



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_43448
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevention of Malaria in pregnancy: Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine intermittent preventive treatment, resistance, and update on potential preventive strategy
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Two major revision comments are suggested: 1. The title should be modified to read: ' A review of malaria prevention in pregnancy: Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine intermittent preventive treatment, resistance, and update on potential preventive strategy ' because the paper is a review and to eliminate the seemingly 2. The last statement on page 4, second paragraph of 7.1 should be revisited because the on-going trials are not enough to make such closing remark at the end of the paragraph. The question is, Is it not too quick to begin to endorse the DP strategy as a policy framework? I think these trials are a surer way that more positive efforts are being made so we are yet to be there.	We have modified the title according to the suggestion. We have edited the last statement of the Section 7.1 as follow: "The outcome of the larger evaluation trails might be beneficial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of DP to provide as IPTp."
Minor REVISION comments	1. Author(s) should indicate when WHO indicated that IPTp was to be used in pregnancy or review the use of the term 'now'. 2. Author (s) to indicate the second trial and where SP resistance to malaria treatment was conducted. 3. Others are editorials and are indicated in the manuscript	1. We have included the WHO recommendations of IPTp in 2004 and 2013. (Line 112- 117) and have rephrased to avoid the term "now". 2. Kindly let us know if we should include more articles on SP resistance. We do not clearly get the meaning of the comment. Apologies for that. 3. We have addressed all the other comments provided by the reviewers.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is obviously a good one. It focus has deviated from the focus of previous manuscripts.	Thank you very much for the encouraging comments.