



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_39142
Title of the Manuscript:	Neuropsychological Deficits in Children with Epilepsy in Ghana: A Study At Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The "Introduction" is very long.</p> <p>. In the topic 2.1 Population, is described that : " The target group consisted of all patients diagnosed with epileptic disorder ...", however, in the topic,2.2 Participants, it is written that: "The convenience sampling technique was used in selecting the patients with epilepsy." If they were selected, which selection criteria were used?</p> <p>. I think that this work is case-control study</p> <p>. The patients, did have the same type of epilepsy?</p> <p>. In table 3, the fourth column is with the number 3 repeated. This column can be excluded.</p>	<p>The introduction has been shortened</p> <p>The sampling technique has been changed and the selection criteria clarified</p> <p>The study is cross-sectional and not case-control. We do not agree with the reviewer</p> <p>Yes, the patients had the same type of epilepsy and it has been specified</p> <p>The fourth column of table 3 has been excluded</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		