



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_39142
Title of the Manuscript:	Neuropsychological Deficits in Children with Epilepsy in Ghana: A Study At Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is a study of 36 children in Ghana diagnosed with epilepsy and 36 age and gender matched controls comparing cognitive abilities and examining the role of medication adherence.</p> <p>Please clarify in abstract that the sample was 36 and 36</p> <p>Please explain how sample size was determined – is it large enough for the number of outcome variables studied?</p> <p>Please explain how the samples were recruited – volunteers? Selected by researchers? Physicians? Teachers?</p> <p>Did the children or parents or both sign consent? Or was it assent? What feedback was given to the children, parents, physicians?</p> <p>In the conclusion – “children are urged”. Children are not likely to be reading the manuscript. Their physicians might be – what should the physicians do? This sample is particularly interesting in that a belief in the supernatural cause of epilepsy exists in Ghana – how should physicians address this?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	When speaking of the reliability of a scale – what is meant test-retest reliability? Inter rater reliability?	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The introduction is too long and seems unstructured. The most interesting part is the problem of supernatural beliefs re epilepsy. This deserves a paragraph of its own. The rest should be shortened.</p> <p>Please carefully go over the sentence structure – there are some obscure sentences.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mary V. Seeman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dept of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Canada</b>