



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_44070
Title of the Manuscript:	Otomycosis among patients presenting with ear discharges at a tertiary hospital in south western Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract 1. I suggest fungal <u>infection</u> should replace fungal <u>aetiology</u> was detected in 24 (20.51) Conclusion. 2. There is need to be concise in the summary Overall. 3. Correction of grammatical errors Reference 4. Format the reference list properly.	1. We have addressed this part in the abstract 2. We have tried to revise the summary but not sure if we are concise enough 3. We also tried to address some of the detectable grammatical errors. Those we have missed may be that we couldn't detect them unless pointed out 4. We are using endnote as a reference manager, we felt that this reference software is of repute and does a pretty good job. Therefore, we have failed to address this section of the comments and may need to know exactly what "formatting the reference list properly" means here.
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	