



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_45282
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Prevalence and Associated Factors of Under Nutrition among School Adolescents in Hawzen Woreda, Eastern Tigray, Northern Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This study needs a thorough revision of the english language because there are many grammatical, building sentences and tiping errors. Here are some examples: Line 16: inadequacies Line 21: adolescent boys and girls Line 24: questionnaires Line 25: Entry and analysis of data were done Lines 29-30: I imagine that 34,3% of the study population had a normal weight Line 34: Adolescents who did not eat meat.... Lines 37-39: The results of this study have shown a strong relation of both underweight and stunting with father's and mother's educational status and family income and size. Table 1: there are data errors (urban place of residence 153, not 253) It is important explain which is the value of birr in dollars or euro, to have a clear idea of families income	Dear reviewer we really thank you for taking your time to revise our manuscript Comments are revised & edited Dear reviewer adolescents with normal weight are 67.6% Thank you. It is corrected as per your comment Thank you! it is edited Value of birr is compared with \$
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This study is interesting and adds new data and experience for a better comprehension of the undernutrition problem in different country in the world	Thank you



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	We already wrote the ethical consideration part within the manuscript