



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJTDH_44496
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants And Pattern Of Anaemia In Pregnancy At Booking In Federal Medical Centre Owerri, South-East, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The author says that microcytic cell is smaller than the nucleus of small lymphocyte. The cited literature about the definition must be indicated. 2) What is the primary, the secondary or tertiary about the level of education? The readers can't make the images. 3) The author should concretely explain Table 3. 4) The results of Fig.1 and Fig.2 exist in Table 2. Therefore, Fig 1 and Fig 2 should be got off from the paper. 5) The results are made the comparison with those of other papers. What is the originality of this paper? What is the real object of this study? Both Introduction and Discussion must be got down to brass tacks. 	<p>The literature has been cited.</p> <p>The primary, the secondary or tertiary refer to the highest level of school attended by the woman. it is an indirect way of knowing her social status. It has been updated in the body of the work</p> <p>The original Table 3 has been removed. this is because the information there was a repetition of the old table 5. it has been replaced by a new Table 3.</p> <p>Old table 2 has been deleted. Figures 1 and 2 now explain old table 2. Conclusion has been addressed.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Conclusion must be put in the last part. 2) There are some typing errors. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) This manuscript is too long containing needless lines. Shortened manuscript is better as the revised one. Such revised manuscript shall be accepted. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	