



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_43212
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of ABCB1 Polymorphisms in Breast Cancer Patients in South Egypt Cancer Institute by High-Resolution Melting Curve Analysis (pilot study)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. English language should be checked by the expert. 2. Introduction should be revised to be more precise. 3. ethical issues: Authors did not describe ethical clearance in the study. Ethical clearance should be described in the method. 4. Too many figures. 5. Analysis should be based on genotype and alleles frequencies. 6. Discussion is too short. Several standard points should be added such as basic theory, comparison, clinical relevance, and limitations. 7. Authors may require more references.	1-English language was checked and the errors were highlighted in light green 2- 3-Ethical Consideration was added and it indicates the approval number of the research from the ethical committee in our institute. Highlighted in yellow. 4- Figures were reduced. 5-analysis is our study is based on genotype frequency only. Highlighted in light blue 6-discussion was improved, highlighted in yellow. 7- We add more references highlighted in yellow
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		