



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_44257
Title of the Manuscript:	The behavior of Jhum cultivators living in Chattogram Hill Tracts districts and its relation with malaria in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The method used for malaria diagnosis is not stated in the methodology. There should be a clear reproducible method used for malaria diagnosis such as microscopy or RDT. It is important to be sure that subjects have malaria or not, since it is the basis for comparison with other factors in the research.	We agreed with reviewer comments. In fact we did not diagnosis any malaria patient by RDT or microscopy, just taken participant's response whether they felt malaria in last one year of survey period. We have clarified methodology as per other reviewer's comment. We have changed the writing style in following sentence in Method section P05.19-21 (Line 19-21 in page 05) in revised manuscript (<i>italic format</i>). <i>At first, a Jhum cultivator was selected from household for required answer. If a household had not Jhum cultivator then other occupation such as forest goer, cultivator or daily labourer had been chosen. If any participant agreed to have malaria disease in last one year then it was crosschecked with Rapid Diagnostic Testing (RDT) or laboratory Register</i>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The word "behaviour" as used in the title is ambiguous in relation to the content of the manuscript.	In fact we want to cover the behavior of <i>Jhum</i> cultivators related to malaria, knowledge and practice of malaria treatment and what preventive measure they usually taken during <i>Jhum</i> cultivation. We also notify the reason behind for not using LLIN at appropriate level. We just mentioned few findings related to malaria infection and behavior of <i>Jhum</i> cultivators in using LLIN at <i>Jhum</i> sites.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript follows scientific procedures, however the authors should look into the above comments.	We would like to thank reviewer for comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) If blood samples were collected from participants (not stated in the methodology), then there may be ethical issues, since ethical approval was not obtained for the research.	