Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_44893**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Malaria infection, Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of persons patronizing drugstores for malaria treatment in Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The** results section of the abstract must be rewritten to provide data that directly address the objectives and support the conclusion. Words like 'significance' should be replaced by 'significant'. Phrases like 'The occurrence of malaria in the study area was significantly different (P = 0.001)' are unclear and give no value to the paper. **A written informed consent** must be obtained from the study participants! **Line 76; site a reference for** estimated prevalence. **Line 104; the centre of the Nigerian economy…** 106; belt…… 109; The study is cross-sectional descriptive and not randomized as advised by the authors and must reflect thus. 153; should read study sites….. 157; significant….. 158; Write 25 in words Please stick to the word 'significant'. *P* value should be written as shown. Please refer to journal's instructions to authors. Line 218; should read 'The present study shows that out of 663 persons that patronized drugstores for malaria treatment in Port Harcourt and its environs, Lines 230-234; repetition of results abound. Please rephrase 236; Should read ..In their study in Zambia [19], Mulenga and kwamibe observed the fact that parents preferred taking their younger ones to the formal health sector. 237;…..with an earlier study…. Repetition of results should be avoided in the discussion section. Please correct. 252; Whose study? Discussion must include statements on the relevance of your findings, strengths and limitations of your study and conclusion. References are poorly written. Please refer to journal's instructions to authors.	The data in the abstract to make the statement clearer has been provided. Other observations have been corrected and highlighted in the paper.
Minor REVISION comments	These statements should be corrected to read thus…..Lines 5-7; The study aimed to assess the prevalence, Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) of malaria of persons patronizing drugstores for malaria treatment in Port Harcourt and its environs in Rivers State, Nigeria. 9-11; Also, a semi structured questionnaire was administered to obtain socio-demographic characteristics and the participants' Knowledge, Attitude and practice (KAP) of malaria. 43; Nigerian knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) studies relating to malaria have been Conducted mainly in rural communities. 65-68; should be written in past tense.	

Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Informed written consent not obtained from participants.	Informed written consent was obtained from participants.