



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_37064
Title of the Manuscript:	PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATION NON-ADHERENCE AMONG PSYCHIATRIC PATIENTS AT KING ABDULAZIZ HOSPITAL-Makkah.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an interesting study on an important topic. There are some unclear methodological issues which need to be clarified. The standard of writing is good. The quality of the literature review is good The validity of the conclusions drawn is fair.	Page 4, lines 102 and 103 in the methodology are corrected. Feedback: I respect all reviewer's comments. Most of the comments were corrected and highlighted in the manuscript. All Questions in this table were answered.
Minor REVISION comments	Ms_INDJ_37064 Psychotropic medication non-adherence among psychiatric patients Did the authors also record in which social setting the patients were living? Was there a difference concerning adherence between those living with their family and those living alone or in an institution? Did the authors assess if ineffectivity of psychotropic drugs concerning the primary psychiatric symptom could contribute to reduced adherence in psychiatric patients? Were all questionnaires done with the patients or also with caregivers? This should be added to the method section. How did the 6% who could not read or write fill in the questionnaire, or was this an oral questioning? This should be mentioned in the method. Did the authors differ between psychotropic and non-psychotropic drugs? What is the reason why the monthly income is unevenly distributed? In figure description of X-line are unclear and should be clarified in the caption of the figure. All typographical errors need to be corrected	1. The author asked about social setting in the questionnaire Q.2 demographic information, Table 1 page 6. About the second point, we did not make this correlation as there is no clear question in the questionnaire about living alone or with family.as he can live with his family and taking his medication alone . 2. Yes , ineffectivity of psychotropic drugs concerning the primary psychiatric symptoms could contribute to reduced adherence in psychiatric patients . it is a fact but it was not one of our aims. 3. All questionnaire was done with the patients except 6% who could not read or write questionnaires fill by healthcare or caregivers in these cases. 4. Orally by healthcare or caregivers. 5. No I did not as the study was done in the psychiatric clinic and we concentrate on the psychotropic medication with its adherence only 6. The monthly income can contribute in giving an overview of the participants with their demographics 7. Done in page 13 line 197 and 198 8. Typographical errors are corrected
Optional/General comments		