



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_39642
Title of the Manuscript:	Plasmodium falciparum malaria Clinical and parasitological outcomes after in-vivo Artmether-Lumefantrine (AL) treatment at Bushenyi District Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Please try not to repeat information that is in the tables, in the text. Whether you write the data on the text or in the tables. Example: Table 1, Table 2, Table 3 2. Paragraph 2,5 . In- vivo drug efficacy testing. “the adult participants or and guardians of children after meeting the inclusion / exclusion criteria. 5ml blood sample was collected and a case report form completed. The <i>in-vivo</i> ACTs assessment was... Please, when you start a sentence, do not start with a number. In this case : Five ml of blood sample were collected and...”	1. Please try not to repeat information that is in the tables, in the text. Whether you write the data on the text or in the tables. Example: Table 1, Table 2, Table 3 RESPONSES -----The information in the text tries to explain the content in the tables. So i suggest that they remain so 2. Please, when you start a sentence, do not start with a number. In this case : Five ml of blood sample were collected and...” RESPONSES ----corrections done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	An interesting paper. It may be published after the previous comments have been properly addressed.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		