

**Original Research Article****Alcohol, Substance Use and Psychosocial Competence of  
Adolescents in Selected Secondary Schools in Uganda: A  
Cross Sectional Survey**

**Aims:** 1) To determine the nature and extend of alcohol and substance use and 2) To describe the relationship between alcohol use and psychosocial competence in secondary school youths in Northern and Central Uganda.

**Study Design:** This was a cross-sectional study.

**Place and Duration of study:** Departments of Psychiatry, Gulu (Northern Uganda) and Makerere (Central Uganda) Universities between September 2011 and April 2012.

**Methodology:** Four (4) and eight (8) secondary schools located in the rural and urban areas of Gulu and Kampala districts respectively were randomly selected to participate in the survey. A total of 3200 students aged 12 to 24 years were recruited by proportionate multistage sampling. Data was collected using socio-demographic questionnaire that included questions about nature and frequency of alcohol and substance use. A pretested self-administered survey questionnaire containing scales to measure components of psychosocial competence was administered. Data was entered in Epidata software, and analyzed using SPSS version 16.0. Psychosocial competence was classified as high or low depending on the responses in the sub-scales of decision making, self efficacy, empathy, emotional awareness, coping with stress and emotions, and Accurate self assessment and self confidence.

**Results:** 2902 (From Kampala: 2502, (86.2%) and Gulu: 400 (13.8%)) questionnaires were analyzed. Male to female ratio was 1:1 with age range of 12 to 24 years and a mean of 16.5. About 70.1% had ever used alcohol and substances. Only 39.1% used substances regularly. The commonest substance was alcohol (23.3%), followed by Kuber (10.8%), Khat (10.5%), Aviation fuel (10.1%), Cannabis

(9.2%) and cigarettes (5.9%). Respondents from the North were twice more likely to use all substances. The level of psychosocial competence among non-users from Central Uganda was low. Users and regular users from the North had lower psychosocial competence. Factors significantly associated with non-use of alcohol are high levels of self-confidence, non use of cannabis and kuber, age and having symptoms of depressive illness. In the alcohol user groups, a high level of coping was associated with discontinued and experimental use.

**Conclusion:** More than two-thirds (70.1%) of young people in this study had ever used substances only once and slightly over a third use it regularly. School mental health programmes that target both non-users and users are recommended from the perspectives of service provision, the perspective of mental health promotion and prevention of illicit substance use.

6

7 *Keywords: **Substance use; Young people; psychosocial competence; Uganda***

8

9 **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

10 The use of alcohol and other substances during adolescence and early adulthood has become a serious  
11 public health problem in Uganda. The global burden of disease projected that tobacco, alcohol and illicit  
12 drugs were respectively the 2nd, 9th and 20th leading cause of mortality globally[1]. This report further  
13 projected that tobacco smoking alone will lead to 1 billion deaths globally during the 21st century[1] . The  
14 World Health Organization's global status report on Alcohol, 2004 stated that Uganda has one of the  
15 highest alcohol and substance abuse rates in the World[2]. With over half of her population below 24  
16 years, school going adolescents and young people have been part of this statistics [3]. A study done on  
17 drug and substance abuse in schools of Kampala and Wakiso found between 60 to 71% of the students  
18 were using drugs with alcohol and cannabis taking the biggest percentages[4].

19 Given the serious consequences of drug and alcohol abuse, considerable effort has been directed toward  
20 adults who have developed health problems despite the low success rates[5]. In research and clinical  
21 studies, adolescent alcohol and substance use has been relatively neglected[6]. In Uganda, there is also  
22 a paucity of services and treatment programmes, with the few programmes biased towards treating adults  
23 and adoption of adult treatment models without appropriate attention to different developmental and child  
24 protection needs. At the policy level, there is no policy to guide any implementation of services to control  
25 the alcohol and illicit substance use in Uganda.

26 Continued use of these substances has a spectrum of adverse outcomes including psychological,  
27 physical, social and legal problems. Among adolescents with substance use problems, co-occurring

28 mental disorders are common and serious [7]. In general, research has shown that individuals with co-  
29 occurring disorders (also called dual diagnosis) have more severe psychiatric symptoms, are more  
30 difficult to treat, incur greater costs, and have worse overall outcomes than persons with only one  
31 disorder [7]

32 Physical adverse health effects have been shown in adolescent smokers, including effects on the lungs  
33 [8]. While many of these conditions, particularly the physical ones develop only after a chronic use  
34 spanning many decades, and are therefore rare in children and adolescents, an understanding of  
35 substance use and substance use problems during adolescence is critical to any approach aimed at  
36 lessening these consequences, as it is during childhood and adolescence that the use of these  
37 substances typically first occurs [6]. Some studies suggest that if substance use has not been initiated by  
38 age 21, it is unlikely to ever be initiated [6, 9]. Further, age at initiation to substance use has consistently  
39 been shown to be associated with higher lifetime consumption, more risky patterns of use and with onset,  
40 duration and severity dependence[10]. Studies suggest that the younger an individual is at the onset of  
41 substance use, the greater the likelihood that a substance use disorder will develop and continue into  
42 adulthood [10]. Furthermore, it is stated that more than 90% of adults with current substance use  
43 disorders started using before age 18; half of those began before age 15 years [11] .Thus, it is clear that  
44 early onset use is a robust indicator of risk for future substance related problems.

45 There is also a growing recognition of the high cost of treatment and of the inability of existing treatment  
46 programs to keep up with increasing demand. Half of the admissions in the Ugandan National Mental  
47 Referral Hospital are young people with alcohol and substance use disorders[12]. These observations  
48 stimulate interest in primary prevention of alcohol and other drug abuse in adolescents. Psychosocial  
49 competence is one of the factors that has been stated to be protective against progressing to problematic  
50 use of alcohol and other substances[13, 14], and it is a critical starting point for policy reform aimed to  
51 promote mental health and to prevent and control illicit substance use by young people in Uganda.

52 This article focuses on the use of alcohol and other substances among young people in secondary  
53 schools. In this article, we advance the understanding that alcohol and substance use occurs along a  
54 spectrum ranging from beneficial to problematic use. We also support the proposition that harmful alcohol  
55 and substance use is facilitated by poor problem-solving capacity and low psychosocial competence. This  
56 conceptualization emphasizes the public health-based understanding of substance use instead of binary  
57 categorical approach of "use" vs. "abuse" [15].Viewed in this way, alcohol and substance related  
58 problems can be understood as occurring on different levels of use associated with different types of  
59 problems and levels of psychosocial competence, with young people moving between the different  
60 levels[16]. Many young people will experiment with alcohol and substances and stop while others may go  
61 on to recreational use and yet a few may get addicted and develop varying types and levels of  
62 complications [16].

63 In this paper, we define alcohol and substance use as lying along a continuum. This may be a onetime  
64 use, regular use or problematic use. Problematic use can further be classified as i) substance abuse  
65 which involves the use of substances despite persistent social, interpersonal or other problems caused by  
66 the use of the substance[17] and ii) substance dependence which is a more severe disorder entailing  
67 signs of physical or psychological tolerance or dependence[17].

68 Studies have shown that there are factors that cause some adolescents to be particularly vulnerable to  
69 problematic use of alcohol and substances [18] [6]. Factors associated with resilience are termed assets;  
70 i.e.: positive factors that reside within the individual, such as psychosocial competence and resources,  
71 and positive factors that help youth overcome risk, but that they are external to the individual, such as a  
72 supportive family environment or caring relationship with at least one adult[19].The importance of  
73 identification of these factors, and their impact upon the progression or not of substance use in particular  
74 individuals, underscores importance of prevention and early intervention programmes for young people.  
75 Botvin and others have studied the effectiveness of a drug abuse prevention programme, and life skills  
76 (psychosocial competence) training [20, 21]. At 6 year follow up, Botvin and colleagues' study showed  
77 that self reported substance abuse was 44% less in the intervention group and poly drug abuse was 66%  
78 less [21].

79 This study aimed to determine the nature and extend of alcohol and substance use and secondly, to  
80 describe the relationship between alcohol use and psychosocial competence in young people in  
81 secondary schools in Northern and Central Uganda. [(Arial, normal, 10 font, justified) (Detailed instruction  
82 about this section is given below. After reading these instructions, please delete this paragraph and begin  
83 typing your text here. If you are using copy-paste option then select 'match destination formatting' in  
84 paste option OR use 'paste special' option and select 'unformatted Unicode text' option).

85  
86 Provide a factual background, clearly defined problem, proposed solution, a brief literature survey and the  
87 scope and justification of the work done.]

88

## 89 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

90

91 This study sought to answer the following research questions; namely: a) what the nature and extent of  
92 alcohol and substance use among young people in secondary schools in Northern and Central Uganda  
93 was, and b) what the relations between alcohol and psychosocial competence of young people in  
94 secondary schools in the study areas was.

### 95 **2.1 Study instruments**

#### 96 **2.1.1. Socio-demographic questionnaire**

97 All students completed a demographic data sheet, which had questions on gender, age, class in school,  
98 religious affiliation, parenthood status, orphanhood status (for orphans), experience of domestic violence,  
99 nature of housing, number of rooms in a house where they live and history of mental illness in the  
100 respondent and family.

### 101 **2.1.2. The Emotional Competence Inventory (ECI)**

102 The ECI was used to measure psychosocial competence. The ECI is a 360-degree tool designed to  
103 assess the emotional and social competencies of individuals. The test is based on emotional  
104 competencies identified by Dr. Daniel Goleman in working with Emotional Intelligence [22]. Only the  
105 desired attributes were extracted and measured on a likert scale. Decision making/problem solving was  
106 assessed on 5 point likert scale of; (1) almost always, (2) usually, (3) about half the time, (4) rarely and (5)  
107 never. Self efficacy, accurate self assessment and self confidence were assessed on 5 point likert scale  
108 of; (1) strongly disagree, (2) disagree, (3) undecided, (4) agree and (5) strongly agree. Empathy,  
109 emotional awareness and coping with emotions were assessed on 6 point likert scale of; (1) always, (2)  
110 very frequently, (3) occasionally, (4) rarely, (5) very rarely and (6) never. Coping with stress was  
111 assessed on 5-point likert scale of; (1) very much, (2) often, (3) sometimes, (4) rarely and (5) not at all.

### 112 **2.3.1. Measures of substance use**

113 Alcohol and substance use was measured by asking a question: 'have you ever used the following  
114 (alcohol, Marijuana, Khat, Kuber, petrol/ aviation fuel, cigarettes, others?)' This question was asked to  
115 identify lifetime users. The questions that followed asked the frequency of taking alcohol and other  
116 substances e.g How often do you drink alcohol? Students could answer by ticking off the number of  
117 times they had used any of the substances:1= never,2=Tried but don't use them now, 3=Once a year,  
118 4=Once a Month, 5= 2 to 3 times a Month, 6=Once a week,7=A few times a week. This question also  
119 served as validating question [23, 24]. According to the Health Behaviour in School Aged Children  
120 (HBSC) standard [25], the results on both answers were combined and recoded into five substance use  
121 subgroups: 1) Those who had never used (non use);2) Those who tried but do not use them now  
122 (discontinued use);3)Those who used once a year (experimental use),4) Those who reported using any of  
123 the substances between once a month and 2-3 times a month (regular non- heavy use);5) Those who  
124 reported using it once a week and a few times a week (regular heavy use).

125 For the purposes of this article, 'use' is defined as any one time use of alcohol or any substances, 'non-  
126 use' as not ever taken alcohol or other substances; 'regular heavy use' defined as using any of the  
127 substances at least once a week and 'regular non heavy use' as using any of the substances once a  
128 month or more and excludes those who have never used any substances and experimental users.

### 129 **2.2 Data management, analysis and handling of confounding factors**

130 Data was entered in EpiData Version 3 and exported to the Statistical Package for Social Scientists  
 131 (SPSS) version 16.0 for cleaning, editing and analysis. We compared young people from central and  
 132 northern Uganda on selected socio-demographics, using frequency distributions and the two-way  
 133 contingency table analyses. In order to incorporate multistage sampling design in our survey analyses,  
 134 we chose SPSS complex Samples model using robust standard errors to obtain 95% confidence intervals  
 135 and p values in a weighted and multistage sample[27]. Alcohol use was included in the model as a  
 136 dependent variable, dichotomised into 'use' (reference category) and 'non use'. Psychosocial  
 137 competence levels on each of the eight components were included as factors and confounding variables  
 138 as covariates. These variables were included in a Logistic regression model.

139 To investigate the association between frequency of alcohol use and psychosocial competence, a five-  
 140 category alcohol use variable was created (see Measures section): non use (reference group),  
 141 discontinued use, experimental use, regular non heavy use and regular heavy use. This five-category  
 142 variable was included in the model as an dependent variable while correcting for age, gender, nature of  
 143 housing, experience of violence orphanhood, family history of mental illness depressive symptoms as  
 144 independent variables. All analyses were carried out with SPSS version 13 for Windows. Level of  
 145 significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

146

### 147 **3. RESULTS**

#### 148 **3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics**

149 Out of the targeted sample of 3,200, data from 2,902 young people was collected using questionnaires  
 150 and analyzed. To be consistent with the population of targeted students in the Kampala and Gulu,  
 151 proportionate sampling was used. Consequently, out of the 2,902, 2,502 (86.2%) participants came from  
 152 the Central region (Kampala) while 400 (13.8%) were from the Northern region (Gulu). Male to female  
 153 ratio was 1:1 with age range of 12 to 24 years and a mean age of 16.5.

154 Respondents from the North were more likely to be males, of age group 17-20 years protestants or  
 155 Catholics, be double orphan ,have family history of mental illness and more likely to experience domestic  
 156 violence but less likely to have semi permanent or permanent house and 2 or more rooms( Table 1).

157

158 **Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants in Central and Northern**  
 159 **region**

160

| Variables | Region  |          | P Value | OD (95%CI) |
|-----------|---------|----------|---------|------------|
|           | Central | Northern |         |            |

|   | N (%)       | N (%)      |        |                     |
|---|-------------|------------|--------|---------------------|
| <b>Age</b>                              |             |            |        |                     |
| 12-16                                   | 1396 (55.8) | 181 (45.3) | Ref    | Ref                 |
| 17-20                                   | 1052 (42.0) | 215(53.8)  | <0.000 | 1.57(1.27-1.96)     |
| 21-24                                   | 55 (2.2)    | 4 (1.0)    | .57    | 0.40 (0.17-1.67)    |
| <b>Gender</b>                           |             |            |        |                     |
| Male                                    | 1228 (49.1) | 231 (57.8) | .001   | 0.71(0.57-0.87)     |
| Female                                  | 1274 (43.9) | 169 (42.3) |        |                     |
| <b>Class</b>                            |             |            |        |                     |
| S2                                      | 785 (31.4)  | 122 (30.5) | Ref    | Ref                 |
| S3                                      | 705 (28.2)  | 105(26.3)  | .78    | 0.99(0.72-1.28)     |
| S4                                      | 584 (23.3)  | 112 (28.0) | .15    | 1.23 (0.93-1.65)    |
| S6                                      | 428(16.7)   | 61(15.1)   | .41    | 0.62(0.19-2.31)     |
| <b>Religion</b>                         |             |            |        |                     |
| SDA                                     | 157 (6.3)   | 3 (0.8)    | Ref    | Ref                 |
| Protestant                              | 583(23,3)   | 131(32.8)  | <0.000 | 11.76 (3.57-46.84)  |
| Catholic                                | 744 (29.7)  | 219 (54.8) | <0.000 | 15.41 (4.71-60.98)  |
| Muslim                                  | 580 (23.2)  | 17 (4.3)   | .78    | 1.53 (0.42-6.67)    |
| Pentecostal                             | 404( 16.1)  | 30 (7.5)   | .02    | 3.89 (1.11-16.21)   |
| Others (JW&Trad)                        | 34 (1.4)    | 0.0        | 1.00   | 0.00 (0.00-10.86)   |
| <b>Both Parents alive</b>               |             |            |        |                     |
| Yes                                     | 1774 (70.9) | 224 (56.0) | <0.000 | 1.90 (1.53-2.35)    |
| No                                      | 728 (29.1)  | 176 (44.0) |        |                     |
| <b>Orphanhood</b>                       |             |            |        |                     |
| Maternal/Paternal Orphan                | 560 (29.1)) | 50(13.1)   | <0.000 | 8.40 (5.71-12.38)   |
| Double Orphan                           | 168 (7.2)   | 126(30.9)  |        |                     |
| <b>Domestic Violence</b>                |             |            |        |                     |
| Don't want to say                       | 111(4.4)    | 19 (4.7)   | Ref)   | Ref                 |
| Yes                                     | 585 (23.0)  | 139(34.8)  | <0.000 | 0.005 (0.004-0.007) |
| No                                      | 1806(72.6)  | 248 (60.5) | <0.000 | 0.003(0.002-0.004)) |
| <b>Nature of Housing</b>                |             |            |        |                     |
| Hut                                     | 114 (4.6)   | 205 (51.3) | Ref    | Ref                 |
| Semi permanent                          | 683 (27.3)  | 99 (24.8)  | ,0.000 | 0.08(0.06-0.11)     |
| Permanent House                         | 1705 (68.1) | 96 (24.0)  | <0.000 | 0.03(0.02-0.04)     |
| <b>Number of rooms</b>                  |             |            |        |                     |
| 1 room                                  | 351(13.7)   | 142(35.1)  | Ref    | ref                 |
| 2 rooms                                 | 692(23.2)   | 89(22.0)   | <0.000 | 0.29(0.21-0.39)     |
| 3 rooms                                 | 625(24.5)   | 93(23.0)   | <0.000 | 0.33(0.24-0.45)     |
| More than 3 rooms                       | 987(38.6)   | 80(19.8)   | <0.000 | 0.21(0.16-0.28)     |
| <b>Family history of mental illness</b> |             |            |        |                     |

|     |             |           |        |                 |
|-----|-------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|
| Yes | 628 (25.0)  | 137(34.3) |        |                 |
| No  | 1874 (74.9) | 263(65.8) | <0.000 | 0.64(0.51-0.81) |

161



162 **3.2 Nature and extent of alcohol and substance use**

163 When the following direct question was asked: 'have you ever taken the following 1) *Alcohol* 2) *Marijuana*  
 164 3) *Khat* 4) *Kuber* 5) *Petrol/ aviation fuel* 6) *Ciggarrettes* 7) *Any Other substances?*, 36.3% respondents  
 165 reported that they had ever used the above substances; of these 66% were from Northern region. The  
 166 commonest substance was alcohol (19.3%) followed by kuber (4.4%), Cigarettes (3.9%), Marijuana  
 167 (2.9%), Aviation fuel (1.9%), and khat (1.7%). Other substances were mentioned including cocaine and  
 168 heroin (2.2%). When a validating question was asked: 'How often (if ever) do you drink alcohol  
 169 beverages, smoke marijuana etc, 70.1% had ever used alcohol and substances. The commonest  
 170 substance was alcohol (23.3%) followed by Kuber (10.8%), Khat (10.5%), Aviation fuel (10.1%),  
 171 Cannabis (9.2%) and cigarettes (5.9%). Respondents from the North were twice more likely to use all  
 172 substances than those from Central Uganda (Table 2).

173 Among the users, again respondents from the North were more likely to be regular heavy users (defined  
 174 as taking any substance at least once a week) of alcohol, Marijuana, Aviation Fuel and cigarettes. The  
 175 differences between regular heavy users and regular non heavy users in regard to region were not  
 176 however significant

177

178 **Table 2: Use and non-use of substance by region (Central and Northern)**

| Substance of use  | Region       |               | P-value | Crude (95%CI)   | ORs |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|---------|-----------------|-----|
|                   | Central (n%) | Northern (n%) |         |                 |     |
| <b>Alcohol</b>    |              |               |         |                 |     |
| Use               | 516(20.6)    | 159(39.8)**   |         |                 |     |
| Non use           | 1986(79.4)   | 241(60.3)     | <0.000  | 0.39(0.32-0.49) |     |
| <b>Marijuana</b>  |              |               |         |                 |     |
| Use               | 201(8.0)     | 67(16.8)**    |         |                 |     |
| Non use           | 2301(92.0)   | 333(83.3)     | <0.000  | 0.51(0.38-0.68) |     |
| <b>Khat</b>       |              |               |         |                 |     |
| Use               | 243(9.7)     | 70(17.5)**    |         |                 |     |
| Non use           | 2259(90.3)   | 330(82.5)     | <0.000  | 0.51(0.38-0.68) |     |
| <b>Kuber</b>      |              |               |         |                 |     |
| Use               | 247(9.9)     | 59(14.8)**    |         |                 |     |
| Non use           | 2255(90.1)   | 341(85.3)     | 0.003   | 0.63(0.47-0.86) |     |
| <b>Fuel</b>       |              |               |         |                 |     |
| Use               | 239(9.6)     | 55(13.8)**    |         |                 |     |
| Non use           | 2263(90.4)   | 345(86.3)     | 0.01    | 0.66(0.48-0.91) |     |
| <b>Cigarettes</b> |              |               |         |                 |     |
| Use               | 127(5.1)     | 45(11.3)**    |         |                 |     |
| Non use           | 2375(94.9)   | 355(88.8)     | <0.000  | 0.42(0.29-0.60) |     |

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

Significant at  $p \leq 0.05$ , \* Use defined as any one-time use of alcohol or any substances, non-use as not ever taken alcohol or other substances

188 **3.3. Alcohol and psychosocial competence**

189 Non-users of alcohol in the central had higher percentages of low score on six (6) of the eight  
 190 components of PSC. They were more likely to have low levels of PSC on the subscale of empathy  
 191 ( $P=.01$ ), emotional awareness ( $P=.04$ ) and coping with emotions ( $P=.001$ ). Non-users from the North had  
 192 higher percentages of low score of PSC on 4 of the components with significant difference on decision-  
 193 making and self-confidence.

194 They were less likely to have low levels of decision-making ( $P=.03$ ) and self-confidence ( $P= .01$ ). In the  
 195 central region regular non-heavy use of alcohol was significantly associated with coping with stress; they  
 196 were less likely to have low levels of PSC on coping with stress. There was a tendency of northern region  
 197 to have higher percentages of low scores on PSC on five (5) of the eight components (table 3), none of  
 198 which reaching significant levels. Non-users of alcohol in Central Uganda had low psychosocial  
 199 competence but users and regular heavy users in Northern Uganda had lower psycho-social competence

201 **Table 3: Alcohol use and non use by components of PSC and region**

202

203

| Components of PSC           | Region                       |                 | Crude ORs (95%CI) | P-value         | Crude ORs (95%CI)          |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
|                             | Central Non use(N=1986) n(%) | Use(N=516) n(%) |                   |                 |                            |
| <b>Decision making</b>      |                              |                 |                   |                 |                            |
| High                        | 1513(76.2)                   | 408(79.1)       |                   |                 | 192(79.7) 112(70.4)        |
| Low                         | 473( <b>23.8</b> )           | 108(20.9)       | .17               | 1.18(0.93-1.50) | 49( <b>20.3</b> ) 47(29.6) |
| <b>Self efficacy</b>        |                              |                 |                   |                 |                            |
| High                        | 1461(73.6)                   | 389(75.4)       |                   |                 | 156(64.7) 99(62.3)         |
| Low                         | 525(26.4)                    | 127(24.6)       | .40               | 1.10(0.88-1.37) | 85(35.3) 60(37.7)          |
| <b>Empathy</b>              |                              |                 |                   |                 |                            |
| High                        | 1034(52.1)                   | 302(58.5)       |                   |                 | 146(60.6) 99(62.3)         |
| Low                         | 952( <b>47.9</b> )           | 214(41.5)       | .01               | 1.23(1.07-1.58) | 95(39.5) 60(37.7)          |
| <b>Emotional awareness</b>  |                              |                 |                   |                 |                            |
| High                        | 1244(62.6)                   | 349(67.6)       |                   |                 | 167(69.3) 111(69.8)        |
| Low                         | 742( <b>37.4</b> )           | 167(32.4)       | .04               | 1.25(1.02-1.53) | 74(30.7) 48(30.2)          |
| <b>Coping with emotions</b> |                              |                 |                   |                 |                            |
| High                        | 1061(53.4)                   | 317(61.4)       |                   |                 | 414(58.5) 96(60.4)         |

|                                 |            |           |      |                 |           |           |        |                 |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------------|
| Low                             | 925(46.5)  | 199(38.6) | .001 | 1.39(1.14-1.69) | 100(41.5) | 63(39.6)  | .71    | 1.08(0.72-1.63) |
| <b>Coping with stress</b>       |            |           |      |                 |           |           |        |                 |
| High                            | 626(31.5)  | 182(35.3) |      |                 | 89(36.9)  | 59(37.1)  |        |                 |
| Low                             | 1360(68.5) | 334(64.7) | .11  | 1.18(0.96-1.45) | 152(63.1) | 100(62.9) | .97    | 1.01(0.66-1.52) |
| <b>Accurate self assessment</b> |            |           |      |                 |           |           |        |                 |
| High                            | 1570(79.1) | 394(76.4) |      |                 | 155(64.9) | 93(58.5)  |        |                 |
| Low                             | 416(20.9)  | 122(23.6) | .18  | 0.86(0.68-1.08) | 84(35.1)  | 66(41.5)  | .24    | 0.78(0.52-1.18) |
| <b>self confidence</b>          |            |           |      |                 |           |           |        |                 |
| High                            | 1563(78.3) | 395(76.6) |      |                 | 178(73.9) | 99(62.3)  |        |                 |
| Low                             | 423(21.3)  | 121(23.4) | .29  | 0.88(0.70-1.11) | 63(26.1)  | 60(37.7)  | .01*** | 0.58(0.38-0.89) |

204

205 **3.4. Results of Multiple Logistic regressions**

206 To control for the multiple explanatory variables on alcohol non-use, multiple logistic regression was  
 207 done. In this model, self-confidence, non use of cannabis and kuber, age and having symptoms of  
 208 depressive illness emerged as significantly associated factors of non-use of alcohol. Young people with  
 209 high levels of self-confidence were more likely to be non-users of alcohol ( $P=.0001$ , adjusted OR =1.204,  
 210 95% CI =1.147-1.260). Non-users of cannabis and Kuber were also likely to be non-users of alcohol ( $P=$   
 211  $.001$ ; Adjusted OR =1.050; 95% CI=7.477-1.260) and  $P=.02$ ; OR=2.688; 95%CI=2.007-3.601)  
 212 respectively. The age group of 17-20 was less likely to be non-users ( $P=.003$ ; Adjusted OR =0.713; 95%  
 213 CI= 0.630-0.807).

214

215 **3.5 Association between alcohol use and psychosocial competence in different user**  
 216 **groups**

217 High levels of components of psychosocial competence of self-confidence, coping with stress and  
 218 emotions were associated with discontinued and experimental use respectively. Those with high levels of  
 219 self-confidence were less likely to discontinue use while high levels of PSC on the component of coping  
 220 with stress were more likely to have discontinued use.

221 Those with PSC high levels on the component of coping with emotions were about 2 times more likely to  
 222 be experimental users. The age group 17-20 emerged a strong predictor of the whole spectrum ranging  
 223 from discontinued to regular heavy use (table 5)

224

225 **Table 5: Association between alcohol use and psychosocial competence in different user groups**

226

| <b>Alcohol user groups</b>  | <b>P</b> | <b>Adjusted OR</b> | <b>95% CI</b> |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------|
| Discontinued use            |          |                    |               |
| Age (17-20)                 | .02      | 1.31               | 1.04-1.64     |
| Self confidence (high)      | .03      | 0.72               | 0.53-0.96     |
| Coping with stress (high)   | .05      | 1.29               | 1.01-1.66     |
| Experimental use            |          |                    |               |
| Age (17-20)                 | <0.0001  | 2.34               | 1.49-3.67     |
| Coping with emotions (high) | .01      | 2.22               | 1.25-3.95     |
| Regular non heavy use       |          |                    |               |
| Age (17-20)                 | <.0001   | 1.88               | 1.45-2.45     |
| Regular heavy use           |          |                    |               |
| Age (17-20)                 | <.0001   | 2.13               | 1.43-3.15     |

227

228

## 229 **4.0 DISCUSSION:**

### 230 **4.1 Key findings**

231 For students aged 12 to 24 in selected secondary schools in Northern and Central Uganda, 70.1% of  
 232 respondents had ever used alcohol and substances. We found a discrepant level of nearly twice when a  
 233 validation question was asked. This discrepancy in the rates of alcohol and substance use demonstrated  
 234 by the two questions supports the view that response validity of substances use is highly dependent on  
 235 the construction of the question, procedures for administration, investigators' perceived intentions and  
 236 respondents' cognitive fitness [23, 24]. This finding is further supported by a study done in adults in IDP  
 237 camps in Northern Uganda by Roberts and others in 2008 which revealed very low rates of alcohol and  
 238 outright denial of alcohol use by interviewees who were drunk even at the time of interview[28]

239 Only 39.1% of our respondents used substances regularly. The commonest substance was alcohol  
 240 23.3%, Kuber 10.8%, Khat 10.5%, Aviation fuel 10.1%, Cannabis 9.2% and cigarettes 5.9%. The finding  
 241 that alcohol is the substance most commonly used by secondary school youth is consistent with previous  
 242 studies conducted among secondary school youth[3, 4, 29-31]. The somewhat new finding here that  
 243 Kuber being the second most common illicit drug used. Not much is known about this drug that is thought  
 244 to originate from India and is being sold in Ugandan supermarkets in sachets similar to tea bags

245 disguised as mouth freshener since 2009[32]. It is thought to be a CNS stimulant, libido enhancing, highly  
246 addictive with some of its users experiencing psychotic and depressive like symptoms[33].

247 When considering the continuum of alcohol use by gender, males in this study generally had higher  
248 prevalence rates of discontinued, experimental, regular non-heavy and regular heavy use than females.  
249 Respondents in the Northern Uganda were twice more likely to use all substances. The risk of having low  
250 levels psychosocial competence among respondents from the Central was high among non-users of  
251 alcohol and other substances. While both users and regular-heavy users from the North had lower levels  
252 of psychosocial competence. This finding may mean that use and non-use of alcohol and substances in  
253 the two regions are influenced by same factors differently. One explanation for this finding may be that  
254 resilience may be content and context specific, i.e. a young person may be able overcome one type of  
255 risk but unable to overcome other type of risks. Researchers have found that different assets may be  
256 associated with different risk and outcome pairings, as in our study, this makes it difficult to identify  
257 universal protective or risk factors [34].

258 Holding the region constant, in multiple logistic regression, factors found to be significantly associated  
259 with non use of alcohol are self esteem, use of cannabis and kuber, age and having symptoms of  
260 depressive illness emerged as significantly associated with use of alcohol. Young people with high levels  
261 of self-esteem were more likely to be non-users of alcohol. And among the users, those with high levels  
262 of self-esteem were less likely to have discontinued use. Self esteem is about how we rate or appraise  
263 ourselves and this attribute is closely related to self-confidence, a measure of one's beliefs about one's  
264 own judgment, skills and abilities. The two concepts sometimes are used interchangeably. This finding  
265 may seem contradictory but it is not far from what is in the literature. Previous studies have not provided  
266 conclusive evidence about the relationship between self esteem and alcohol use or non use[21, 35]. For  
267 instance, despite theory positing a negative relation between self-esteem and alcohol use, empirical  
268 findings have indicated that in certain situations, delinquent activities (e.g., alcohol use) can enhance self-  
269 esteem[36, 37]. Some of the explanations for this finding may be that rapid developmental change occurs  
270 during adolescence and thus a lack of stability in either alcohol use or self-esteem could influence the  
271 statistical reliability of their relations with one another[35]. Further, the operative mechanisms that link  
272 self-esteem and alcohol are likely to be complicated, and thus not necessarily straightforward, to  
273 delineate adequately[21, 35].

274 In this study, the finding that non users of cannabis and Kuber were also likely to be non users of alcohol  
275 was not surprising as previous studies have indicated that most 13 and 15 year olds in Scotland in 2002  
276 were not regular users of any substance (66%)[38]. The age group of 17-20 was less likely to be non-  
277 users but more likely to be have discontinued, experimented, and was regular non-heavy and regular and  
278 heavy use of alcohol and illicit drug use.

## 279 **4.2 Use of Alcohol and depression**

280 Those who had Depressive symptoms as measured by Becks depression Inventory BDI-II were also likely  
281 to be users. According to National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism study, nearly one-third of  
282 people with major depression also have an alcohol problem, [39-41]. Previous research shows that  
283 children who are depressed are more prone to develop alcohol problems once they reach adolescence  
284 [42].Adolescents who've had an episode of major depression are twice as likely as those who aren't  
285 depressed to start drinking alcohol[42].In studies with adult population, it has been shown that alcohol  
286 abuse increases the risk for depression[39]. This connection may be because of the direct neurotoxic  
287 effects of heavy alcohol exposure to the brain[43]. Alcohol related problems and depression might share  
288 common trigger factors. Twin Studies have shown that the same factors that contribute to heavy drinking  
289 in families also contribute to the risk for major depression [44-47]. Genetic studies have found a variant of  
290 the gene CHRM2 [48] that is thought to be involved in several important brain functions like memory and  
291 attention [53]. Variations in this gene might put people at risk for alcohol related problems and depression  
292 [53].

## 293 **4.3 Use of alcohol and Coping:**

294 Those with high PSC levels of coping with stress and emotions were about twice more likely to have  
295 discontinued or be experimental users respectively. Studies in animals have indicated that stress  
296 increases alcohol consumption and that individual animals may differ in the amount of alcohol they  
297 consume in response to stress [49]. Prolonged stress in infancy may permanently alter the hormonal  
298 stress response and subsequent reactions to new stressors, including alcohol consumption [43, 50, 51].  
299 Sigvardsson and others reported an association between certain types of alcoholism and adverse early  
300 childhood experiences [52]. Part of our sample was drawn from the northern region that has experienced  
301 war for time period that may correspond with time of birth of most of the respondents. The central region  
302 has not be free of violence either.

## 303 **4.4 Limitations of the study**

304 Although we made all effort to counter some of the potential limitations of this study, there are some  
305 aspects of the research that may limit the interpretation of our findings. One is the reliance on self-report  
306 questionnaire and data. Responses to sensitive questions about undesirable or illegal behavior may be  
307 biased and subjective. However, having prior knowledge that response validity of substances use is  
308 highly dependent on the construction of the question, procedures for administration, investigators'  
309 perceived intentions and respondents' cognitive fitness helped us in preparing beforehand. The  
310 administration of the questionnaires in school classes, assuring anonymity, making clear our intentions  
311 and asking a validating question as was done in this study, might have helped to generate reliable and

312 valid data[23, 24]. A limitation of conducting a school survey is that adolescents may be absent from  
313 school as a result of alcohol and substance use and the same adolescents may also possibly have low  
314 levels of psychosocial competence and poor coping mechanisms. This bias could have probably resulted  
315 in an underestimation of the strength of the association between alcohol use and psychosocial  
316 competence. However, the timing of the study- at the beginning of term, when academic stress may be  
317 less may have minimized this bias. The study age group of 12 to 24 is a period of rapid developmental  
318 change. We used regression models that may reflect static views of development. In our analysis, the  
319 ages were grouped into 12-16, 17-20 and 21-24 to correspond with early adolescence, mid adolescence  
320 and late adolescence respectively. Despite this age grouping, our results may not represent the best  
321 approach to capturing possible dynamic relations between psychosocial competence and alcohol use. In  
322 light of the possible dynamics underlying psychosocial competence and alcohol use in young people, it is  
323 important that models be developed that can account for change reliably as part of the developmental  
324 mechanisms linking psychosocial competence with alcohol use [35]. Finally, because of the cross-  
325 sectional design of this study we cannot therefore make inferences on causal relations.

## 326 **5.0 Conclusions**

327 In this study, about three quarters of young people had ever used substances only once and slightly over  
328 a third use it regularly. Of the substances evaluated, alcohol is the commonest, followed by Kuber while  
329 cigarettes are the least used. Factors found to be significantly associated with non-use of alcohol are high  
330 levels of self-confidence, non-use of cannabis and kuber, age group of 17-20 years and having symptoms  
331 of depressive illness. In the alcohol user groups, a high level of coping was associated with discontinued  
332 and experimental use.

333 Young people who have difficulties adjusting to emotional and life difficulties try to escape from their  
334 problems by using alcohol or illicit drugs [53]. With time, the amount of life difficulties they have to cope  
335 with exceeds their ability to respond resulting in the inability to achieve desired goals [54]. This overload  
336 is experienced at school, families and social lives. It is therefore necessary that efforts are directed at  
337 promotion of psychosocial competence e.g. problem solving skills; device strategies to strengthen self-  
338 confidence; strategies to cope with stress, anxiety and depression. Further, setting up school mental  
339 health program to promote mental health, identify and treat mental health problems early and lastly,  
340 support to families of vulnerable young persons including the identification of family members with mental  
341 health problems[55, 56]

## 342 **8.0 Consent**

343 Assent and consent was sought from all study participants at the time of recruitment. Participants below  
344 the age of 18 years took detailed consent forms in English and local language to their parents or

345 guardians. The signed forms were brought back to the research assistants on the day of administration of  
 346 the questionnaire. All those who declined to participate in the study were treated with respect and without  
 347 prejudice. What to expect as a participant was made clear to all respondents. Confidentiality of  
 348 information supplied by research participants and the anonymity of respondents were given utmost  
 349 respect. All authors hereby declare that all researches have been examined and approved by the  
 350 appropriate ethics committee and have therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical  
 351 standards laid down in the 1964 declaration of helsinki [26].

## 352 2. Ethical Approvals

353 Ethical clearances were obtained from the Research and Ethics Committees of Makerere University  
 354 Medical School (Uganda) and Uganda National Council for Science and Technology Committee on study  
 355 of Human Subjects. Administrative clearance was obtained from Ministry of Education and Sports as well  
 356 as relevant District Education Officers. The head teachers of the sampled secondary schools allowed the  
 357 study in their schools.

## 358 REFERENCES

- 359
- 360 1. Murray, C.J.L., et al., *GBD 2010: a multi-investigator collaboration for global comparative*  
 361 *descriptive epidemiology*. The Lancet, 2012. **380**(9859): p. 2055-2058.
  - 362 2. Organisation, W.H., *World Health Organisation Status Report on Substance abuse*, 2004.
  - 363 3. Regina, K., *Alcohol abuse among secondary schools*, in *Alcohol epidemiology and policy meeting*  
 364 *in Africa* 2010, Uganda Youth developemtn link: Speke Resort Munyonyo, Kampala, Uganda.
  - 365 4. Kasirye, R., *Preventing drug and substance abuse; a study of basic practices in Peer-Peer*  
 366 *Prevention Programmes (PPPP) in the Eastern region* 2002.
  - 367 5. Michael , D.a.C., K Scott, *Managing Addiction as a Chronic Condition*. Addict Sci Clin Pract. ,  
 368 2007. **4**(1): p. 45-55.
  - 369 6. Heath, C.A., T.M. Lynskey, and M. Waldron, *Substance use and substance use disorder*. fifth ed.  
 370 Rutter's Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 2008, Oxford: Balckwell Publishing.
  - 371 7. Riggs, P.D., *Treating Adolescents for Substance Abuse and Comorbid Psychiatric Disorders*. Sci  
 372 Pract Perspect. 2003 2003. **2**(1): p. 18-29.
  - 373 8. Gold, D.R., et al., *Effects of Cigarette Smoking on Lung Function in Adolescent Boys and Girls*.  
 374 New England Journal of Medicine, 1996. **335**(13): p. 931-937.
  - 375 9. Chen, K. and D.B. Kandel, *The natural history of drug use from adolescence to the mid-thirties in*  
 376 *a general population sample*. Am J Public Health. 1995., 1995. **85**(1): p. 41-47.
  - 377 10. David, J.D., et al., *Age at First Alcohol Use: A Risk Factor for the Development of Alcohol*  
 378 *Disorders*. Am J Psychiatry 2000. **157**: p. 745-750.



- 379 11. Donovan, J.E., *Adolescent alcohol initiation: A review of psychosocial risk factors*. The Journal of  
380 adolescent health : official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine, 2004. **35**(6): p.  
381 529.e7-529.e18.
- 382 12. Kigozi, F., et al., *An overview of Uganda's mental health care system: results from an*  
383 *assessment using the world health organization's assessment instrument for mental health*  
384 *systems (WHO-AIMS)*. International Journal of Mental Health Systems, 2010. **4**(1): p. 1.
- 385 13. Hendren R, W.B.O.J., *Mental health school programme*. , 1994, World Health Organisation:  
386 Geneva.
- 387 14. WHO, *Young people's health – a challenge for society Report of a Study Group on Young People*  
388 *and Health for All by the Year 2000*, in *Technical Report*, World Health Roganisation: Geneva.
- 389 15. Health Officers Council of British Columbia, *A Public Health Approach to Drug Control in Canada*  
390 2005, .
- 391 16. Kenneth, W.G., J.B. Gilbert, Tracy, R. Nichols, and M.D. Margaret, *Effectiveness of a Universal*  
392 *Drug Abuse Prevention Approach for Youth at High Risk for Substance Use Initiation*. Preventive  
393 Medicine 2003. **36**: p. 1-7.
- 394 17. American, Psychiatric, and Association, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*.  
395 4th ed2000, Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- 396 18. Luthar, S.S. and E. Zigler, *VULNERABILITY AND COMPETENCE: A Review of Research on*  
397 *Resilience in Childhood*. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1991. **61**(1): p. 6-22.
- 398 19. Gilvarry, E., *Substance Abuse in Young People*. J. Child Psychol. Psychiat. , 2000. **41**: p. 55-80.
- 399 20. Botvin, G.J. and K.W. Griffin, *Life Skills Training: Empirical Findings and Future Directions*. The  
400 Journal of Primary Prevention, 2004. **25**(2).
- 401 21. Botvin, G.J., Griffin, K.W., Diaz, T., Scheier, L.M.,Williams, C., & Epstein, J. A. , *Preventing illicit*  
402 *drug use in adolescents: Long-term follow-up data from a randomized control trial of a school*  
403 *population*. Addictive Behaviors, 2000. **5**: p. 769-774.
- 404 22. Boyatzis, R.E., D. Goleman, and K. Rhee, *Clustering competence in emotional intelligence:*  
405 *Insights from the Emotional Competence Inventory (ECI)*, in *Handbook of Emotional Intelligence*,  
406 R. Bar-On and J.D. Parker, Editors. 1999, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- 407 23. Feunekes, G.I., et al., *Alcohol intake assessment: the sober facts*. Am J Epidemiol, 1999. **150**(1):  
408 p. 105-12.
- 409 24. Rehm, J., *Re: "Alcohol intake assessment: the sober facts"*. Am J Epidemiol, 2000. **151**(4): p.  
410 436-8.
- 411 25. Currie, C., S. Nic Gabhainn, and E. Godeau, *The Health Behaviour in School-aged Children:*  
412 *WHO Collaborative Cross-National (HBSC) study: origins, concept, history and development*  
413 *1982-2008*. Int J Public Health, 2009. **54 Suppl 2**: p. 131-9.
- 414 26. World Medical Association, *Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research*  
415 *involving human subjects*. Journal of Postgraduate Medicine, 2002. **48**: p. 206-208.

- 416 27. MONSHOUWER, K., et al., *Cannabis use and mental health in secondary school children: Findings from a Dutch survey*. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 2006. **188**(2): p. 148-153.
- 417
- 418 28. Roberts, B., et al., *Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder and depression amongst internally displaced persons in northern Uganda*. BMC Psychiatry, 2008. **8**: p. 38.
- 419
- 420 29. Oshodi, O.Y., O.F. Aina, and A.T. Onajole, *Substance use among secondary school students in an urban setting in Nigeria: prevalence and associated factors*. African Journal of Psychiatry, 2010. **13**: p. 52-57.
- 421
- 422
- 423 30. Masita, G.M., *Substance use among township secondary school students – an emergent phenomenon*. Africa Education Review 2007;4(1):70-88., 2007. **4**(1): p. 70-88.
- 424
- 425 31. Taylor, M., C.C. Jinabhai, and K. Naidoo, et al. , *An epidemiological perspective of substance use among high school pupils in rural KwaZulu-Natal*. Afr Med J, 2003. **93**: p. 136-140.
- 426
- 427 32. Tumushabe, A., et al., *Students take to Kuber 'drug' use - in daily monitor 2010*, The Monitor Publications: Kampala.
- 428
- 429 33. THEVOICEBW. *What is Kuber? The VOICE*. 2011 [cited 2013 18th May]; Available from: <http://www.thevoicebw.com/2011/12/16/what-is-kuber/>.
- 430
- 431 34. Fergus, S. and M.A. Zimmerman, *ADOLESCENT RESILIENCE: A Framework for Understanding Healthy Development in the Face of Risk*. Annual Review of Public Health, 2005. **26**(1): p. 399-419.
- 432
- 433
- 434 35. Scheier, L.M., et al., *Dynamic Growth Models of Self-Esteem and Adolescent Alcohol Use*. The Journal of Early Adolescence, 2000. **20**(2): p. 178-209.
- 435
- 436 36. Jang, S.J. and T.P. Thornberry, *Self-esteem, delinquent peers, and delinquency: A test of the self-enhancement thesis*. American Sociological Review, 1998. **63**: p. 586-598.
- 437
- 438 37. Vega, W.A., et al., *A replication and elaboration of the esteem-enhancement model*. Psychiatry, 1996. **59**: p. 128-144.
- 439
- 440 38. al., C.e., *Scottish Schools Adolescent Lifestyle and Substance Use Survey (SALSUS) National Report: Smoking, drinking and Drug Use among 13 and 15 year olds in Scotland in 2002*. , 2003.
- 441
- 442
- 443 39. Haynes, J.C., et al., *Alcohol consumption as a risk factor for anxiety and depression: Results from the longitudinal follow-up of the National Psychiatric Morbidity Survey*. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 2005. **187**(6): p. 544-551.
- 444
- 445
- 446 40. BOYS, A., et al., *Psychiatric morbidity and substance use in young people aged 13–15 years: results from the Child and Adolescent Survey of Mental Health*. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 2003. **182**(6): p. 509-517.
- 447
- 448
- 449 41. Kandel, D.B., et al., *Psychiatric Comorbidity Among Adolescents With Substance Use Disorders: Findings From the MECA Study*. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 1999. **38**(6): p. 693-699.
- 450
- 451

- 452 42. Swanson, J.W., et al., *A Binational School Survey of Depressive Symptoms, Drug Use, and*  
453 *Suicidal Ideation*. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 1992.  
454 **31**(4): p. 669-678.
- 455 43. Jacobus, J. and S.F. Tapert, *Neurotoxic Effects of Alcohol in Adolescence*. Annual Review of  
456 Clinical Psychology, 2013. **9**(1): p. 703-721.
- 457 44. Sher, K.J., E.R. Grekin, and N.A. Williams, *The Development of Alcohol Use Disorders*. Annual  
458 Review of Clinical Psychology, 2005. **1**(1): p. 493-523.
- 459 45. Southwick, S.M., M. Vythilingam, and D.S. Charney, *The Psychobiology of Depression and*  
460 *Resilience to Stress: Implications for Prevention and Treatment\**. Annual Review of Clinical  
461 Psychology, 2005. **1**(1): p. 255-291.
- 462 46. Dixit, A. R. and R.M. Crum, *Prospective Study of Depression and the Risk of Heavy Alcohol Use*  
463 *in Women*. Am J Psychiatry 2000. **157**: p. 751-758.
- 464 47. Kendler Ks, H.A.C.N.M.C.K.R.C.E.L.J., *Alcoholism and major depression in women: A twin study*  
465 *of the causes of comorbidity*. Archives of General Psychiatry, 1993. **50**(9): p. 690-698.
- 466 48. Danielle, M.D. and A. Agrawal. *The Genetics of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence*. 2010  
467 [cited 20013].
- 468 49. Sadava, S.W. and A.W. Pak, *Stress-related problem drinking and alcohol problems: A*  
469 *longitudinal study and extension of Marlatt's model*. Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science  
470 1993. **25**(3): p. 446-464.
- 471 50. James, G.D. and D.E. Brown, *THE BIOLOGICAL STRESS RESPONSE AND LIFESTYLE:*  
472 *Catecholamines and Blood Pressure*. Annual Review of Anthropology, 1997. **26**(1): p. 313-335.
- 473 51. Charmandari, E., C. Tsigos, and G. Chrousos, *ENDOCRINOLOGY OF THE STRESS*  
474 *RESPONSE1*. Annual Review of Physiology, 2005. **67**(1): p. 259-284.
- 475 52. Sigvardsson, S., M. Bohman, and C.R. Cloninger, *Neurogenetic adaptive mechanisms in*  
476 *alcoholism*. Science, 1987. **236**: p. 410-416.
- 477 53. Newcomb, M.D.C., Chih-ping; Bentler, P. M.; Huba, G. J., *Cognitive motivations for drug use*  
478 *among adolescents: Longitudinal tests of gender differences and predictors of change in drug*  
479 *use*. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1988. **35**(4): p. 426-438.
- 480 54. Clara M. Bradizza, A.R., Grace M. Barnes *Social and Coping Reasons for Drinking: Predicting*  
481 *Alcohol Misuse in Adolescents*. JSA, 1999. **60**: p. 491.
- 482 55. Burke, L., *The impact of maternal depression on familial relationships*. Int Rev Psychiatry, **15**(3):  
483 p. 243-55, 2003
- 484 56. Tunnard, J., *Parental mental health problems: Messages from research, policy and practice*  
485 *(Research in Research in practice2004: London*.

486  
487  
488

489 **ABBREVIATIONS**

490 List of abbreviations used: PSC-Psychosocial competence; WHO-World Health Organization

491

492