SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**Ms_IJTDH_40679**
Manuscript Number:	**MATERNAL HEALTH SEEKING BEHAVIOURAL FACTORS ANTITHETICAL TO THE USE OF HEALTH INSTITUTIONAL FACILITIES FOR CHILDBIRTH AND TO GOOD ANTENATAL OUTCOME**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Original Research Article**
Type of Article:	**Ms_IJTDH_40679**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
Abstract result: **Line 18; thee mean, remove 1 'e'. The first 2 sentences are 2 short. I suggest you connect them as 'The hospital delivery rate was 28.9% while the mean antenatal visits was 6.05 (S.D. 3.36).** **Line 20: the youngest age group are more unlikely… NOT the youngest the group** **Line 23; you cannot finish the sentence with 'is'. Say 'is significant', then remove the colon and leave the brackets** **Line 26: remove comma and replace has with 'have'. It becomes 'The participants with the least ANC sessions have the lowest odd of hospital delivery' (OR=0.19 not 0,19..)'** **Line 28; add 'of' before hospital delivery** **Line 42: The study concluded that… NOT revealed** **Line 29 to 40: It is very unnecessary under abstract. You either remove them or pick the key results from there (not more than 2 lines) and merge them with the main abstract result. You cannot have an abstract of more than 500 words. You should be targeting around 300 words.** **Line 159: you have to remove the ethical section under methodology since you mentioned the same thing after conclusion** **Line 317; sorely not soly. Alternatively, you can just say 'no external funding was received for this study.** This paper is getting worst to some extent. For instance, the last time I made correction, abstract was around 300 words, but now is above 500 words with unnecessary sub-headings. Probably the author is getting confused with different reviewers comment, therefore I strongly suggest you to select 1 comment that is more comprehensive and send to the author. I can only evaluate this paper next time if it resolve my comments alone. Otherwise send it to the other peer reviewer, because I cannot spent another time on this paper commenting on same spelling/grammatical errors and conflicting comments..	**All comments are addressed in text.**