Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_39959**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The use of Paper Based Obstetrics Wheel and Electronic Applications for Calculation of Estimated Date of Confinement and Gestational Age, which is more Accurate?**
Type of the Article	**Original**

Date 18.02.108

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The abstract is very long. The abstract typically should have 250 words representing the most important data in the following frame: aim, material and methods, results and conclusions.** **Keywords are not suitable.** **The p value was set as less than 0,05. However, in the text of the manuscript, p value of 0,05 as a difference between mean gestational age of obstetrics wheel and electronics APPS was considered as significant.** **The p value of the difference of mean gestational age between Naegle's rule Value and obstetrics wheel is written in different way i.e. 0.008 and 0.08 throughout the whole text.**	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	Did authors rely on Negle's rule even when menstrual cycles are irregular? If it is truth, then the control group will not be reliable. Please ensure in the methodology that only regular cycles are included in the study.	
Optional/General comments		