncosomes: a pilot study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: the objective of the paper should be at the end of Introduction, clearly stated and expressed in an orderly fashion.</p> <p>M&M: were the particular SCC lines for the study selected for a reason? (availability, representativity, or something else?). This should be clarified.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - "Thermo Scientific Verso 1-Step RT-PCR" [5th paragraph] This line is not connected, please clarify. What was the PCR kit used? Were more than one? - "the relative-fold expression" the process for obtaining this should be detailed clearly (e.g. Normalization), as to why the references low, moderate and High. Why there are not any statistical analysis performed? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: It would greatly improve the manuscript value if a small part referring to mRNA in oral cancer was added mentioning specific mRNA for it in this section, however brief.</p>	
Optional/General comments	Interesting study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jerónimo Lazos
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba, Argentina