



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms IJTDH 42095
Title of the Manuscript:	RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS IN IMAGE OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE: A PROPOSAL FOR REVIEW OF LITERATURE.
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is unusual to have a methodology and results section in a review article? The objective needs to be clarified as offering a new reading is too broad. The objective has to be clear enough to mention that this is a review article not a meta-analysis.	Based on the articles in the area of diagnostic imaging, it is not uncommon to have a methodology and results section in a review article. But if I need to change, I agree with what is suggested. Corrected Goal: The present study aims to present a prudent way of MR evaluation in the diagnosis and follow-up of RA, describing results obtained by several authors through the criteria of the OMERACT / RAMRIS group and suggesting it as a method of diagnosis and follow-up for the disease.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		