



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_41204
Title of the Manuscript:	Past and Current Findings in Antimalarial Drug Resistance Molecular Markers in Endemic Areas of Africa
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	A good manuscript to consider for publication. But nevertheless a few points to attend to before final acceptance decision is made. Comments: 1) Line 60 – Author is to clarify the exact meaning of this sentence. Does the author meant “replacement of chloroquine etc with ACT has reduced morbidity..” or “replacement of ACT with chloroquine etc has reduced the morbidity” ? 2) Line 98 – omit the word “that” after the word Kenya coast. 3) Line 227 – should be “trophozoites”. 4) Perhaps a schematic diagram describing the mechanism for resistance by those genes could be added in the manuscript where appropriate, to make it more sound.	The following are the answers to the comments: 1) The author meant the “replacement of chloroquine etc with ACT has reduced morbidity”. This has been corrected. 2) The word “that” have been omitted 3) “Trophozoites” have been corrected. 4) Schematic diagrams have now be added in line 173 and 205. Thanks
Optional/General comments		