



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_40868
Title of the Manuscript:	SUPPRESSIVE EFFECT OF GARCINIA KOLA ON HUMORAL IMMUNE RESPONSE OF mice to HEPATITIS B VIRUS SUBUNIT VACCINE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work is quite interesting and scientifically robust but some grey areas needs to be clarified: Abstract: Aim should capture article title. Introduce HBsAg and use it this way subsequently. Results and not Result. Line 25: remove full stop in the sentence. Conclusion statement is not clear and grammatical errors were identified. Consider rewriting. Introduction: The introduction is very scanty. It did not capture the background of the study and not logically presented. Line 44-45 should come at the last statement in the introduction heading. Materials and Methods: Citation needed in Line 71 ending. Consider reframing heading 2.2 to "Test Animal" and 2.3 to "Test Vaccine" respectively. NB: Such study should have passed through an Ethical Review Committee (HREC) which is missing in the manuscript. Please address this issue urgently. Results and Discussion: Consider using a dash (-) rather than Nil in the No. of mortality after 24 and 14 days. NB: Figure 4: What brought about the VA (<i>Vernonia amygdalina</i>) in the graph and study? Did you use VA in this study? Ethical issues: Authors did not state whether ethical clearance was gotten prior Lab assays.	Done. Done. Done. Conclusion rewritten. Introduction is enlarged and revised. Done. Done. Done. Ethical statement is inserted. Done. An error from a different study: corrected. Ethical clearance now stated.
Minor REVISION comments	Title should be written following Journal format. Either Capital letters or otherwise. Relate findings to previous and similar studies during discussion. Conclusion should be based on current findings.	Addressed.
Optional/General comments	The study is quite interesting and seems impactful to the field of Science. References should be done according to Journal guidelines for referencing.	Addressed.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>