



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_45337
Title of the Manuscript:	Sickle cell disease and severity of malaria
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Various grammatical errors in the manuscript 12: they were Line 24: change to relationship 26: recessive 27: inheritance 40-42: rephrase this The hypotheses proposed to explain the relationship between SCD and malaria are not clear. This section needs to be redone. Line 67: This question is not clear Lines 97-98 not clear Line 96: 974 patients Line 101: About 80%. Please don't start a sentence with figures The section on statistical analysis should be rewritten to make the message clearer Lines 132,135: replace the word eliminated 135-137: Poorly written 138-148: this section may be better used in the introduction The discussion needs to be expanded. Kindly cite studies with differing conclusions	Line 12: OK Line 24: OK Line 26: OK Line 27: OK Line 40-42: I rephrase this sentence Hypothesis: OK Line 67: OK I rephrase the question Lines 97-98: OK Line 96: OK Line 101: OK Statistical analysis: OK Lines 132-135: Lines 135-137: OK Lines 138-148: OK Discussion: OK
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	