

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_39142
Title of the Manuscript:	Neuropsychological Deficits in Children with Epilepsy in Ghana: A Study At Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 The "Introduction" is very long. In the topic 2.1 Population, is described that : "The target group consisted of all patients diagnosed with epileptic disorder …", however, in the topic,2.2 Participants, it is written that: "The convenience sampling technique was used in selecting the patients with epilepsy." If they were selected, which selection criteria were used? I think that this work is case-control study The patients, did have the same type of epilepsy? In table 3, the fourth column is with the number 3 repeated. This column can be excluded. 	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Euclides Maurício Trindade Filho
Department, University & Country	Universidade Estadual de Ciências da saúde de Alagoas, Brazil

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6