



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_39642
Title of the Manuscript:	Plasmodium falciparum malaria Clinical and parasitological outcomes after in-vivo Arthemether-Lumefantrine (AL) treatment at Bushenyi District Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments :	1. In Parasitological Assessment: 10% Giemsa stain is usually stained for 5-10 mins 2. Five millilitres not 5ml, do not start a statement with figure. 3. Administration of ACTs: Were the Participants asked to stay in the clinic to take the second dose or how was the second dose administrated as DOT? 4. Determination of Treatment outcomes: Were the Participants not monitored on Day 2?	1. In Parasitological Assessment: 10% Giemsa stain is usually stained for 5-10 mins Responses ➤ The corrections have been done on the entire document ➤ It is true that that the giemsa stain is stained for 5- 10 minutes in 2. Five millilitres not 5ml, do not start a statement with figure. Responses ➤ The corrections have been done on the entire document 3. Administration of ACTs: Were the Participants asked to stay in the clinic to take the second dose or how was the second dose administrated as DOT? Responses ➤ The corrections have been done on the entire document ➤ The participants were not allowed to stay in the clinic. ➤ The first dose was given at the health facilities while the second and third doses were given at the places of residence of the participants. 4. Determination of Treatment outcomes: Were the Participants not monitored on Day 2? Responses ➤ On day 1 and day 2 the participants were given first dose and second dose of the ant malaria drug by following the direct observation treatment (DOT) procedures. ➤ Consequently clinical examinations such as physical examination, body weight, and body temperature and drug adverse effects were conducted by a qualified clinician in all follow up days.
Minor REVISION comments	1. ACTs not ACTS please correct this 2. 1 ml not 1ML 3. Put all the <i>In vivo</i> in italics	1. ACTs not ACTS please correct this Responses ➤ The corrections have been done on the entire document 2. 1 ml not 1ML



SDI Review Form 1.6

		Responses ➤ The corrections have been done on the entire document 3. Put all the <i>In vivo</i> in italics Responses ➤ The corrections have been done on the entire document
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a very good clinical study.	