



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_44070
Title of the Manuscript:	Otomycosis among patients presenting with ear discharges at a tertiary hospital in south western Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	19- not hear loss, but hearing loss 36- It is not experimental details but methodology (or materials and methods) 45- spelling is mastoidectomy What was the treatment given for ear discharge? Was there a gap between antibiotic prescription and ear swab?	19. addressed 36. Addressed 45. all spelling errors for mastoidectomy addressed About the treatment- I am afraid we did not capture specific details of treatment such as the drugs used and gaps in treatment and sample collection. We were interested in origin of treatment. Antibacterial, antifungal, or both and use of other treatment remedies.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	