



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_25387</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Alcohol, Substance Use and Psychosocial Competence of Adolescents in Selected Secondary Schools in Uganda: A Cross Sectional Survey</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The manuscript would benefit from editing for English style and grammar. There were several instances of incorrect capitalization throughout the manuscript.</b></p> <p><b>The Introduction section provides a thorough overview of common issues in drug addiction and the interaction between other psychological disorders and substance abuse/dependence. Readers of International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal, should have sufficient background knowledge of the major concerns in this field. The Introduction section would be strengthened with a revision to focus on the specific issues in Uganda (e.g., expanding and focusing on Lines 10-25 of the initial submission). For example, what are the socio-cultural differences between the North and Central of Uganda? These are important in the Results and Discussion section and a more-thorough introduction would help an international reader.</b></p> <p><b>Regarding the Methods, the authors should clarify how specific drug use was assessed (Lines 113-115). Were the students given a list of all substances or were they asked to list the substances they used? From the Results and Discussion section (3.2 and 4.1) and comments on heroin and cocaine use, this is not clear.</b></p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript provides interesting data on drug and alcohol use in different regions of Uganda. The manuscript would benefit from revision for English style and to clarify the methods; however, it should be of interest to readers of International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dennis K. Miller</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Psychological Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia MO, USA</b>