



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_41314
Title of the Manuscript:	AN UNUSUAL CAUSE FOR BOWEL PERFORATION- LEPTOSPIROSIS
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In the discussion about large bowel perforation other causes of large bowel perforation including diverticulitis, diastatic perforation secondary to obstruction / volvulus should be mentioned briefly and how they were ruled out 2. In the conclusion you have mentioned "multi-organ dysfunction leading to sepsis", please correct this as sepsis leads to multi organ dysfunction not vice versa. The spectrum of sepsis to severe sepsis to septic shock to MODS is a hierarchy.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. In line 59 you can add "an erect plain X-ray abdomen" instead of just X-ray abdomen 2. Large bowel perforation in general have a high mortality rate. Mention about this	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siddharth Pandey
Department, University & Country	Department of Urology, king George's Medical University, India