



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_42662
Title of the Manuscript:	Views of future doctors on the current Mental Health Clerkship programme of a Nigerian university.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This is an article about a relevant and current theme, the authors were careful to observe the group of students at a very important time of medical training.	<b>All the comments made by the reviewers have been noted and carefully addressed.</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The main question that makes me request changes in the article is what the authors call "content analisis", which is without reference to the method used. I was left with the impression that the authors did a content analysis in the wrong way because they used the quantitative method simply by describing the frequency of answers most found for each one of the 4 questions that aimed to deepen the theme. This does not seem to have happened. My suggestion is that Bardin's method be applied to the interview recording text, which will give us a better idea of what students think. Some references bellow:</p> <p><a href="http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&amp;pid=S0100-15742017000300013">http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&amp;pid=S0100-15742017000300013</a>Data analyses were based on <b>content analysis</b> proposed by <b>Bardin</b> (1977) and Franco (2008). With this material, like bricoleurs or artisans sewing their ...</p> <p><a href="https://www.amazon.com/Content-Analysis-Guidebook-Kimberly-Neuendorf/dp/0761919775">https://www.amazon.com/Content-Analysis-Guidebook-Kimberly-Neuendorf/dp/0761919775</a>The <b>Content Analysis</b> Guidebook: 9780761919773: Communication Books @ Amazon.com.</p> <p>Buscas relacionadas com <b>content analysis</b> Bardin</p> <p><a href="#">The use of the qualitative content analysis in psychology</a>  <a href="#">Content analysis</a>  <a href="#">Three approaches to qualitative content analysis</a>  <a href="#">The Content Analysis Guidebook</a>  <a href="#">Content analysis as a methodology</a>  <a href="#">What is the difference between content analysisand thematic</a>  <a href="#">Thematic Content Analysis</a>  <a href="#">Qualitative Content Analysis</a></p> <p>Buscas Relacionadas</p> <p><a href="#">The use of the qualitative content analysis in psychology</a>  <a href="#">Content analysis as a methodology</a>  <a href="#">Content analysis</a>  <a href="#">What is the difference between content analysis and</a></p> <p>Other possibility is using N-Vivo, a content analysis done by computer.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<b>Optional/General</b> comments .	I think that the theme deserves more and more researches	
---------------------------------------	--	--