



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_42662
Title of the Manuscript:	Views of future doctors on the current Mental Health Clerkship programme of a Nigerian university.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The duration of clerkships needs to be negotiated with other specialties since every specialty considers itself important and wants more time. Therefore, the conclusion can only be further negotiation. No one will be convinced by what a handful of students think. The best negotiation would be to convince other specialties to allow an experiment whereby 2 weeks on psychiatry is compared to 4 weeks and 6 weeks with respect to students' comfort with psychiatric patients, with psychiatric knowledge, and with interpersonal skills.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article is too long. Minor typos.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mary V. Seeman
Department, University & Country	University of Toronto, Canada