



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_42662
Title of the Manuscript:	Views of future doctors on the current Mental Health Clerkship programme of a Nigerian university.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process: This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Graphics and Tables have no quality for publication	The graphs were drawn with the assistance of our hospital statistician after we did the analysis. The tables and graphs were drawn in line with the specifications of the journal (INDJ). However, if any further modification is required, we appeal that the journal computer experts help us fine-tune the figures. Thank you.
Optional/General comments		