



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_44318
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF BLINK REFLEX IN EARLY DIAGNOSIS OF CRANIAL NERVE NEUROPATHY IN GUILLAIN BARRE SYNDROME
Type of the Article	case control study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Hospital name should be kept confidential.	
Minor REVISION comments	No.	
Optional/General comments	All patients should be of same age group to avoid any age influence on blink reflex.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Although the author mentions that there was no need for consent I believe that consent is mandatory as their study results are being used.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Yusuf Hafiz
Department, University & Country	Dow University Of Health Sciences, Pakistan