



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_40582
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES OF PREGNANT WOMEN ATTENDING COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CENTRE, ISOLO, ONDO STATE TOWARDS HYGIENIC PRACTICE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **lack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer s comment	Author s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	RESEARCH HYPOTHESES 124 ➤ There is no significant relationship between parity and practices of hygiene among pregnant women. 126 ➤ There s no significant relationship between the knowledge and practices of hygiene among (Why did use only null hypothesis Please add alternate hypothesis) 128 2.0 METHODOLOGY Please include the formula (relationship) used to arrive to your study population and show the calculation.	1. The null hypothesis has been restated as the alternate hypothesis after the Chi-square test" 2. The derivation of the sample size with the use of yemane's rule as the formular has been clearly shown.
Minor REVISION comments	REFERENCE Revise the references on the list of reference according to authors guideline	The refrences in the list of reference has been corrected
Optional/General comments	TABLE 1: KNOWLEDGE OF PREGNANT WOMEN ON HYGIENE PRACTICES FREQUENCY N = 120 118 02 PERCENTAGE (%) 98.3 1.70 Follow the suggested and highlighted correction and effect same on every data on the data	Thanks.corrections has be effected.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>