SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_39039**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The influence of antiepileptic drugs alteration as adjunctive therapy of gingival enlargement among epileptic patients in Aseer region , Saudi Arabia**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Dental treatment of patients with special needs is a topic in dentistry today. Patients with epilepsy fall into this category. Thus the oral disease prevention protocols greatly improve the quality of life of these patients. Moreover, this study is very original because, to my knowledge, it is the first one done in an Arab country. Health in Arab countries is very oriented in the care of patients with special needs.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This paper is new, original and is of interest in the field of dentistry. There are any apparent errors of facts or logic. The title is adequately informative, grammar and style are clear and concise. The length manuscript is adequate and the paper is well organized and written. Key words are appropriate. Non plagiarism has been found. The problem investigated is well defined and the purpose of the work clearly stated. The methods are appropriate, precise and sufficient details of the methods are included to replicate the work. The sample is of adequate size and appropriate materials are selected. The materials are fully described. The results are presented in an appropriate manner and the statistical evaluation is adequate. The method and results are correctly discussed and the conclusions are clear, justified, well balanced and adequately supported by data. The references are cited correctly, listed in the required style and relevant literature is quoted. The use of tables and illustrations and relative captions are appropriate. Overall scientific priority is high. So, this paper can be considered for publication on this journal.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Dorina Lauritano***
Department, University & Country	***Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milan-Bicocca, Italy***