



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ms_IJTDH_40679
Manuscript Number:	MATERNAL HEALTH SEEKING BEHAVIOURAL FACTORS ANTITHETICAL TO THE USE OF HEALTH INSTITUTIONAL FACILITIES FOR CHILDBIRTH AND TO GOOD ANTENATAL OUTCOME
Title of the Manuscript:	Original Research Article
Type of Article:	Ms_IJTDH_40679

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Abstract result: Line 18; thee mean, remove 1 'e'. The first 2 sentences are 2 short. I suggest you connect them as 'The hospital delivery rate was 28.9% while the mean antenatal visits was 6.05 (S.D. 3.36). Line 20: the youngest age group are more unlikely... NOT the youngest the group Line 23; you cannot finish the sentence with 'is'. Say 'is significant', then remove the colon and leave the brackets Line 26: remove comma and replace has with 'have'. It becomes 'The participants with the least ANC sessions have the lowest odd of hospital delivery' (OR=0.19 not 0,19..) Line 28; add 'of' before hospital delivery Line 42: The study concluded that... NOT revealed Line 29 to 40: It is very unnecessary under abstract. You either remove them or pick the key results from there (not more than 2 lines) and merge them with the main abstract result. You cannot have an abstract of more than 500 words. You should be targeting around 300 words. Line 159: you have to remove the ethical section under methodology since you mentioned the same thing after conclusion Line 317; sorely not sssoly. Alternatively, you can just say 'no external funding was received for this study. This paper is getting worst to some extent. For instance, the last time I made correction, abstract was around 300 words, but now is above 500 words with unnecessary sub-headings. Probably the author is getting confused with different reviewers comment, therefore I strongly suggest you to select 1 comment that is more comprehensive and send to the author. I can only evaluate this paper next time if it resolve my comments alone. Otherwise send it to the other peer reviewer, because I cannot spent another time on this paper commenting on same spelling/grammatical errors and conflicting comments..	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Chutiyami
Department, University & Country	Shehu Sule College of Nursing and Midwifery Damaturu, Nigeria