



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_45305
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern of Sexually Transmitted Infections: A Retrospective Profile from a Sexually Transmitted Infections Clinic of a Secondary Care Hospital in Lagos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comments:	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good research work. Results: Authors are advice to review table1, statistically it is wrong vital information was suppressed; age boundary should be grouped 20-30, 31- 40 e.t.c. Also, Table 3 need review. Age boundaries seriously affect the significant of the research outcome. All tables should follow results in order of significant. Authors should considered manuscript for details.	Thank you. Table 1 is now reviewed and the age boundary has been grouped to 10-20, 21-30, 31-40 e.t.c. Table 3 has been reviewed and the wrong figures are corrected. The suppressed information on the age is now brought to light and is discussed All tables now follow the result All the corrections are in highlighted in the body of the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Yellow tinted texts should be considered for corrections and red printed texts are suggested corrections.	All the corrections have been made(both the ones considered for correction and the ones for suggested corrections)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	