



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_43212
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of ABCB1 Polymorphisms in Breast Cancer Patients in South Egypt Cancer Institute by High-Resolution Melting Curve Analysis (pilot study)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors compared the genotypes of two polymorphisms between healthy subjects and breast cancer patients. The found that the polymorphisms are not informative markers for evaluating the risk for breast cancer. Main point: 1: The patients are older than the controls, which may produce biased results; and 2: How the authors assess the association is not clear. Commonly, allele frequency is used. For example, in genotype GG 2, GA 10, and AA 1, the allele frequencies are G 54% and A 46%. Kai squire test is used to comare the allele frequency. Minor point 1: The manuscript is not well prepared, with many grammer errors and typos; and 2: The sample size is too small.	1- Although the apparent difference in the age between patients and control, we didn't find any statistically significant difference (P value 0.415) between the two groups. 2- In our study we used the genotype frequency for comparison, so we didn't use the K square test is used to compare. 1-grammar errors were corrected and high;lighted in light green 3- We mentioned that it is a pilot studies that's why we used small sample size.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		