



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_40868
Title of the Manuscript:	SUPPRESSIVE EFFECT OF GARCINIA KOLA ON HUMORAL IMMUNE RESPONSE OF mice to HEPATITIS B VIRUS SUBUNIT VACCINE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript titled “Suppressive Effect of Garcinia Kola on Humoral Immune Response of Mice to Hepatitis B Virus Subunit Vaccine”. This is a very good and technically robust work done by the authors. However, the current manuscript will be greatly improved if authors consider revising their work based on the following suggested minor issues: Line 114: The ‘T’ in the word ‘The’ after the period should be changed to a lowercase. Line 118: Consider replacing the word ‘taken’ with ‘measured’. Line 126-127: Replace ‘neubauer counting chamber’ with ‘Neubauer Counting Chamber’ and provide the company name as well as country of origin for the equipment. Line 135-137: Provide the company name and country of origin as well as the unit of measurement for the weighing balance. Line 139: It is not clear why authors summarised data using mean plus standard error of the mean instead of mean and standard deviation. Please clarify. Line 177: Consider replacing ‘percent’ with ‘percentage’ and wherever it may occur in the entire manuscript. Line 209: The term DW should be written in full wherever it occur first in the text before using abbreviations subsequently. Line 210: Please change ‘are’ to ‘were’ Line 217: Change ‘is’ to ‘was’	Done. Done. Done. Done. This is consistent with the Tukey test we carried out. Done. We actually did so previously. Done. Done.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Authors should provide statement on whether ethical clearance for their current study was granted.	Done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		