



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_25387</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Alcohol, Substance Use and Psychosocial Competence of Adolescents in Selected Secondary Schools in Uganda: A Cross Sectional Survey</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Description of study group, deeper discussion is necessary.</p> <p>Topic about depression (added in discussion was putted without tools (in discussion) –the question is: this is for authors' consideration – if this part is connected with the rest of their article you should put Beck questionnaire into tools and show some results. If not this is not connected with whole article. The table 4 was missed (or mistake in numeration). Some technical improvements in references are necessary.</p>	<p>Thank you, reviewer for this observation that was first stated in line 94. In line 149-153, the reviewer states 'this is the description of the study group', as if to say that he has now found it. We wonder whether he wants us to state this as well in line 94 or he is contented with where it is.</p> <p>The question regarding secondary school age as 12-24 years: there is no age limit to attending secondary schools in Uganda. However, the majority are in the age range of 12-18 years and a few in the range of 19-24 years. In our study, we had a 43 year old we regarded as an outlier and were not included in the analysis.</p> <p>We agree that the discussion of depression is out of context. We have decided to delete it</p> <p>This was a mistake in numbering, we have corrected it and now we have four tables.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Punctuation mistakes,</p> <p>And some minors comments I put into the text.</p>	<p>The whole manuscript has been edited for grammar, spellings and punctuations.</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Interesting article, valuable but needs some improvements. Especially in study group description, procedures and discussion.	Thank you for reviewing our manuscript. We have made the revisions as suggested. These sections mentioned have been improved line 127-148
---	--	--