



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_41299
Title of the Manuscript:	Preventive actions against Pediculus capitis humanus in children of a community riverside's metropolitan region of Belém-Pará in Eastern Amazon.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	So many old references were mentioned. In this article this much back ground is not required. It is not advisable keeping the other article photos (Others mentioned the source of the information. But, not acceptable)	Old references have been part of this theme for a long time and in this theme are normal to be cited, because they already exist since the year 1000 after Christ. After all it is a very old ectoparasitosis that occurs many years before they are reported. So they are normal for this type of work. As for photos of other articles, since cited sources there are no problems since they enrich the work and brings notoriety, because if serious centers like CDC research such diseases this brings the problem of the research visibility.
Minor REVISION comments	Minor grammatical and format of the references was not according of the journal.	I agree that grammar is not our strong point because our country does not have the English language as an official language. And we apologize for this inconvenience. And as for the references of our work, we have not yet adapted it because we were waiting for the reviewers to deliberate on this article. And in the next stage the review in other articles ours sent to us to adjust only after the acceptance of the scientific magazine and after the opinion of the reviewers in a final stage of editing of the article that precedes the publication. Step this after this from the reviewers. But anyway thank you for the note.
Optional/General comments	Rewrite the back ground without previously published photos and mentioned about the importance in the form of economical importance if possible.	The photos in the article are of extreme importance to our group and that the photos are included in the article, because they do not disturb the dynamics of the article, they help to clarify details about what is being written, and they have their sources duly cited . So there is no problem in publishing them. On the economic importance in the body of text of this work, we think that it is not the focus of the theme of this work so we do not explore it too much during the writing of it. Because it speaks of prevention and according to the guidelines of WHO around the world it is already understood that this will always be a more humane and cheaper alternative to health intervention than the remediation of a pathology already installed in the patient. Whether in rich or poor countries like ours. However thank you for your point of view and I am grateful for the opportunity to be debating this topic.