



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_43271
Title of the Manuscript:	A cross sectional serologic and epidemiological study of dengue virus infection in north central area of Trinidad and Tobago.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author has to reframe the paper especially introduction and results and discussion. The author has to compare his/ her results with suitable discussion or results from other authors. This presents a vivid view about the results produced and depicts its significance.</p> <p>In serological testing the authors should mention the scheme used in the choice of tests as per the duration of illness or availability of kits.</p> <p>In the current study females are more in numbers and the age group 21 – 30 yrs has the maximum positive cases. The presence / absence of this risk factors may be discussed in this age group.</p> <p>The paper needs to be discussed comprehensively, stepwise as per the variables in comparison to other similar studies.</p>	<p>We are very grateful for the constructive suggestion given by this reviewer.</p> <p>We have followed the advice and have extensively revised all the sections – introduction, results and discussion of the paper. All these have been highlighted.</p> <p>The duration of the illness have been indicated as well availability of the kits</p> <p>This has been revised and is highlighted</p> <p>This has been done and is shown in the highlighted areas</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical mistakes lack of proper punctuations	This has been done
Optional/General comments	<p>The problem addressed in the paper is one of the hot spot topics. It is a very good effort in depicting the sero prevalence of this disease. But paper needs to be polished a lot before publishing.</p> <p>All results need to be discussed comprehensively.</p>	Thank you so much.